SKETCHES

OF THE

HISTORY OF MAN-

CONSIDERABLY ENLARGED

BY THE LAST ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

OF THE A'UTHOR.

VOLUME IV.

SKETCHES

OF THE

FOR REFERENCE UNLY HISTORY OF MAN-

CONSIDERABLY ENLARGED

BY THE LAST ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

OF THE AUTHOR.

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOLUME IV.

EDINBURGH:

PRINTED FOR A.STRAHAN AND T.CADELL, LONDONS
AND FOR WILLIAM CREECH, EDINEURGH.

M,DCC,LXXXVIII.



CONTENTS.

v o L. IV.

	BOOK III. Continued.	
Sk	. P.	ıg.
1.	Principles and progress of morality, -	1
	Part	
	1. Principles of morality,	2
	Sect.	
	1. Human actions analysed, -	ib.
	2. Divition of human actions into right,	
	wrong, and indifferent, -	10
	3. Laws of nature respecting our moral	
	conduct in fociety, -	27
	4. Principles of duty and of benevolence,	48
	5. Laws respecting rewards and punish-	
	ments,	52
	6. Laws respecting reparation, -	66
	7. Final causes of the foregoing laws of	
	nature,	78
	8. Liberty and necessity considered with	
	respect to morality,	94
	Appendix,	
	Upon chance and contingency,	20
	Во	ok

2. Government of royal boroughs in Scotland, 464 3. Plan for improving and preferving in order the high ways in Scotland,

446

474

tical views.

SKETCHES

OF THE

HISTORY OF MAN.

BOOK III.

Progress of Sciences.

SKETCH U.

Principles and Progress of Morality.

HE principles of morality are little understered among favages: and if they arrive at maturity among enlightened nations, it is by flow degrees. This progress points out the historical part, as first in order: but as that history would give little satisfaction, without a rule for comparing the morals of different ages, and of different nations, Vol. IV.

I begin with the principles of morality, fuch as ought to govern at all times, and in all nations. The present sketch accordingly is divided into two parts. In the first, the principles are unfolded; and the second is altogether historical.

PART 1.

Principles of Morality.

SECT, I.

Human Actions analyfed.

THE hand of God is no where more visible, than in the nice adjustment of our internal frame to our fituation in this world. An animal is endued with a power of felf-motion; and in performing animal functions, requires no external aid. This in particular is the case of man, the noblest of terrestrial beings. His heart beats, his blood circulates, his stomach digests, &c. &c. By what means? Not furely

furely by the laws of mechanism, which are far from being adequate to such operations. They are effects of an internal power, bestow'd on man for preserving life. The power is exerted uniformly, and without interruption, independent of will, and without consciousness.

Man is a being fusceptible of pleasure and pain: these generate defire to attain what is agreeable, and to flum what is difagreeable; and he is polletled of other powers which enable him to gratify his defires. One power, termed inflinet, is exerted indeed with confcioufness: but without will, and confequently without defiring or intending to produce any effect. Brute animals act for the most part by inflinch: hunger prompts them to eat, and cold to take shelter; knowingly indeed, but without exerting any act of will, and without forefight of what will happen. Infants of the human species are, like brutes, governed by inflinct: they apply to the nipple, without knowing that fucking will fatisfy their hunger; and they weep when pained, without any view of relief. men commonly are governed by defire and intention. In the progrefs from infancy

to maturity, the mind opens to objects without end, agreeable and disagreeable, which raise in us a desire to attain the former and avoid the latter. The will is, influenced by desire; and the actions thus performed are termed voluntary.

But to have an accurate conception of human nature, it is necessary to be more particular. To incline, to intend, to confent, to refolve, to will, are acts of the mind preparatory to external action. These several acts are well understood, tho' they cannot be defined, being perfectly simple. As every act implies a power to act, the acts mentioned must be the effects of mental powers. The mind cannot determine without having a power to determine, nor will without having a power to will.

Inflinctive actions are exerted without any previous defire or motive, and without any previous act of will. Actions influenced by defire or motives are very different. In such actions, will is effential to connect the defire or motive with the external act. A man who defires or is moved to perform an external act in view, must have a power to determine himself: that power is termed will; and the determination

mination is an act of will. With respect to external acts influenced by defire, we cannot even move a finger, without a previous act of will directing that motion. We are very fensible of this determination or act of will, when we deliberate upon motives that tend to different ends. The mind for fome time is futbended, deliberates, and at last determines according to the flrongest motive. But there must also be a determination where there is but a fingle motive, though not fo perceptible. Being called to dinner when hungry, I infantly obey the call. I cannot go to dinner without first determining to rise from my feat. And it is this determination that intitles it to be called a voluntary act, as much as where the determination is the refult of the most anxious deliberation.

Some effects require a train of actions; walking, reading, finging. Where these actions are uniform, as in walking, or nearly so, as in playing on a musical instrument, an act of will is only necessary at the commencement: the train proceed, by habit without any new act of will. The body is antecedently adjusted to the uniform progress; and is disturbed if any thing

thing unexpected happen: in walking, for example, a man feels a shock if he happen to tread on ground higher or lower than his body was prepared for. The power thus acquired by habit of acting without will, is an illustrious branch of our nature: for upon it depend all the arts, both the fine and the ufeful. To play on the violin, requires wonderful fwiftness of fingers, every motion of which in a learner is preceded by an act of will: and yet by habit folely, an artift moves his fingers with no less accuracy than celerity. the most handy person try for the first time to knit a flocking; every motion of the needle demands the strictest attention: and yet a girl of nine or ten will move the needle fo fwiftly as almost to escape the eye, without once looking on her work. If every motion in the arts required a new act of will, they would remain in infancy for ever; and what would man be in that case? In the foregoing inflances, we are confcious of the external operation without being confeious of a cause. But there are various internal operations of which we have no confciousness; and yet that they have existed is made known by their effects.

effects. Often have I gone to bed with a confused notion of what I was studying; and have awaked in the morning completely master of the subject. I have heard a new tune of which I carried away but an imperfect conception. A week or perhaps a fortnight after, the tune has occurred to me in perfection; recollecting with disliculty where I heard it. Such things have happened to me frequently, and probably also to others. My mind must have been active in these instances, though I knew nothing of it.

There still remains another species of actions, termed involuntary. Strictly fpeaking, every action influenced by a motive is voluntary, because no such action can be done but by an antecedent act of will. But in a less strict sense, actions done contrary to defire are termed involuntary; and they have more or lefs of that character according to the strength of the motive. A man to free himself from torture, reveals the fecrets of his party: his confession is in a degree involuntary, being extorted from him with great reluctance. But let us suppose, that after the firmest resolution to reveal nothing, his mind is unhinged by exquisite exquisite torture: the discovery he makes is in the highest degree involuntary.

Man is by his nature an accountable being, answerable for his conduct to God and man. In doing any action that wears a double face, he is prompted by his nature to explain the fame to his relations. his friends, his acquaintance; and above all, to those who have authority over him. He hopes for praise for every right action, and dreads blame for every one that is wrong. But for what fort of actions does he hold himfelf accountable? Not furely for an inflinctive action, which is done blindly, without intention and without will: neither for an involuntary action, because it is extorted from him reluctantly, and contrary to his defire; and least of all, for actions done without confcionfness. What only remain are voluntary actions proceeding from defire, which are done as we fay wittingly and willingly: for thefe we must account, if at all accountable; and for these every man in conscience holds himfelf bound to account.

Further upon voluntary actions. To intend and to will, though commonly held fynonymous, figuify different acts of the mind.

mind. Intention respects the effect: Will respects the action that is exerted for producing the effect. It is my Intention, for example, to relieve my friend from distress upon seeing him, it is my Will to give him a sum for his relief: the external act of giving follows; and my triend is reheved, which is the effect intended. But these internal acts are always united: I cannot will the means, without intending the effect; and I cannot intend the effect, without willing the means.

Some effects of voluntary action follow necessarily: A wound is an effect that necessarily follows the stabbing a person with a dagger: death is a necessary effect of throwing one down from the battlements of a high tower. Some effects are probable only: I labour in order to provide for my family; fight for my country to rescue it from oppressors; take physic for my health. In such cases, the event intended does not necessarily nor always sollow.

A man, when he wills to act, must intend the necessary effect: a person who stabs, certainly intends to wound. But where the effect is probable only, one may Vol. IV. B at

act without intending the effect that follows: a stone thrown by me at random into the market-place, may happen to wound a man without my intending it. One acts by instinct, without either will or intention: voluntary actions that necessarily produce their effect, imply intention: voluntary actions, when the effect is probable only, are sometimes intended, sometimes not.

Human actions are distinguished from each other by certain qualities, termed right and wrong. But as these make the corner-stone of morality, they are reserved to the following section.

SECT. II.

Division of Human Actions into Right, Wrong, and Indifferent.

THE qualities of right and wrong in voluntary actions, are univerfally acknowledged as the foundation of morality; and yet philosophers have been strangely perplexed about them. The history

ftory of their various opinions, would fignify little but to darken the subject: the reader will have more satisfaction in seeing these qualities explained, without entering at all into controversy.

No person is ignorant of primary and fecondary qualities, a distinction much infifted on by philosophers. Primary qualities, fuch as figure, cohesion, weight, are permanent qualities, that exist in a subject whether perceived or not. condary qualities, fuch as colour, tafte. fmell, depend on the percipient as much as on the fubject, being nothing when not perceived. Beauty and ugliness are qualities of the latter fort: they have no existence but when perceived; and, like all other secondary qualities, they are perceived intuitively; having no dependence on reason nor on judgement, more than colour has, or fmell, or talle (a).

The qualities of right and wrong in voluntary actions, are fecondary, like beauty and ugliness and the other secondary qualities mentioned. Like them, they are objects of intuitive perception, and depend not in any degree on reason. No argu-

⁽a) Elements of Criticism, vol. 1. p. 207. edit. 5.

ment is requifite to prove, that to rescue an innocent babe from the jaws of a wolf. to feed the hungry, to clothe the naked, are right actions: they are perceived to be fo intuitively. As little is an argument requifite to prove, that murder, deceit, perjury, are wrong actions: they are perceived to be fo intuitively. The Deity has bestow'd on man, different faculties for different purpoles with and falfehood are invoted and by the reasoning faculty. The early and regliness are objects of a tense. known by the name of talle. Right and wrong are objects of a fenfe termed the moral fense or conscience. And supposing these qualities to be hid from our perception, in vain would we try to discover them by any argument or process of reafoning: the attempt would be abfurd: no less to than an attempt to discover by reafoning colour, or taste, or fmell *.

Right

[•] Every perception must proceed from some faculty or power of perception, termed fense. The monal state, by which we perceive the qualities of right and wrong, may be considered either as a branch of the sense of steing, by which we perceive the actions to which these qualities belong, or as a sense.

Right and wrong, as mentioned above, are qualities of voluntary actions, and of no other kind. An inflinctive action may be agreeable, may be disagreeable; but it cannot properly be denominated either right or wrong. An involuntary act is hurtful to the agent, and disagreeable to the spectator; but it is neither right nor wrong. These qualities also depend in no degree on the event. Thus, if to save my friend from drowning I plunge into a river, the action is right, the' I happen to come too late. And if I aim a stroke at a man behind his back, the action is wrong, the' I happen not to touch him.

The qualities of right and of agreeable, are infeparable; and fo are the qualities of wrong and of difagreeable. A right action is agreeable, not only in the direct perception, but equally fo in every fubfe-

fense distinct from all others. The senses by which objects are perceived, are not separated from each other by distinct boundaries: the sorting or classing them, seems to depend more on taste and sancy, than on nature. I have followed the plan laid down by former writers; which is, to consider the moral sense as sense distinct from others, because it is the cassest and clearest manner of conceiving it.

quest recollection. And in both circumflances equally, a wrong action is disagreeable.

Right actions are distinguished by the moral fense into two kinds, what ought to be done, and what may be done, or left undone. Wrong actions admit not that distinction: they are all prohibited to be done. To fav that an action ought to be done, means that we are tied or obliged to perform; and to fay that an action ought not to be done, means that we are restrained from doing it. Tho' the necesfity implied in the being tied or obliged, is not physical, but only what is commonly termed moral; yet we conceive ourfelves deprived of liberty or freedom, and necessarily bound to act or to forbear acting, in opposition to every other motive. The necessity here described is termed duty. The moral necessity we are under to forbear harming the innocent, is a proper example: the moral fense declares the restraint to be our duty, which no motive whatever will excuse us for transgressing.

The duty of performing or forbearing any action, implies a right in fome perfon to exact performance of that duty; and accordingly,

accordingly, a duty or obligation necessarily infers a corresponding right. My promise to pay L. 100 to John, confers a right on him to demand performance. The man who commits an injury, violates the right of the person injured; which entitles that person to demand reparation of the wrong.

Duty is twofold; duty to others, and duty to ourselves. With respect to the former, the doing what we ought to do, is termed just: the doing what we ought not to do, and the omitting what we ought to do, are termed unjust. With respect to ourselves, the doing what we ought to do, is termed proper: the doing what we ought not to do, and the omitting what we ought not do, are termed improper. Thus, right, signifying a quality of certain actions, is a genus; of which just and proper are species: wrong, signifying a quality of other actions, is a genus; of which unjust and improper are species.

Right actions left to our free will, to be done or left undone, come next in order. They are, like the former, right when done; but they differ, in not being wrong when left undone. To remit a just debt for the fake of a growing family, to yield a fubject in controversy rather than go to law with a neighbour, generously to return good for ill, are examples of this species. They are universally approved as right actions: but as no person has a right or title to oblige us to person such actions, the leaving them undone is not a wrong: no person is injured by the forbearance. Actions that come under this class, shall be termed arbitrary or discretionary, for want of a more proper designation.

So much for right actions, and their divitions. Wrong actions are of two kinds, criminal and culpable. What are done intentionally to produce mitchief, are criminal: rath or unguarded actions that produce mitchief without intention, are culpable. The former are reftrained by punishment, to be handled in the 5th fection; the latter by reparation, to be handled in the 6th.

The divisions of voluntary actions are not yet exhausted. Some there are that, properly speaking, cannot be denominated either right or wrong. Actions done merely for amusement or passime, without intention

tention to produce good or ill, are of that kind; leaping, for example, running, jumping over a flick, throwing a stone to make circles in the water. Such actions are neither approved nor disapproved; they may be termed indifferent.

There is no cause for doubting the existence of the moral sense, more than for doubting the existence of the sense of beauty, of feeing, or of hearing. In fact, the perception of right and wrong as qualities of actions, is no less diffinct and. clear, than that of beauty, of colour, or of any other quality; and as every perception is an act of sense, the sense of beauty is not with greater certainty evinced from the perception of beauty, 4 than the moral fense is from the perception of right and wrong. We find this fense distributed among individuals in different degrees of perfection: but there perhaps never existed any one above the condition of an idiot, who possessed it not in some degree; and were any man entirely destitute of it, the terms right and wrong would be to him no less unintelligible, than the term colour is to one born blind.

Vol. IV.

That every individual is endued with a fense of right and wrong, more or less distinct, will probably be granted; but whether there be among men what may be termed a common sense of right and wrong, producing uniformity of opinion as to right and wrong, is not fo evident. There is no abfurdity in fuppoling the opinions of men about right and wrong, to be as various as about beauty and deformity. And that the supposition is not * destitute of foundation, we are led to sufpect, upon discovering that in different countries, and even in the fame country at different times, the opinions publicly espouted with regard to right and wrong, are extremely various; that among fome nations it was held lawful for a man to fell his children for flaves, and in their infancy to abandon them to wild beafts; that it was held equally lawful to punish children, even capitally, for the crime of their parent; that the murdering an enemy in cold blood, was once a common practice; that human facrifices, impious no less than immoral according to our notions, were of old univerfal; that even in later times, it has been held meritorious.

to inflict cruel torments for the flightest deviations from the religious creed of the plurality; and that among the most enlightened nations, there are at this day considerable differences with respect to the rules of morality.

These facts tend not to disprove the reality of a common fense in morals: they only prove, that the moral fense has not been equally perfect at all times, nor in all countries. This branch of the history of morality, is referred for the fecond part. To give some interim satisfaction, I shall shortly observe, that the savage state is the infancy of man; during which, the more delicate fenses lie dormant, leaving nations to the authority of cultom, of imitation, and of passion, without any just taste of morals more than of the fine arts. But a nation, like an individual, ripens gradually, and acquires a refined tafte in morals as well as in the fine arts: after which we find great uniformity of opinion about the rules of right and wrong; with few exceptions, but what may proceed from imbecillity, or corrupted education. There may be found, it is true, even in the most enlightened ages, men C 2 who

who have fingular notions in morality, and in many other subjects; which no more affords an argument against a common sense or standard of right and wrong, than a monster doth against the standard that regulates our external form, or than an exception doth against the truth of a general proposition.

That there is in mankind an uniformity of opinion with respect to right and wrong, is a matter of fact of which the only infallible evidence is observation and experience: and to that evidence I appeal; entering only a caveat, that, for the reafon above given, the inquiry be confined to enlightened nations. In the mean time, I take liberty to fuggest an argument from analogy. That if there be great uniformity among the different tribes of men in feeing and hearing, in pleasure and pain, in judging of truth and error, the fame uniformity ought to be expected with respect to right and wrong. Whatever minute differences there may be to distinguish one person from another, yet in the general principles that conflitute our nature, internal and external, there is wonderful uniformity.

This

This uniformity of fentiment, which may be termed the common fense of mankind with respect to right and wrong, is essential to social beings. Did the moral sentiments of men differ as much as their saces, they would be unfit for society: discord and controversy would be endless, and major vis would be the only rule of right and wrong.

But fuch uniformity of fentiment, tho' general, is not altogether universal: men there are, as above mentioned, who differ from the common fense of mankind with respect to various points of morality. What ought to be the conduct of fuch men? ought they to regulate their conduct by that standard, or by their private conviction? There will be occasion afterward to observe, that we judge of others as we believe they judge of themselves; and that private conviction is the standard for rewards and punishments (a). But with refpect to every controversy about property and pecuniary interest, and, in general, · about every civil right and obligation, the common fense of mankind is to every individual the flandard, and not private con-

(a) Seft. 5. 3,093

viction or conscience; for proof of which take what follows.

We have an innate fense of a common nature, not only in our own species, but in every species of animals. And that our perception holds true in fact, is verified by experience; for there appears a remarkable uniformity in creatures of the same kind, and a difformity, no less remarkable, in creatures of different kinds. It is accordingly a subject of wonder, to find an individual deviating from the common nature of the species, whether in its internal or external structure: a child born with aversion to its mother's milk, is a wonder, no less than if born without a mouth, or with more than one.

Secondly, This tense dictates, that the common nature of man in particular, is invariable as well as universal; that it will be the same hereafter as it is at present, and as it was in time past; the same among all nations, and in all corners of the earth: nor are we deceived; because, allowing for slight differences occasioned by culture and other accidental circumstances, the sact corresponds to our perception.

Thirdly, We perceive that this common

nature is right and perfect, and that it ought to be a model or standard for every human being. Any remarkable deviation from it in the structure of an individual, appears imperfect or irregular; and raises a painful emotion: a monstrous birth, exciting curiosity in a philosopher, fails not at the same time to excite aversion in every spectator.

This fense of perfection in the common nature of man, comprehends every branch of his nature, and particularly the common sense of right and wrong; which accordingly is perceived by all to be perfect, having authority over every individual as the ultimate and unerring standard of morals, even in contradiction to private conviction. Thus, a law in our nature binds us to regulate our conduct by that standard: and its authority is universally acknowledged; as nothing is more ordinary in every dispute about meum et tuum, than an appeal to common sense as the ultimate and unerring standard.

At the same time, as that standard, through infirmity or prejudice, is not conspicuous to every individual; many are musled into erroneous opinions, by mistaking taking a false standard for that of nature. And hence a distinction between a right and a wrong sense in morals; a distinction which every one understands, but which, unless for the conviction of a moral standard, would have no meaning.

The final cause of this branch of our Nature is conspicuous. Were there no flandard of right and wrong for determining endless controversies about matters of interest, the strong would have recourse to force, the weak to cunning, and fociety would diffolye. Courts of law could afford no remedy; for without a standard of morals, their decisions would be arbitrary, and of no authority. Happy it is for men to be provided with fuch a standard: it is necessary in fociety that our actions be uniform with respect to right and wrong; and in order to uniformity of action, it is necessary that our perceptions of right and wrong be also uniform: to produce such uniformity, a standard of morals is indispensable. Nature has provided us with that standard, which is daily apply'd by courts of law with fuccess (a).

⁽s) See Elements of Criticism, vol. 2. p. 490- edit. 5.

In reviewing what is faid, it must afford great fatisfaction, to find morality established upon the folid foundations of intuitive perception; which is a fingle mental act complete in itself, having no dependence on any antecedent proposition. The most accurate reasoning affords not equal conviction; for every fort of reafoning, as explained in the sketch immediately foregoing, requires not only felf-evident truths or axioms to found upon, but employs over and above various propositions to bring out its conclusions. By intuitive perception folely, without reafoning, we acquire knowledge of right and wrong; of what we may do, of what we ought to do, and of what we ought to abstain from: and considering that we have thus greater certainty of moral laws than of any proposition discoverable by réasoning, man may well be deemed a favourite of Heaven, when he is fo admirably qualified for doing his duty. The moral fense or conscience is the voice of God within us; conflantly admonithing us of our duty, and requiring from us no exercise of our faculties but attention merely. The celebrated Locke ventured Vol. IV. what D

what he thought a bold conjecture, That moral duties are susceptible of demonstration: how agreeable to him would have been the discovery, that they are founded upon intuitive perception, still more convincing and authoritative!

By one branch of the moral fenfe, we are taught what we ought to do, and what we ought not to do; and by another branch, what we may do, or leave undone. But fociety would be imperfect, if the moral fenfe flopped here. There is a third branch that makes us accountable for our conduct to our fellow-creatures; and it will be made evident afterward in the third fketch, that we are accountable to our Maker, as well as to our fellow-creatures.

It follows from the flandard of right and wrong, that an action is right or wrong, independent of what the agent may think. Thus, when a man, excited by friendthip or pity, refeues a heretic from the flames, the action is right, even tho' he think it wrong, from a conviction that heretics ought to be burnt. But we apply a different flandard to the agent: a man is approved and held to be inno-

cent

cent in doing what he himself thinks right: he is disapproved and held to be guilty in doing what he himself thinks wrong. Thus, to affaffinate an atheist for the sake of religion, is a wrong action; and yet the enthusiast who commits that wrong, may be innocent: and one is guilty, who against conscience eats meat in Lent, tho' the action is not wrong. In short, an action is perceived to be right or wrong, independent of the actor's own opinion: but he is approved or disapproved, held to be innocent or guilty, according to his own opinion.

SECT. III.

Laws of Nature respecting our Moral Conduction Society.

A Standard being thus established for regulating our moral conduct in society, we proceed to investigate the laws that result from it. But first we take under consideration, what other principles D 2 concur

concur with the moral fense to qualify men for fociety.

When we reflect on the different branches of human knowledge, it might feem, that of all subjects human nature thould be the best understood; because every man has daily opportunities to study it, in his own passions and in his own actions. But human nature, an interesting fubject, is feldom left to the investigation of philosophy. Writers of a sweet dispofition and warm imagination, hold, that man is a benevolent being, and that every man ought to direct his conduct for the good of all, without regarding himfelf but as one of the number (a). Those of a cold temperament and contracted mind, hold him to be an animal entirely felfish: to evince which, examples are accumulated without end (b). Neither of these fystems is that of nature. The felfish fyttem is contradicted by the experience of all ages, affording the clearest evidence, that men frequently act for the fake of others, without regarding themselves, and fornetimes in direct opposition to their own

interest

⁽a) Lord Shaftesbury. (b) Helvetius.

interest *. And however much selfishness may prevail in action; man cannot be an animal entirely felfish, when all men conspire to put a high estimation upon generosity, benevolence, and other social virtues: even the most selfish are disgusted with felfishness in others, and endeavour to hide it in themselves. The most zealous patron of the felfish principle, will not venture to maintain, that it renders us altogether indifferent about our fellowcreatures. Laying afide felf-interest with every connection of love and hatred, good fortune happening to any one gives pleafure to all, and bad fortune happening to any one is painful to all. On the other hand, the fystem of universal benevolence, is no less contradictory to experience:

Whatever wiredrawn arguments may be urged for the feliish fystem, as if benevolence were but refined seliishness, the emptiness of such arguments will clearly appear when applied to children, who know no refinement. In them, the rudiments of the social principle are no less visible than of the selish principle. Nothing is more common, than mutual good-will and fondness between children: which must be the work of nature; for to restest upon what is one's interest, is far above the capacity of children.

from which we learn, that men commonly are disposed to prefer their own interest before that of others, especially where there is no strict connection: nor do we find that fuch bias is condemned by the moral fense. Man in fact is a complex being, composed of principles, some benevolent, some selfish: and these principles are so justly blended in his nature, as to fit him for acting a proper part in fociety. It would indeed be lofing time to prove, that without fome affection for his fellow-creatures he would be ill qualified for fociety. And it will be made evident afterward (a), that univerfal benevolence would be more hurtful to fociety, than even absolute selfishness *.

3,093 We

* "Many moralitis enter so deeply into one passion or bias of human nature, that, to use the painter's phrase, they quite overcharge it. Thus I have seen a whole system of morals sounded upon a single pillar of the inward frame; and the entire conduct of life and all the characters in it accounted for, sometimes from superstition, fometimes from pride, and most commonly from interest. They sorget how various a creature it is they are painting; how many springs and

⁽a) Sea. 4.

We are now prepared for investigating the laws that refult from the foregoing principles. The feveral duties we owe to others shall be first discussed, taking them in order according to the extent of their influence. And for the fake of perfpicuity, I shall first present them in a general view, and then proceed to particulars. Of our duties to others, one there is so extenfive, as to have for its object all the innocent part of mankind. It is the duty that prohibits us to hurt others; than which no law is more clearly dictated by the moral fense; nor is the transgression of any other law more deeply flamped with the character of wrong. A man may be hurt externally in his goods, in his perfon, in his relations, and in his reputation. Hence the laws, Do not fleal; Defraud not others; Do not kill nor wound; Be not guilty of defamation. A man may be hurt internally, by an action that occasions to him diffress of mind, or by be-

[&]quot; weights, nicely adjusted and balanced, enter into

[&]quot; the movement, and require allowance to bounds

[&]quot; for their feveral clogs and impulses, etc. you can

[&]quot; define its operation and effects." Enquiry into the life and writings of Homer.

ing impressed with false notions of men and things. Therefore conscience distates, that we ought not to treat men disrespectfully; that we ought not causelessly to alienate their affections from others; and, in general, that we ought to forbear whatever may tend to break their peace of mind, or tend to unqualify them for being good men and good citizens.

The duties mentioned are duties of restraint. Our active duties regard particular perfons; fuch as our relations, our friends, our benefactors, our masters, our It is our duty to honour and fervants. obey our parents; and to establish our children in the world, with all advantages internal and external: we ought to be faithful to our friends, grateful to our benefactors, submittive to our masters, kind to our fervants; and to aid and comfort every one of these persons when in distress. To be obliged to do good to others beyond theie bounds, muit depend on politive engagement; for, as will appear afterward, univerfal benevolence is not a duty.

This general fketch will prepare us for particulars. The duty of reftraint comes first in view, that which bars us from harming

harming the innocent; and to it correfponds a right in the innocent to be fafe from harm. This is the great law preparatory to fociety; because without it, society could never have existed. Here the moral tenfe is inflexible; it dictates, that we ought to submit to any distress, even death itielf, rather than procure our own fafety by laying violent hands upon an innocent person. And we are under the fame reftraint with respect to the property of another; for robbery and theft are never upon any pretext indulged. It is indeed true, that in extreme hunger I may lawfully take food where it can be found; and may freely lay hold of my neighbour's horse, to carry me from an enemy who threatens death. But it is his duty as a fellow-creature to assist me in distress: and when there is no time for delay, I may lawfully use what he ought to offer were he present, and what I may presume he would offer. For the same reason, if in a ftorm my ship be driven among the anchor-ropes of another ship, I may lawfully cut the ropes in order to get free. But in every case of this kind, it would be a wrong in me to use my neighbour's VOL. IV. E property,

property, without refolving to pay the value. If my neighbour be bound to aid me in diffress, conscience binds me to make up his loss*.

The

* This doctrine is obviously founded on justice; and yet, in the Roman law, there are two paffages which deny any recompence in such cases. " Item " Labco scribit, si cum vi ventorum navis impulsa " effet in funes anchor trum alterius, et nautæ fu-" nes præediffent; fi nullo alio modo, nifi præcifis " funibus, explicire se potuit, nullam actionem " dandam;" l. 20, 6 3, ad lege Aquil. " Quod di-4 citur diminum injuria datum Jouilia persegui, sic " erit accipiendum, ut videatur dainnum injuria da-" tum quod cum damno injuriam attulerit; nili e magna vi cogente, fuerit factum. Ut Celfus " feribit circa eum, qui incendii arcendi gratia " vicinas ædes intercidit: et five pervenit ignis, " five antea extinctus ett, existimat legis Aqui-" has relionem ceffare" 1. 49. 6 1. cod - [In Eng. lifb thus: " In the opinion of Labeo, if a ship is driven by the violence of a tempest among the " anchor-ropes of another ship, and the sailors cut " the ropes, having no other means of getting free, " there is no action competent. - The Aquilian " law muit be understood to apply only to such da-" mage as carries the idea of an injury along with " it, unlets fuch injury has not been wilfully done, . " but from necessity. Thus Celsus puts the case of 46 a person who, to stop the progress of a fire, pulls "down his neighbour's house; and whether the " fire The prohibition of hurting others internally, is perhaps not effential to the formation of focieties, because the transgression of that law doth not much alarm plain people: but where manners and refined sentiments prevail, the mind is susceptible of more grievous wounds than the body; and therefore, without that law, a polithed society could have no long endurance.

By adultery, mischief is done both external and internal. Each fex is so constituted, as to require strict sidelity and attachment in a mate. The breach of these duties is the greatest external harm

E 2 that

[&]quot; fire had reached that house which is pulled down, or was extinguished before it got to it, in neither case, he thinks, will an action be competent from the Aquilian law."] — These opinions are undoubtedly erroneous. And it is not difficult to say what has occasioned the error: the cases mentioned are treated as belonging to the lex Aquilia; which being confined to the reparation of wrongs, lays it justly down for a rule, that no action for reparation can lie, where there is no culpa. But had Labeo and Celsus adverted, that these cases belong to a different head, viz. the duty of recompence, where one suffers loss by benefiting another, they themselves would have had no difficulty of sustaining a claim for making up that loss.

that can befal them; it harms them also internally, by breaking their peace of mind. It has indeed been urged, that no harm will enfue, if the adultery be kept fecret; and confequently, that there can be no crime where the fact is kept fecret. But fuch as reason thus do not advert, that to declare fecret adultery to be lawful, is in effect to overturn every foundation of mutual trust and fidelity in the matrimonial state. It is clear beyond all doubt, fays a reputable writer, that no man is permitted to violate his faith; and that the man is unjust and barbarous who deprives his wife of the only reward she has for adhering to the authere duties of her fex. But an unfaithful wife is still more criminal, by diffolving the whole ties of nature: in giving to her husband children that are not his, she betrays both, and joins perfidy to infidelity (a).

Veracity is commonly ranked among the active duties; but erroneously: for if a man be not bound to speak, he cannot be bound to speak truth. It is therefore only a restraining duty, prohibiting us to deceive others,

⁽a) Emile, liv. 5.

by affirming what is not true. Among the many corresponding principles in the human mind that in conjunction tend to make society comfortable, a principle of veracity*, and a principle that leads us to rely on human testimony, are two: without the latter, the former would be an useless principle; and without the former, the latter would lay us open to fraud and treachery. The moral sense accordingly dictates, that we ought to adhere strictly to truth, without regard to consequences.

It must not be inferred, that we are bound to explain our thoughts, when truth is demanded from us by unlawful means. Words uttered voluntarily, are na-

• Truth is always uppermost, being the natural issue of the mind: it requires no art nor training, no inducement nor temptation, but only that we yield to natural impulse. Lying, on the contrary, is doing violence to our nature; and is never practited, even by the worst of men, without some temptation. Speaking truth is like using our natural food, which we would do from appetite although it answered no end: lying is like taking physic, which is nauseous to the taste, and which no man takes but for some end which he cannot otherwise attain. Dr Reid's Enquiry into the human mind.

turally relied on, as expressing the speaker's mind; and if his mind differ from his words, he tells a lie, and is guilty of deceit. But words drawn from a man by torture, are no indication of his mind; and he is not guilty of deceit in uttering whatever words may be agreeable, however alien from his thoughts: if the author of the unlawful violence suffer himfelf to be deceived, he ought to blame himself, not the speaker.

It need fearce be mentioned, that the duty of veracity excludes not fable, nor any liberty of speech intended for amusement only.

Active duties, as hinted above, are all of them directed to particular persons. And the first I shall mention, is that between parent and child. The relation of parent and child, the strongest that can exist between individuals, binds these persons to exert their utmost powers in mutual good offices. Benevolence among other blood-relations, is also a duty; but not so indispensable, being proportioned to the inferior degree of relation.

Gratitude is a duty directed to our benefactors. But tho' gratitude is strictly a duty, duty, the measure of performance, and the kind, are left mostly to our own choice. It is fcarce necessary to add, that the active duties now mentioned, are acknowledged by all to be absolutely inflexible, perhaps more to than the restraining duties: many find excuses for doing harm; but no one hears with patience an excuse for deviating from truth, friendship, or gratitude.

Distress, tho' it has a tendency to convert benevolence into a duty, is not fuflicient without other concurring circumstances; for to relieve every person in distress, is beyond the power of any human being. Our relations in diffress claim that duty from us, and even our neighbours: but distant distress, without a particular connection, scarce rouses our sympathy. and never is an object of duty. Many other connections, too numerous for this fhort essay, extend the duty of relieving others from diffress; and these make a large branch of equity. Tho' in various instances benevolence is converted into a duty by distress, it follows not, that the duty is always proportioned to the degree of diftress. Nature has more wifely provided vided for the support of virtue: a virtueous person in distress commands our pity: a vicious person in distress has much less influence; and if by vice he have brought on the distress, indignation is raised, not pity (a).

One great advantage of fociety, is the co-operation of many to accomplish some useful work, where a single hand would be infufficient. Arts, manufactures, and commerce, require many hands: but as hands cannot be fecured without a previous engagement, the performance of promifes and covenants is, upon that account, a capital duty in fociety. In their original occupations of hunting and fifhing, men living fcattered and difperfed, have feldom opportunity to aid and benefit each other; and in that fituation, covenants, being of little use, are little regarded: but hufbandry, requiring the co-operation of many hands, draws men together for mutual assistance; and then covenants make a figure: arts and commerce make them more and more necesfary; and in a polished fociety great regard is paid to them.

⁽a) See Elements of Criticism, vol. 2. p. 187. edit. 5.
But

But contracts and promifes are not confined to commercial dealings: they ferve also to make benevolence a duty; and are even extended to connect the living with the dead: a man would die with regret, if he thought his friends were not bound by their promises to fulfil his will after his death: and to quiet the minds of men with respect to futurity, the moral sense makes the performing fuch promifes our duty. Thus, if I promife to my friend to erect a monument for him after his death. conscience binds me, even the' no person alive be entitled to demand performance: every one perceives this to be my duty; and I must expect to suffer reproach and blame, if I neglect my engagement.

To fulfil a rational promife or covenant, deliberately made, is a duty no less inflexible than those duties are which arise independent of consent. But as man is fallible, often misled by ignorance, and liable to be deceived, his condition would be deplorable, did the moral sense compel him to sulfil every engagement, however imprudent or irrational. Here the moral sense gives way to human infirmity: it relieves from deceit, from imposition,

from ignorance, from error; and binds a man by no engagement but what answers the end fairly intended. There is still less doubt that it will relieve us from an engagement extorted by external violence, or by overbearing passion. The dread of torture will force most men to submit to any terms; and a man in imminent hazard of drowning, will voluntarily promise all he has in the world to save him. The moral sense would be ill suited to the imbecillity of our nature, did it bind men in conscience to sulfil engagements made in such circumstances.

The other branch of duties, those we owe to ourselves, shall be discussed in a few words. Propriety, a branch of the moral sense, regulates our conduct with respect to ourselves; as Justice, another branch of the moral sense, regulates our conduct with respect to others. Propriety dictates, that we ought to act up to the dignity of our nature, and to the station allotted us by Providence: it dictates in particular, that temperance, prudence, modesty, and uniformity of conduct, are self-duties. These duties contribute to private happiness, by preserving health,

peace

peace of mind, and felf-esteem; which are inestimable blessings: they contribute no less to happiness in society, by gaining the love and esteem of others, and aid and support in time of need.

Upon reviewing the foregoing duties respecting others, we find them more or less extensive; but none to extensive as to have for their end the good of mankind in general. The most extensive duty is that of restraint, prohibiting us to harm others: but even that duty has a limited end; for its purpose is only to protect others from mischief, not to do them any positive good. The active duties of doing politive good are circumfcribed within still narrower bounds, requiring fome relation that connects us with others; fuch as those of parent, child, friend, benefactor. The flighter relations, unless in peculiar circumstances, are not the foundation of any active duty: neighbourhood, for example, does not alone make benevolence a duty: but supposing a neighbour to be in distress, relief becomes our duty, if it can be done without distress to ourselves. The duty of relieving from diffress, seldom goes farther; for tho' we always fympa-F 2 thife

thise with our relations, and with those under our eye, the distresses of the remote and unknown affect us very little. Pactions and agreements become necessary, if we would extend the duty of benevolence beyond the limits mentioned. Men, it is true, are capable of doing more good than is required of them as a duty; but every such good must be a free-will offering.

And this leads to arbitrary or difcretionary actions, fuch as may be done or left undone: which make the fecond general head of moral actions. With respect to these, the moral sense leaves us at freedom: a benevolent act is approved, but the omiffion is not condemned. holds thrictly in fingle acts; but in viewing the whole of a man's conduct, the moral fense ppears to vary a little. the nature of man is complex, partly focial, partly felfish, we have an intuitive perception, that our conduct ought to be conformable to our nature; and that in advancing our own interest, we ought not altogether to neglect that of others. man accordingly who confines his whole time and thoughts within his own little sphere,

fphere, is condemned by all the world as guilty of wrong conduct; and the man himfelf, if his moral perceptions be not blunted by telfithness, must be fensible that he deserves to be condemned. On the other hand, it is possible that free benevolence may be extended beyond proper bounds: where it prevails, it commonly leads to excess, by prompting a man to sacrifice a great interest of his own to a simall interest of others; and the moral fense dictates, that such conduct is wrong. The just temperament, is a subordination of benevolence to self-love.

Thus, moral actions are divided into two classes: the first regards our duty, containing actions that ought to be done, and actions that ought not to be done; the other regards arbitrary or discretionary actions, containing actions that are right when done, but not wrong when left undone. Society is indeed promoted by the latter; but it can scarce subsist, unless the former be made our duty. Hence it is, that actions only of the first class are made indispensable; those of the other class being left to our free-will. And hence also it is, that the various propensities that dispose

pose us to actions of the first class, are distinguished by the name of primary virtues; leaving the name of secondary virtues to those propensities which dispose us to actions of the other class*.

The deduction above given makes it evident, that the general tendency of right actions is to promote the good of fociety, and of wrong actions, to obstruct that good. Univertal benevolence is indeed not required of man; because to put it in practice, is beyond his utmost abilities. But for promoting the general good, every thing is required of him that he can accomplish; which will appear from reviewing the foregoing duties. The prohibition of harming others is an easy task: and upon that account is made univerfal. Our active duties are very different : man is circumscribed both in capacity and power: he cannot do good but in a flow fuccession; and therefore it is wisely ordered, that his obligation to do good should be confined to his relations, his

friends,

^{*} Virtue fignifies that disposition of mind which gives the ascendant to moral principles. Vice fignifies that disposition of mind which gives little or no ascendant to moral principles.

friends, his benefactors. Even distress makes not benevolence a general duty: all a man can readily do, is to relieve those at hand; and accordingly we hear of distant misfortunes with little or no concern.

But let not the moral fystem be misapprehended, as if it were our duty, or even lawful, to profecute what upon the whole we reckon the most beneficial to fociety. balancing ill with good. The moral fense permits not a violation of any person's right, however trivial, whatever benefit may thereby accrue to another. A man for example in low circumstances, by denying a debt he owes to a rich mifer. faves himself and a hopeful family from ruin. In that case, the good effect far outweighs the ill, or rather has no counterbalance: but the moral sense permits not the debtor to balance ill with good; nor gives countenance to an unjust act, whatever benefit it may produce. And hence a maxim in which all moralists agree, That we must not do ill to bring about good; the final cause of which shall be given below (a).

(a) Sed. 7.

SECT. IV.

Principles of Duty and of Benevolence.

Having thus shortly delineated the moral laws of our nature, we proceed to an article of great importance, which is, to enquire into the means provided by our Maker for compelling obedience to these laws. The moral sense is an unerring guide; but the most expert guide will not prosit those who are not disposed to be led. This consideration makes it evident, that to complete the moral system, man ought to be endued with some principle or propensity, some impulsive power, to ensorce obedience to the laws dictated by the moral sense.

The author of our nature leaves none of his works imperfect. In order to render us obsequious to the moral sense as our guide, he hath implanted in our nature the principles of duty, of benevolence, of rewards and punishments, and of reparation.

tion. It may possibly be thought, that rewards and punishments, of which afterward, are sufficient of themselves to enforce the laws of nature, without necesfity of any other principle. Human laws, it is true, are enforc'd by these means; because no higher sanction is under command of a terrestrial legislator. But the celestial legislator, with power that knows no control, and benevolence that knows no bounds, hath enforc'd his laws by means no less remarkable for mildness than for efficacy: he employs no external compulsion; but, in order to engage our will on the right fide, hath in the breaft of individuals established the principles of duty and of benevolence, which efficacioully excite them to obey the dictates of the moral sense.

The restraining and active duties being both of them essential to society, our Maker has wisely ordered, that the principle which enforces these duties, should be the most cogent of all that belong to our nature. Other principles may solicit, allure, or terrify; but the principle of duty assumes authority, commands, and insists Vol. IV.

to be obey'd, without giving ear to any opposing motive.

As one great purpose of society, is to furnish opportunities of mutual aid and support; nature seconding that purpose, hath provided the principle of benevolence, which excites us to be kindly, beneficent, and generous. Nor ought it to escape observation, that the author of nature, attentive to our wants and to our well-being, hath endued us with a liberal portion of that principle. It excites us to be kind, not only to those we are connected with, but to our neighbours, and even to those we are barely acquainted with, Providence is peculiarly attentive to obiects in distress, who require immediate aid and relief. To the principle of benevolence, it hath fuperadded the passion of pity, which in every feeling heart is irrefistible. To make benevolence more extensive, would be fruitles; because here are objects in plenty to fill the most capacious mind. It would not be finitless only, but hurtful to fociety: I say hurtful; because frequent disappointments in attempting to gratify our benevolence. would render it a troublesome guest, and make

make us cling rather to felfishness, which we can always gratify. At the same time. the' there is not room for a more extensive lift of particular objects, yet the faculty we have of uniting numberless individuals into one complex object, enlarges greatly the iphere of benevolence. By that faculty our country, our government, our religion, become objects of public spirit, and of a lively affection. The individuals that compose the group, considered apart, may be too minute, or too distant, for our benevolence: but when united into one whole, accumulation makes them great, greatness makes them conspicuous; and affection, preserved entire and undivided. is bestow'd upon an abstract object, as upon one that is fingle and visible; but with energy proportioned to its greater dignity and importance. Thus the principle of benevolence is not too sparingly scattered among men. It is indeed made subordinate to felf-interest, which is wifely ordered, as will afterward be made evident (a): but its power and extent are nicely proportioned to the limited capacity of man, and to his fituation in this world;

(a) Sect. 7.

fo as better to fulfil its destination, than if it were an overmatch for self-interest, and for every other principle.

SECT. V.

Laws respecting Rewards and Punishments.

R Effecting on the moral branch of our nature qualifying us for fociety in a manner fuited to our capacity, we cannot overlook the hand of our Maker; for means fo finely adjusted to an important end, never happen by chance. It must however be acknowledged, that in many individuals, the principle of duty has not vigour nor authority sufficient to stem every tide of unruly passion: by the vigilance of some passions, we are taken unguarded: deluded by the fly infinuations of others; or overwhelmed with flormy impetuolity of a third fort. Moral evil is thus introduced, and much wrong is done. This new scene suggests to us. that there must be some article still wanting ing to complete the moral fystem; some means for redressing such wrongs, and for preventing the reiteration of them. To accomplish these important ends, there are added to the moral system, laws relative to rewards and punishments, and to reparation; of which in their order.

Many animals are qualified for fociety by instinct merely; such as beavers, sheep, monkeys, bees, rooks. But men are feldom led by instinct: their actions are commonly prompted by passions; of which there is an endless variety, social and felfish, benevolent and malevolent. And were every passion equally entitled to gratification, man would be utterly unqualified for fociety: he would be a ship without a rudder, obedient to every wind, and moving at random without any ultimate destination. The faculty of reason would make no opposition; for were there no fense of wrong, it would be reasonable to gratify every defire that harms not ourfelves: and to talk of punishment would be abfurd; for punishment, in its very idea, implies fome wrong that ought to be redressed. Hence the necessity of the moral fense, to qualify us for society: by instructing ftructing us in our duty, it renders us accountable for our conduct, and makes us susceptible of rewards and punishments. The moral sense fulfils another valuable purpose: it erects in man an unerring standard for the application and measure of rewards and punishments.

To complete the fystem of rewards and punishments, it is necessary that a provifion be made, both of power and of willingues to reward and punish. The author of our nature hath provided amply for the former, by entitling every man to reward and punish as his native privilege. And he has provided for the latter, by a noted principle in our nature, prompting us to exercife the power. Impelled by that principle, we reward the virtuous with approbation and effeem, and punish the vicious with disapprobation and contempt. And there is an additional motive for exercifing that principle, which is, that we have great fatisfaction in rewarding, and no less in punishing.

As to punishment in particular, an action done intentionally to produce mischief, is criminal, and merits punishment. Such an action, being disagreeable.

able, raises my resentment, even where I have no connection with the person injured; and the principle mentioned impells me to chastise the delinquent with indignation and hatred. An injury done to myself raises my resentment to a higher tone: I am not satisfied with so slight a punishment as indignation and hatred: the author must by my hand suffer mischief, as great as he has made me suffer.

Even the most secret crime escapes not punishment. The delinquent is tortured with remorse: he even desires to be punished, sometimes so ardently as to punish himselt*. There cannot be imagined

• Mr John Kello, minister of Spot in East Lothian, had an extraordinary talent for preaching, and was universally held a man of singular piety. His wife was handsome, chearful, tender-hearted, and in a word possessed all the qualities that can endear a woman to her husband. A pious and rich widow in the neighbourhood tempted his avarice. She clung to him as a spiritual guide; and but for his little wife, he had no doubt of obtaining her in marriage. He turned gradually peevish and discontented. His change of behaviour made a deep impression on his wife, for she loved him dearly; and yet the was anxious to conceal her treatment from the

a contrivance more effectual to deter one from vice, than remorfe, which itself is a grievous punishment. Self-punishment goes still farther: every criminal, sensible that he ought to be punished, dreads punishment from others; and this dread,

the world. Her meckness, her submission, her patience, tended but to increase his sullenness. Upon a Sunday morning when on her knees flie was offering up her devotions, he came foftly behind her. put a rope about her neck, and hung her up to the ceiling. He bolted his gate, creeped out at a window, walked demurely to church, and charmed his hearers with a most pathetic fermon. After divine fervice, he invited two or three of his neighbours to pass the evening, at his house, telling them that his wife was indisposed, and of late inclined to melancholy; but that she would be glad to see them. It furprised them to find the gate bolted and none to answer: much more when, upon its being forc'd open, they found her in the posture mentioned. The husband seemed to be struck dumb; and counterfeited forrow fo much to the life, that his guefts, forgetting the deceased, were wholly interested about the living. His feign'd tears however became real: his foul was oppreffed with the weight of his guilt. Finding no relief from agonizing remorfe, and from the image of his murdered wife conftantly haunting him, he about fix weeks after the horrid deed went to Edinburgh and delivered himfelf up to justice. He was condemned upon his own confesfion, and executed 4th October 1570.

poweret

however fmothered during prosperity. breaks out in adversity, or in depression of mind: his crime stares him in the face. and every accidental misfortune is in his disturbed imagination interpreted to be a punishment: " And they said one to an-" other, We are verily guilty concerning " our brother, in that we saw the anguish " of his foul, when he befought us; and " we would not hear: therefore is this " diffress come upon us. And Reuben " answered them, saying, Spake I not " unto you, faying, Do not fin against " the child; and ye would not hear? " therefore behold also his blood is re-" quired (a)" *.

The

(a) Genesis alii. 21.

• John Duke of Britany, commonly termed the Good Duke, illustrious for generosity, elemency, and piety, reigned forty-three years, wholly employ'd about the good of his subjects. He was succeeded by his eldest son Francis, a prince weak and suspectious, and consequently liable to be missed by favourites. Arthur of Montauban, in love with the wife of Gilles, brother to the Duke, persuaded the Duke that his brother was laying plots to dethrone him. Gilles being imprisoned, the Duke's best friends conjured him to pity his unhappy brother, who might be imprudent, but assuredly was inno-Vol. IV.

The usurper Oliver Cromwell found to his dire experience, that the grandeur

cent; - all in vain. Gilles being profecuted before the three estates of the province for high treason. was unanimously absolved; which irritated the Duke more and more. Arthur of Montauban artfully fuggested to his master to try poison; which having miscarried, they next resolved to starve the prisoner to death. The unfortunate prince, through the bars of a window, cried aloud for bread; but the passengers durst not supply him. One poor woman only had courage more than once to flip fome bread within the window. He charged a priest, who had received his confession, to declare to the Duke, "That feeing justice was refused him in this " world, he appealed to Heaven; and called upon " the Duke to appear before the judgement-feat of "God in forty days." The Duke and his favourite. amazed that the prince lived fo long without nourithment, employed affaffins to fmother him with his bed-cloaths. The priest, in obedience to the orders he had received, presented himself before the Duke, and with a loud voice cited him in name of the deceafed Lord Gilles to appear before God in forty days. Shame and remorfe verified the prediction. The Duke was seized with a sudden terror; and the image of his brother, expiring by his orders, haunted him day and night. He decay'd daily without any marks of a regular difease, and died within the forty days in frightful agony.

See this subject further illustrated in the Sketch Principles and Progress of Theology, chap. 1.

which

which he had attained with fo much cunning and courage, did not contribute to his happiness; for with happiness guilt is inconfistent. Conscious that he deserved punishment for his crimes, and dreading its being inflicted upon him, all around appeared to him treacherous friends or bitter enemies. Death, which with intrepidity he had braved in the field, was now timoroufly apprehended from affaffins. With a piercing and anxious eye he furveved every new face. He wore armour under his cloaths, and never moved a step without his guards. Seldom he flept three nights together in the same chamber; nor in any but what had a back-door, at which centinels were placed. Society terrified him by reflecting on his unknown enemies, numerous and implacable. Solitude aftonished him by leaving him without protection. Can all the glory and power that this earth can afford be a counterbalance for fuch mifery?

No transgression of self-duty escapes punishment, more than transgression of duty to others. The punishments, tho' not the same, differ in degree more than in kind. Injustice is punished with re-

morfe: impropriety with shame, which is remorse in a lower degree. Injustice raises indignation in the beholder, and so doth every flagrant impropriety: slighter improprieties receive a milder punishment, being rebuked with some degree of contempt, and commonly with derision (a).

So far we have been led in a beaten track: but in attempting to proceed, we are entangled in mazes and intricacies. An action well intended may happen to produce no good; and an action ill intended may happen to produce no mischief: a man overawed by fear, may be led to do mischief against his will; and a person, mistaking the standard of right and wrong, may be innocently led to do acts of injustice. By what rule, in such cases, are rewards and punishments to be apply'd? Ought a man to be rewarded when he does no good, or punished when he does no mischief: ought he to be punished for doing mischief against his will. or for doing mischief when he thinks he is acting innocently? These questions suggest a doubt, whether the standard of

⁽a) See Elements of Criticifm, chap. 10.

right and wrong be applicable to rewards and punishments.

We have feen that there is an invariable flandard of right and wrong, which depends not in any degree on private opinion or conviction. By that standard, all pecuniary claims are judged, all claims of property, and, in a word, every demand founded on interest, not excepting reparation, as will afterward appear. But with respect to the moral characters of men. and with respect to rewards and punishments, a different standard is erected in the common sense of mankind, neither rigid nor inflexible; which is, the opinion that men have of their own actions. It is mentioned above, that a man is esteemed innocent in doing what he himself thinks right, and guilty in doing what he himfelf thinks wrong. In applying this standard to rewards and punishments, we reward those who in doing wrong are however convinced that they are innocent; and punish those who in doing right are however convinced that they are guilty. *. Some, it is true, are so pervert-

:d

Virtuous and vicious, innocent and guilty, fignify qualities both of men and of their actions.
 Appro-

ed by improper education or by superstition, as to espouse numberless absurd tenets, contradictory to the standard of right and wrong; and yet fuch men are no exception from the general rule: if they act according to conscience, they are innocent, and fafe against punishment however wrong the action may be; and if they act against conscience, they are guilty and punishable however right the action may be: it is abhorrent to every moral perception, that a guilty person be rewarded, or an innocent person punished. Further, if mischief be done contrary to With as where a man is compelled by fear or by torture, to reveal the fecrets of his party; he may be grieved for yeilding to the weakness of his nature, contrary to his firmest resolves; but he has no check of conference, and upon that account is not liable to punishment. And lastly, in order that perfonal merit and demerit may not in any meafure depend on chance, we are to constituted as to place innocence and guilt, not on the event, but on the in-

Approbation and disapprobation, praise and blame, fignify certain emotions or sentiments of those who see or contemplate men and their actions.

tention

tention of doing right or wrong; and accordingly, whatever be the event, a man is praised for an action well intended, and condemned for an action ill intended.

But what if a man intending a certain wrong happen by accident to do a wrong he did not intend; as, for example, intending to rob a warren by shooting the rabbits, he accidentally wounds a child unseen behind a bush? The delinquent ought to be punished for intending to rob; and he is also subjected to repair the hurt done to the child: but he cannot be punished for the accidental wound; because our nature regulates punishment by the intention, and not by the event*.

Α

* During the infancy of nations, pecuniary compositions for crimes were universal; and during that long period, very little weight was laid upon intention. This proceeded from the cloudiness and obfaurity of moral perceptions among barbarians, making no distinction between reparation and pecuniary punishment. Where a man does mischief intentionally, or is versans in illicito, as expressed in the Roman law, he is justly bound to repair all the harm that ensues, however accidentally; and from the resemblance of pecuniary punishment to reparation, the rule was childishly extended to punish-

A crime against any primary virtue is attended with severe and never-failing punishment, more efficacious than any that have been invented to enforce municipal laws: on the other hand, the preserving

ment. But this rule, so little consistent with moral principles, could not long subfift after pecuniary compositions gave place to corporal punishment; and accordingly, among civilized nations, the law of nature is restored, which prohibits punishment for any mischief that is not intentional. The English must be excepted, who, remarkably tenacious of their original laws and customs, preserve in force, even as to capital punishment, the above-mentioned rule that obtained among barbarians, when pecuniary compositions were in vigour. The following passage is from Hales (Pleas of the Crown, chap. 20.) Regularly he that voluntarily and knowingly in-" tends hurt to the person of a man, as for example " to beat him, tho' he intend not death, yet if " death enfues, it excufeth not from the guilt of " murder, or manflaughter at leaft, as the circum-" stances of the case happen." And Foster, in his Crown law, teaches the same doctrine, never once fuspecting in it the least deviation from moral principles. " A thooteth at the poultry of B, and by " accident killeth a man: if his intention was to " fteal the poultry, which must be collected from " circumstances, it will be murder by reason of et that felonious intent; but if it was done wanton-" ly, and without that intention, it will be barely " manslaughter." (p. 259.)

primary

primary virtues inviolate, is attended with little merit. The fecondary virtues are directly opposite: the neglecting them is not attended with any punishment; but the practice of them is attended with illustrious rewards. Offices of undeserved kindness, returns of good for ill, generous toils and sufferings for our friends or for our country, are attended with consciousness of self-merit, and with universal praise and admiration; the highest rewards a generous mind is susceptible of.

From what is faid, the following observation will occur: The pain of transgreffing justice, fidelity, or any duty, is much
greater than the pleasure of performing;
but the pain of neglecting a generous action, or any secondary virtue, is as nothing compared with the pleasure of performing. Among the vices opposite to the
primary virtues, the most striking moral
deformity is found; among the secondary
virtues, the most striking moral beauty.

Vol. IV. I SECT.

SECT. VI.

Laws respecting Reparation.

THE principle of reparation is made a branch of the moral fystem for accomplishing two ends: which are, to repress wrongs that are not criminal, and to make up the lofs fustained by wrongs of whatever kind. With respect to the former, reparation is a species of punishment: with respect to the latter, it is an act of justice. These ends will be better understood, after ascertaining the nature and foundation of reparation; to which the following division of actions is necesfary. First, actions that we are bound to perform. Second, actions that we perform in profecution of a right or privilege. Third, indifferent actions, described above. Actions of the first kind subject not a man to reparation, whatever damage enfues; because it is his duty to perform them, and it would be inconfiftent

ent with morality that a man should be subjected to reparation for doing his duty. The laws of reparation that concern actions of the fecond kind, are more complex. The focial state, highly beneficial by affording opportunity for mutual good offices, is attended with some inconveniencies; as where a person happens to be in a fituation of necessarily harming others by exercifing a right or privilege. If the forefight of harming another restrain me not from exercifing my right, the interest of that other is made subservient to mine: on the other hand, if fuch forefight refrain me from exercifing my right, my interest is made subservient to his. What doth the moral fense provide in that case? To preferve as far as possible an equality among persons born free and by nature equal in rank, the moral fense dictates a rule, no less beautiful than falutary: which is, That the exercifing a right will not justify me for doing direct mischief: but will justify me, tho' I foresee that mischief may possibly happen. The first branch of the rule refolves into a proposition established above. That no interest of mine, not even life itself, will authorise

me to hurt an innocent person. The other branch is supported by expediency: for if the bare possibility of hurting others were fufficient to restrain a man from profecuting his rights and privileges; men would be too much cramped in action, or rather would be reduced to a state of abfolute inactivity. With respect to the first branch, I am criminal, and liable even to punishment: with respect to the other, I am not even culpable, nor bound to repair the mischief that happens to ensue. But this proposition admits a temperament, which is, that if any danger be foreseen, I am in some degree culpable, if I be not at due pains to prevent it. For example, where in pulling down an old house I happen to wound one passing accidentally. without calling aloud to beware.

With respect to indifferent actions, the moral sense dictates, that we ought carefully to avoid doing mischief, either direct or consequential. As we suffer no loss by sorbearing actions that are done for passime merely, such an action is culpable or fully, if the consequent mischief was foreseen or might have been foreseen; and the actor of course is subjected to reparation,

paration. As this is a cardinal point in the doctrine of reparation, I shall endeayour to explain it more fully. Without intending any harm, a man may foresce, that what he is about to do will probably or possibly produce mischief; and sometimes muchief follows that was neither intended nor toreseen. The action in the former case is not criminal; because ill intention is effential to a crime: but it is culpable or faulty; and if mischief ensue, the actor blames himself, and is blamed by others, for having done what he ought not to have done. Thus, a man who throws a large stone among a crowd of people, is highly culpable; because he must foresee that mischief will probably enfue, tho' he has no intention to hurt any person. As to the latter case, tho' mischief was neither intended nor forefeen, yet if it might have been foreseen. the action is rash or uncautious, and consequently culpable or faulty in some de-Thus, if a man, shooting at a gree. mark for recreation near a high road, happen to wound one passing accidentally, without calling aloud to keep out of the way, the action is in some degree culpable, because because the mischief might have been foreseen. But tho' mischief ensue, an action is not culpable or faulty if all reasonable precaution have been adhibited: the moral fense declares the author to be innocent * and blameless: the mischief is accidental; and the action may be termed unlucky, but comes not under the denomination of either right or wrong. In general, when we act merely for amusement, our nature makes us answerable for the harm that enfues, if it was either foreseen or might with due attention have been foreseen. But our rights and privileges would profit us little, if their exercife were put under the same restraint: it is more wifely ordered, that the probability of mischief, even foreseen, should not restrain a man from profecuting his concerns, which may often be of confequence to him; provided that he act with due precaution. He proceeds accordingly with a safe conscience, and is not afraid of being blamed either by God or man.

^{*} Innocent here is opposed to culpable: in a broader sense it is opposed to criminal. With respect to punishment, an action the culpable is innocent, if it be not criminal: with respect to reparation, it is not innocent if it be culpable.

With respect to rash or uncautious actions, where the mischief might have been foreseen the' not actually foreseen; it is not fufficient to escape blame, that a man, naturally rash or inattentive, acts according to his character: a degree of precaution is required, both by himfelf and by others, fuch as is natural to the generality of men: he perceives that he might and ought to have acted more cautiously; and his conscience reproaches him for his inattention, no less than if he were naturally more fedate and attentive. Thus the circumspection natural to mankind in general, is applied as a standard to every individual; and if a man fall fhort of that standard he is culpable and blameable, however unforeseen by him the mischief may have been.

What is faid upon culpable actions, is equally applicable to culpable omissions; for by these also mischief may be occafioned, entitling the sufferer to reparation. If we forbear to do our duty with an intention to occasion mischief, the forbearance is criminal. The only question is, how far forbearance without such intention is culpable: supposing the probability

lity of mischief to have been foreseen, tho' not intended, the omission is highly culpable; and tho' neither intended nor foreseen, yet the omission is culpable in a lower degree, if there have been less care and attention than are proper in performing the duty required. But supposing all due care, the omission of extreme care and diligence is not culpable *.

By ascertaining what acts and omissions are culpible or faulty, the doctrine of reparation is rendered extremely simple; for it may be laid down as a rule without a single exception, That every culpable act, and every culpable omission, binds us in conscience to repair the mischief occasioned by it. The moral sense binds us no

• Culpa lata aquiparatur dolo, fays the Roman law. They are equal with respect to reparation and to every civil consequence; but they are certainly not equal in a criminal view. The essence of a crime consists in the intention to do mischief; upon which account no fault or culpa however gross amounts to a crime. But may not gross negligence be a subject of punishment? A jailor sees a state-pritoner taking steps to make his escape; and yet will not give himself the trouble to prevent it; and so the prisoner escapes. Damages cannot be qualissed, because no person is hurt; and if the jailor cannot be punished, he escapes free.

farther;

farther; for it loads not with reparation the man who is blameless and innocent: the harm is accidental; and we are for constituted as not to be responsible in confcience for what happens by accident. But here it is requifite, that the man be in every respect innocent: for if he intend harm, tho' not what he has done, he will find himself bound in conscience to repair the accidental harm he has done; as, for example, when aiming a blow unjustly at one in the dark, he happens to wound another whom he did not suspect to be there. And hence it is a rule in all municipal laws, That one versans in illicito is liable to repair every consequent damage. these particulars are wisely ordered by the Author of our nature for the good of fociety, will appear afterward (a). In general, the rules above mentioned are dictated by the moral fense; and we are compelled to obey them by the principle of reparation.

We are now prepared for a more particular inspection of the two ends of reparation above mentioned, The repressing wrongs that are not criminal, and the ma-

(a) Sect. 7.

Vol. IV.

king up what loss is sustained by wrongs of whatever kind. With respect to the first, it is clear, that punishment in its proper sense cannot be inflicted for a wrong that is culpable only; and if nature did not provide some means for repreffing fuch wrongs, fociety would fcarce be a comfortable state. Laving conscience aside, pecuniary reparation is the only remedy that can be provided against culpable omiflions: and with respect to culpable commissions, the necessity of reparation is ftill more apparent; for conscience alone, without the sanction of reparation, would feldom have authority fufficient to reftrain us from acting rashly or uncautiously, even where the possibility of mischief is torcicen, and far less where it is not foreleen.

With respect to the second end of reparation, my conscience dictates to me, that if a man suffer by my fault, whether the mitchief was foreseen or not foreseen, it is my duty to make up his loss; and I perceive intuitively, that the loss ought to rest ultimately upon me, and not upon the sufferer, who has not been culpable in any degree.

In every case where the mischief done can be estimated by a pecuniary compenfation, the two ends of reparation coincide. The fum is taken from the one as a fort of punishment for his fault, and is beflow'd on the other to make up the loss he has sustained. But in numberless cases where mischief done cannot be compensated with money, reparation is in its nature a fort of punishment. Defamation. perfonal treatment, contemptuous straint, the breaking one's peace of mind, are injuries that cannot be repaired with money: and the pecuniary reparation decreed against the wrong-doer, can only be confidered as a punishment inflicted in order to deter him from reiterating fuch injuries: the fum, it is true, is awarded to the person injured; but not as sufficient to make up his lofs, which money cannot do, but only as a folatium for what he has fuffered.

Hitherto it is supposed, that the man who intends a wrong action, is at the same time conscious of its being so. But a man may intend a wrong action, thinking erroneously that it is right; or a right action, thinking erroneously that it is

wrong; and the question is, What shall be the confequence of fuch errors with refpect to reparation. The latter case is clear: the person who occasionally suffers loss by a right action, has not a claim for reparation, because he has no just cause of complaint. On the other hand, if the action be wrong, the innocence of the author, for which he is indebted to an error in judgement, will not relieve him from reparation. When he is made fenfible of his error, he feels himself bound in conscience to repair the harm he has done by a wrong action: and others, fenfible of his error from the beginning, have the fame feeling: nor will his obttinacy in relifting conviction, nor his dullness in not apprehending his error, mend the matter: it is well that these defects relieve him from punishment, without wronging others by denying a claim for reparation. A man's errors ought to affect himfelf only, and not those who have not erred. Hence in general, reparation always follows wrong; and is not affected by any erroneous opinion of a wrong action being right, more than of a right action being wrong.

But this doctrine fuffers an exception with respect to one who, having undertaken a trust, is bound in duty to act. A judge is in that state: it is his duty to pronounce sentence in every case that comes before him; and if he judge according to his knowledge, he is not liable for consequences. A judge cannot be subjected to reparation, unless the judgement he gave was intentionally wrong. An officer of the revenue is in the same predicament. Led by a doubtful clause in a statute, he makes a seizure of goods as forfeited to the crown, which afterward, in the proper court, are found not to be feizable: he ought not to be subjected to reparation, if he have acted to the best of his judgement. This rule however must be taken with a limitation: a public officer who is grossly ignorant, will not be excused; for he ought to know better.

Reparation is due, tho' the immediate act be involuntary, provided it be connected with a preceding voluntary act. Example: "If A ride an unruly horse in "Lincolns-inn fields, to tame him, and "the horse breaking from A, run over B" and grievously hurt him; B shall have

" an action against A: for the' the mis-" chief was done against the will of A; " vet fince it was his fault to bring a wild 45 horse into a frequented place where " mischief might ensue, he must answer " for the confequences." Gains feems to carry this rule still farther, holding in general, that if a horse, by the weakness or unskilfulness of the rider, break away and do mischief, the rider is liable (a). Gaius probably had in his eye a frequented place, where the mitchief might have been foreseen. Thus in general, a man is made liable for the mischief occasioned by his voluntary deed, tho' the immediate act that occasioned the mischief be involuntary.

S E C T. VII.

Final Causes of the foregoing Laws of Nature.

S Everal final causes have been already mentioned, which could not conveni-

(a) 1. 8. 5. 1. ad leg. Aquil.

ently

ently be referved for the present section, being necessary for explaining the subjects to which they relate; the final cause for instance of erecting a standard of morals upon the common sense of mankind. I proceed now to what have not been mentioned, or but slightly mentioned.

The final cause that presents itself first to view, respects man considered as an accountable being. The fense of being accountable, is one of our most vigilant guards against the filent attacks of vice. When a temptation moves me it immediately occurs. What will the world fay? I imagine my friends expostulating, my enemies reviling - it would be in vain to diffemble - my spirits fink - the temptation vanishes. 2dly, Praise and blame, especially from those we regard, are strong incentives to virtue: but if we were not accountable for our conduct, praise and blame would feldom be well directed; for how shall a man's intentions be known. without calling him to account? And praise or blame, frequently ill-directed, would lofe their influence. 3dly, This branch of our nature, is the corner-stone

of the criminal law. Did not a man think himself accountable to all the world, and to his judge in a peculiar manner, it would be natural for him to think, that the justest sentence pronounced against him, is oppression, not justice. 4thly, It promotes society. If we were not accountable beings, those connected by blood, or by country, would be no less shy and reserved, than if they were utter strangers to each other.

The final cause that next occurs, being simple and obvious, is mentioned only that it may not seem to have been overlooked. All right actions are agreeable, all wrong actions, disagreeable. This is a wise appointment of Providence. We meet with so many temptations against duty, that it is not always easy to persevere in the right path: would we persevere, were duty disagreeable? And were acts of pure benevolence disagreeable, they would be rare, however worthy of praise.

Another final cause respects duty, in contradistinction to pure benevolence. All the moral laws are sounded on intuitive perception; and are so simple and plain, as to be persectly apprehended by the most ignorant.

ignorant. Were they in any degree complex or obscure, they would be perverted by felfishness and prejudice. No conviction inferior to what is afforded by intuitive perception, could produce in mankind a common fente in moral duties. Reason would afford no general conviction: because that faculty is distributed in portions fo unequal, as to bar all hopes from it of uniformity either in practice or in opinion. We are taught beside by woful experience, that reason even the most convincing, has no commanding influence over the greater part of men. Reason, it is true, aided by experience, supports morality; by convincing us, that we cannot be happy if we abandon duty for any other interest. But conviction feldom weighs much against imperious passion; to control which the vigorous and commanding principle of duty is requifite, directed by the shining light of intuition.

A proposition laid down above, appears a fort of mystery in the moral system, That tho' evidently all moral duties are contrived for promoting the general good, yet that a choice is not permitted among different goods, or between good and ill; Vol. IV.

but that we are strictly tied down to perform or forbear certain particular acts, without regard to confequences; or, in other words, that we must not do wrong, whatever good it may produce. The final cause I am about to unfold, will clear this mystery, and set the beauty of the moral fystem in a conspicuous light. begin with observing, that as the general good of mankind, or even of the fociety we live in, refults from many and various circumstances intricately combined; it is far above the capacity of man, to judge in every inflance what particular action will tend the most to that end. The authorifing therefore a man to trace out his duty by weighing endless circumstances good and ill, would open a wide door to partiality and passion, and often lead him unwittingly to prefer the preponderating ill, under a falle appearance of being the greater good. At that rate, the opinions of men about right and wrong, would be as various as their faces; which, as obferved above, would totally unhinge fociety. It is better ordered by Providence even for the general good, that, avoiding complex and obscure objects, we are directed rected by the moral fense to perform certain plain and simple acts, which admit no ambiguity.

In the next place, To permit ill in order to produce greater good, may fuit a being of univertal benevolence; but is repugnant to the nature of man, composed of felfish and benevolent principles. We have feen above, that the true moral balance depends on a fubordination of felflove to duty, and of diferetionary benevolence to felf love; and accordingly every man is fensible of injustice when he is hurt in order to benefit another. Were it a rule in fociety, That a greater good to any other would make it an act of juttice to deprive me of my life, of my reputation, or of my property, I should renounce the fociety of men, and affociate with more harmless animals.

Thirdly, The true moral fystem, that which is display'd above, is not only better suited to the nature of man and to his limited capacity, but contributes more to the general good, which I now proceed to demonstrate. It would be losing time to prove, that one entirely felsish is ill sitted

for fociety; and we have feen (a), that univerfal benevolence, were it a duty, would contribute to the general good perhaps less than absolute selfishness. Man is too limited in capacity and in power for universal benevolence. Even the greatest monarch has not power to exercise his benevolence, but within a very narrow fphere; and if so, how unfit would fuch a duty be for private persons, who have very little power? Serving only to dithrefs them by inability of performance, they would endeavour to finother it altogether, and give full scope to felfishness. Man is much better qualified for doing good, by a constitution in which benevolence is duly blended with felf-love. Benevolence as a duty, takes place of felflove; a regulation effential to fociety: benevolence as a virtue, not a duty, gives place to felf-love; because as every man has more power, knowledge, and opportunity, to promote his own good than that of others, a greater quantity of good is produced, than it benevolence were our only principle of action. This holds, even fuppofing no harm done to any perfon: much more would it hold, were we permitted to hurt fome, in order to produce more good to others.

The foregoing final causes respect morality in general. We now proceed to particulars; and the first and most important is the law of restraint. Man is evidently framed for fociety: and as there can be no fociety among creatures who prey upon each other, it was necessary to provide against mutual injuries; which is effectually done by this law. Its necessity with respect to personal security is selfevident; and with respect to property, its necessity will appear from what follows. In the nature of every man there is a propenfity to hoard or store up things useful to himself and family. But this natural propenfity would be rendered ineffectual. were he not secured in the possession of what he thus stores up: for no man will toil to accumulate what he cannot securely possess. This security is afforded by the moral sense, which dictates, that the first occupant of goods provided by nature for the sublistence of man, ought to be protected in the possession, and that such goods ought to be inviolably his property.

perty. Thus, by the great law of restraint, men have a protection for their goods, as well as for their perfons; and are no less secure in society, than if they were separated from each other by impregnable walls.

Several other duties are little less essential than that of restraint, to the existence of fociety. Mutual trust and confidence, without which fociety would be an uncomfortable state, enter into the character of the human species; to which the duties of veracity and fidelity correspond. The final cause of these corresponding duties is obvious: the latter would be of no use in tociety without the former; and the former, without the latter, would be hurtful by laying men open to fraud and deceit.

With respect to veracity in particular, man is fo constituted, that he must be indebted to information for the knowledge of most things that benefit or hurt him; and if he could not depend upon information, fociety would be very little beneficial. Further, it is wifely ordered, that we should be bound by the moral sense to fpeak truth, even where we perceive no harm harm in transgressing that duty; because it is sufficient that harm may ensue, tho' not foreseen. At the same time, salse-hood always does mischief: it may happen not to injure us externally in our reputation, or in our goods; but it never sais to injure us internally: the sweetest and most refined pleature of society, is a candid intercourse of sentiments, of opinions, of desires, and withes; and it would be possonous to indulge any salse-hood in such intercourse.

Because man is the weakest of all animals in a state of separation, and the very strongest in society by mutual aid and support; covenants and promises, which greatly contribute to these, are made binding by the moral sense.

The final cause of the law of propriety, which enforces the duty we owe to ourfelves, comes next in order. In discourfing upon those laws of nature which
concern society, there is no occasion to
mention any self-duty but what relates to
society; of which kind are prudence,
temperance, industry, firmness of mind.
And that such qualities should be made
our duty, is wisely ordered in a double
respect;

respect; first, as qualifying us to act a proper part in fociety; and next, as intitling us to good-will from others. It is the interest, no doubt, of every man, to fuit his behaviour to the dignity of his nature, and to the station allotted him by Providence; for fuch rational conduct contributes to happiness, by preserving health, procuring plenty, gaining the esteem of others, and, which of all is the greatest blessing, by gaining a justlyfounded felf-esteem. But here interest folely is not relied on: the powerful authority of duty is added, that in a matter of the utmost importance to ourselves. and of some importance to the fociety we live in, our conduct may be regular and steady. These duties tend not only to render a man happy in himself; but also, by procuring the good-will and effeem of others, to command their aid and afliftance in time of need.

I proceed to the final causes of natural rewards and punishments. It is laid down above, that controversies about property and about other matters of interest, must be adjusted by the standard of right and wrong. But to bring rewards and punishments under the same standard, with-

out regard to private conscience, would be a plan unworthy of our Maker. It is clear, that to reward one who is not conscious of merit, or to punish one who is not conscious of demerit, cannot answer any good end; and in particular, cannot tend either to improvement or to reformation of manners. How much more like the Diety is the plan of nature, which rewards no man who is not conscious that he merits reward, and punishes no man who is not confcious that he merits punishment! By that plan, and by that only, rewards and punishments accomplith every good end, a final cause most illustrious!

The rewards and punishments that attend the primary and secondary virtues, are finely contrived for supporting the distinction between them set forth above. Punishment must be consined to the transgression of primary virtues, it being the intention of nature that secondary virtues be entirely free. On the other hand, secondary virtues are more highly rewarded than primary: generosity, for example, makes a greater sigure than justice; and magnanimity, heroism, undaunted couvolt. IV.

rage, a still greater figure. One would imagine at first view, that the primary virtues, being more effential, should be intitled to the first place in our esteem, and be more amply rewarded than the fecondary; and yet in elevating the latter above the former, peculiar wisdom and forefight are conspicuous. Punishment is appropriated to enforce primary virtues; and if these virtues were also attended with the highest rewards, secondary virtues, degraded to a lower rank, would be deprived of that enthusiastic admiration which is their chief support: felt-interest would univerfally prevail over benevolence; and would banith those numberless favours we receive from each other in fociety, which are beneficial in point of interest, and still more so by generating affection and friendthip.

In our progress through final causes, we come at last to reparation, one of the principles deslined by Providence for redressing wrongs committed, and for preventing reiteration. The final cause of this principle where the mischief arises from intention, is clear: for to protect individuals in society, it is not sufficient that the delinquent

delinquent be punished; it is necessary over and above, that the mischief be repaired.

Secondly, Where the act is wrong or unjust, the' not understood by the author to be fo, it is wifely ordered that reparation should follow: which will thus anpear. Confidering the fallibility of man, it would be too fevere never to give any allowance for error. On the other hand, to make it a law in our nature, never to take advantage of error, would be giving too much indulgence to indolence and remission of mind, tending to make us neglect the improvement of our rational faculties. Our nature is fo happily framed. as to avoid these extremes by distinguishing between gain and lofs. No man is conscious of wrong, when he takes advantage of an error committed by another to fave himself from loss: if there must be a loss, common sense dictates, that it ought to rest upon the person who has erred, however innocently, rather than upon the person who has not erred. Thus, in a competition among creditors about the estate of their bankrupt debtor, every one is at liberty to avail himself of an error committed by his competitor, in order to recover payment. But in lucro captando, the moral fense teacheth a different lesson; which is, that no man ought to lay hold of another's error to make gain by it. Thus, an heir finding a rough diamond in the repositories of his ancestor, gives it away, mistaking it for a common pebble: the purchaser is in conscience and equity bound to restore, or to pay a just price.

Thirdly, The following considerations respecting the precaution that is necessary in acting, untold a final cause, no less beautiful than that last mentioned. ciety could not fublist in any tolerable manner, were full scope given to rashness and negligence, and to every action that strictly speaking is not criminal; whence it is a maxim founded no less upon utility than upon justice, That men in society ought to be extremely circumfpect, as to every action that may possibly do harm. On the other hand, it is also a maxim, That as the prosperity and happiness of man depend on action, activity ought to be encouraged, initead of being discouraged by dread of confequences. These maxims.

maxims, feemingly in opposition, have natural limits that prevent their encroaching one upon the other. There is a certain degree of attention and circumfpection that men generally bellow upon affairs, proportioned to their importance: if that degree were not fufficient to defend against a claim of reparation, individuals would be too much cramped in action: which would be a great discouragement to activity: if a less degree were sufficient. there would be too great scope for rails or remifs conduct; which would prove the bane of fociety. These limits, which evidently tend to the good of fociety, are adjusted by the moral fense; which dictates, as laid down in the fection of Reparation, that the man who acts with forelight of the probability of mischief, or acts rathly and uncautiously without such forefight, ought to be liable for confequences; but that the man who acts cautiously, without foreseeing or suspecting any mischief, ought not to be liable for consequences.

In the fame fection it is laid down, that the moral fense requires from every man, not his own degree of vigilance and attention, tention, which may be very fmall, but that which belongs to the common nature of the species. The final cause of that regulation will appear upon confidering, that were reparation to depend upon perfonal circumstances, there would be a necessity of enquiring into the character of individuals, their education, their manner of living, and the extent of their understanding; which would render judges arbitrary, and fuch law-fuits inextricable. But by affuming the common nature of the species as a standard, by which every man in conscience judges of his own actions, law-fuits about reparation are rendered eafy and expeditious.

S E C T. VIII.

Liberty and Necessity considered with respect to Morality.

HAving in the foregoing fections afcertained the reality of a moral fense, with its fentiments of approbation and difapprobation, approbation, praise and blame; the purpose of the present section is, to shew, that these sentiments are consistent with the laws that govern the actions of man as a rational being, In order to which, it is sirst necessary to explain these laws; for there has been much controversy about them, especially among divines of the Arminian and Calvinist sects.

Human actions, as laid down in the first section, are of three kinds: one, where we act by instinct, without any view to consequences; one, where we act by will in order to produce some effect; and one, where we act against will. With respect to the first, the agent acts blindly, without deliberation or choice; and the external act follows necessarily from the instinctive impulse *. Voluntary

* A stonechatter makes its nest on the ground or near it; and the young, as soon as they can shift for themselves, leave the nest instinctively. An egg of that bird was laid in a swallow's nest, fixed to the root of a church. The swallow fed all the young equally, without distinction. The young stone-chatter left the nest at the usual time before it could sly; and salling to the ground, it was taken up

Voluntary actions done with a view to an end, are in a very different condition: into these, desire and will, enter: desire to accomplish the end goes sirst; the will to act in order to accomplish the end is next; and the external act follows of course. Desire considered as what influences the will, is termed a motive. Thus, hearing that my friend is in the hands of robbers, I burn with desire to free him: desire influences my will to arm my servants, and to sly to his relief. Actions done against will come in afterward.

But what is it that raises desire? The answer is ready: it is the prospect of attaining some agreeable end, or of avoiding one that is disagreeable. And if it be enquired, What makes an object agreeable or disagreeable; the answer is equally ready, that our nature makes it so.

dead. Here is inflinct in purity, exerting itself blindly without regard to variation of circumstances. The same is observable in our dunghill-fowl. They feed on worms, corn, and other feeds dropt on the ground. In order to discover their food, nature has provided them with an instinct to scrape with the foot; and the instinct is fo regularly exercised, that they scrape even when they are set upon a heap of corn.

Certain

Certain visible objects are agreeable, certain sounds, and certain smells: other objects of these senses are disagreeable. But there we must stop; for we are far from being so intimately acquainted with our own nature as to assign the causes. These hints are sufficient for my present purpose: if one be curious to know more, the theory of desire, and of agreeableness and disagreeableness, will be found in Elements of Criticisin (a).

With respect to instinctive actions, no person, I presume, thinks that there is any freedom: an infant applies to the nipple, and a bird builds a nest, no less necessarily than a stone falls to the ground. With respect to voluntary actions, done in order to produce some effect, the necessity is the same, tho' less apparent at first view. The external action is determined by the will: the will is determined by desire: and desire by what is agreeable or disagreeable. Here is a chain of causes and essents, not one link of which is arbitrary, or under command of the agent: he cannot will but according to his desire: he cannot desire

N

(a) Chap. 2.

Vol. IV.

but

but according to what is agreeable or difagreeable in the objects perceived: nor do these qualities depend on his inclination or fancy; he has no power to make a beautiful woman appear ugly, nor to make a rotten carcase smell sweetly.

Many good men apprehending danger to morality from holding our actions to be necessary, endeavour to break the chain of causes and effects above mentioned, maintaining, "That whatever influence " defire or motives may have, it is the a-" gent himfelf who is the cause of every " action; that defire may advife, but " cannot command; and therefore that a " man is still free to act in contradiction " to defire and to the strongest motives." That a being may exist, which in every case acts blindly and arbitrarily, without having any end in view, I can make a flift to conceive: but it is difficult for me even to imagine a thinking and rational being, that has affections and pattions, that has a defirable end in view, that can casily accomplish this end; and yet, after all, can fly off, or remain at rell, without any cause, reason, or motive, to sway it. If fuch a whimfical being can possibly exift.

ift, I am certain that man is not the being. There is perhaps not a person above the condition of a changeling, but can say why he did so and so, what moved him, what he intended. Nor is a single fact stated to make us believe, that ever a man acted against his own desire, who was not compelled by external force. On the contrary, constant and universal experience proves, that human actions are governed by certain inslexible laws; and that a man cannot exert his self-motive power, but in pursuance of some desire or motive.

Had a motive always the fame influence, actions proceeding from it would appear no lefs necessary than the actions of matter. The various degrees of influence that motives have on different men at the same time, and on the same man at different times, occasion a doubt by suggesting a notion of chance. Some motives however have such influence, as to leave no doubt: a timid semale has a physical power to throw herself into the mouth of a lion, roaring for food; but she is withheld by terror no less effectually than by cords: if she should rush upon the lion,

N 2

would

would not every one conclude that she was frantic? A man, tho' in a deep sleep, retains a physical power to act, but he cannot exert it A man, tho' desperately in love, retains a physical power to refuse the hand of his mistress: but he cannot exert that power in contradiction to his own ardent desire, more than if he were fast a-Now if a strong motive have a neceffary influence, there is no reason for doubting, but that a weak motive must also have its influence, the same in kind, tho' not in degree. Some actions indeed are flrangely irregular: but let the wildest action be scrutiniz'd, there will always be discovered some motive or defire, which, however whimfical or capricious, was what influenced the person to act. Of two contending motives, is it not natural to expect that the stronger will prevail, however little its excess may be? If there be any doubt, it must arise from a supposition that a weak motive can be resisted arbitrarily. Where then are we to fix the boundary between a weak and a strong motive? If a weak motive can be refisted, why not one a little stronger, and why not the strongest? In Elements of Criticism

Criticism (a) the reader will find many examples of contrary motives weighing against each other. Let him ponder these with the strictest attention: his conclusion will be, that between two motives, however nearly balanced, a man has not an arbitrary choice, but must yield to the stronger. The mind indeed sluctuates for some time, and feels itself in a measure loose: at last, however, it is determined by the more powerful motive, as a balance is by the greater weight after many vibrations.

Such then are the laws that govern our voluntary actions. A man is absolutely free to act according to his own will; greater freedom than which is not conceivable. At the fame time, as man is made accountable for his conduct, to his Maker, to his fellow-creatures, and to himself, he is not left to act arbitrarily; for at that rate he would be altogether unaccountable: his will is regulated by desire; and desire by what pleases or displeases him. Where we are subjected to the will of another, would it be our wish, that his will

⁽a) Chap. 2. part 4.

should be under no regulation? And where we are guided by our own will. would it be reasonable to wish, that it should be under no regulation, but be exerted without reason, without any motive, and contrary to common fense? Thus, with regard to human conduct. there is a chain of laws established by nature, no one link of which is left arbitrary. By that wife fystem, man is made accountable: by it, he is made a fit fubiect for divine and human government: by it, persons of sagacity foresee the conduct of others': and by it, the prescience of the Deity with respect to human actions, is clearly established.

The abfurd figure that a man would make acting in contradiction to motives, should be sufficient to open our eyes without an argument. What a despicable sigure does a person make, upon whom the same motive has great influence at one time, and very little at another? He is a bad member of society, and cannot be rely'd on as a friend or as an associate. But how highly rational is this supposed person, compared with one who can act in contradiction to every motive? The

former may be termed whimfical or capricious: the latter is worfe; he is abfolutely unaccountable, and cannot be the fubject of government, more than a lump of matter unconfcious of its own motion.

Let the faculty of acting be compared with that of reasoning: the comparison will reconcile every unbtaffed mind to the necessary influence of motives. A man is tied by his nature to form conclusions upon what appears to him true at the time. This indeed does not always fecure him against error; but would he be more secure by a power to form conclusions contrary to what appears true? Such a power would make him a most absurd reasoner. Would he be less absurd in acting, if he had a power to act against motives, and contrary to what he thinks right or eligible? To act in that manner, is inconfiftent with any notion we can form of a fenfible being. Nor do we suppose that man is fuch a being: in accounting for any action, however whimfical, we always ascribe it to some motive; never once dreaming that there was no motive.

And after all, where would be the advantage of fuch an arbitrary power? Can

a rational man wish seriously to have such a power? or can he feriously think, that God would make man fo whimfical a being? To endue man with a degree of felf-command sufficient to resist every vitious motive, without any power to refift those that are virtuous, would indeed be a valuable gift; too valuable indeed for man, because it would exalt him to be an angel. But fuch felf-command as to refift both equally, which is the present supposition, would be a great curse, as it would unqualify us for being governed either by God or by man. Better far to be led as rational creatures by the prospect of good, however erroneous our judgement may sometimes be.

While all other animals are subjected to divine government and unerringly sulfil their destination, and considering that man is the only terrestrial being who is formed to know his Maker and to worfhip him; will it not found harsh that he alone should be withdrawn from divine government? The power of resisting the strongest motives, whether of religion or of morality, would render him independent of the Deity.

This

This reasoning is too diffuse: if it can be comprehended in a fingle view, it will make the deeper impression. There may be conceived different fystems for governing man as a thinking and rational being. One is. That virtuous motives should always prevail over every other motive. This, in appearance, would be the most perfect government: but man is not fo constituted; and there is reason to doubt, whether fuch perfection would in his prefent state correspond to the other branches of his nature (a). Another tystem is, that virtuous motives sometimes prevail. fometimes vitious; and that we are always determined by the prevailing motive. This is the true fystem of nature; and hence great variety of character and of conduct among men. A third fyttem is, That motives have influence; but that one can act in contradiction to every motive. This is the fystem I have been combating. Observe only what it resolves in-How is an action to be accounted for that is done in contradiction to every motive? It wanders from the region of com-

(a) See book 2. sketch 1. at the end.

Vol. IV.

O

mon

mon fense into that of mere chance. fuch were the nature of man, no one could rely on another: a promise or an oath would be a rope of fand: the utmost cordiality between two friends would be no fecurity to either against the other: the first weapon that comes in the way might be lethal. Would any man wish to have been formed according to tuch a model? He would probably with to have been formed according to the model first mentioned: but that is denied him, virtuous motives fometimes prevailing, fometimes vitious; and from the wildom of Providence we have reason to believe, that this law is of all the best fitted for man in his present flate.

To conclude this branch of the subject: In none of the works of Providence, as far as we can penetrate, is there display'd a deeper reach of art and wisdom, than in the laws of action peculiar to man as a thinking and rational being. Were he left loose to act in contradiction to motives, there would be no place for prudence, foresight, nor for adjusting means to an end: It could not be foreseen by others what a man would do the next hour;

hour; nay it could not be foreseen even by himtelf. Man would not be capable of rewards and punishments; he would not be fitted, either for divine or for human government: he would be a creature that has no refemblance to the human race. But man is not left loofe; for the' he is at liberty to act according to his own will, yet his will is regulated by defire, and defire by what pleafes and difpleafes. This connection preferves uniformity of conduct, and confines human actions within the great chain of causes and effects. this admirable fyslem, liberty and necesfity, feemingly incompatible, are made pertectly concordant, fitting us for fociety, and for government both human and divine.

Having explained the laws that govern human actions; we proceed to what is chiefly intended in the prefent section, which is, to examine how far the moral senuments handled in the foregoing sections are consistent with these laws. Let it be kept in view, that the perception of a right and a wrong in actions, is founded entirely upon the moral sense. And that upon the same tense are founded the senti-

ments of approbation and praise when a man does right, and of disapprobation and blame when he does wrong. Were we destitute of the moral sense, right and wrong, praise and blame, would be as little understood as colours are by one born blind *.

The formidable argument urged to prove that our moral fentiments are inconfillent with the supposed necessary influence of motives, is what follows. "If "motives have a necessary influence on our actions, there can be no good reafon to praise a man for doing right, nor to blame him for doing wrong. What

* In an intricate subject like the present, great care should be taken to avoid ambiguities. The term praye has two different significations: in one sense it is opposed to blame; in another, to dispraye. In the former sense it expresses a moral sentiment: in the latter, it expresses only the approxing any object that pleases me. I praise one man for his candour, and blame another for being a double-dealer. These, both of them, imply will and intention. I praise a man for being acute; but for being dull, I only dispraise him. I praise a woman for beauty; but blame not any for ugliness, I only dispraise them. None of these particulars imply will or intention.

[&]quot; foundation

"foundation can there be either for praise or blame, when it was not in a man's power to have acted otherwise. A man commits murder, instigated by a sud-den sit of revenge: why should he be punished, if he acted necessarily, and could not resist the violence of the passion?" Here it is supposed, that a power of resistance is essential to praise and blame. But upon examination it will be found, that this supposition has not any support in the moral sense, nor in reason, nor in the common sense of mankind.

With respect to the first, the moral fense, as we have seen above, places innocence and guilt and consequently praise and blame, entirely upon will and intention. The connection between the motive and the action, so far from diminishing, enhances the praise or blame. The greater influence a virtuous motive has, the greater is the virtue of the actor, and the more warm our praise. On the other hand, the greater influence a virtious motive has, the greater is the vice of the actor, and the more violently do we blame him. As this is the cardinal point, I wish to have it considered in a general view.

It is effential both to human and divine government, that the influence of motives should be necessary. It is equally essential, that that necessary influence should not have the effect to lessen guilt in the estimation of men. To fulfil both ends. guilt is placed by the moral tenfe entirely upon will and intention: a man accordingly blames himself for doing mischief willingly and intentionally, without once confidering whether he acted necessarily or not. And his fentiments are adopted by all the world: they pronounce the fame fentence of condemnation that he himfelf does. A man put to the torture, yields to the pain, and with bitter reluctance reveals the fecrets of his party: another does the fame, yielding to a tempting bribe. The latter only is blamed as guilty of a crime; and yet the bribe perhaps operated as flrongly on the latter, as torture did on the former. But the one was compelled reluctantly to reveal the fecrets of his party; and therefore is innocent: the other acted willingly, in order to procure a great fum of money; and therefore is guilty.

With respect to reason, I observe, that

the moral sense is the only judge in this controversy, not the faculty of reason. I should however not be asraid of a sentence against me, were reason to be the judge. For would not reason dictate, that the less a man wavers about his duty, or, in other words, the less influence victious motives have, the more praise-worthy he is; and the more blameable, the less influence virtuous motives have.

Nor are we led by common fense to differ from reason or from the moral sense. A man commits murder, overcome by a fudden fit of revenge which he could not refift: do we not reflect, even at any view. that the man did not defire to result: and that he would have committed the murder, tho' he had not been under any neceflity? a person of plain understanding will fay, What fignifies it wnether the criminal could refift or no, when he committed the murder wittingly and willingly? A man gives poifon privately out of revenge. Does any one doubt of his guilt. when he never once repented; tho' after administering the poison it no longer was in his power to draw back? A man may be guilty and blame-worthy, even where there there is external compulsion that he cannot resist. With sword in hand I run
to attack an enemy: my foot slipping, I
fall headlong upon him, and by that accident the sword is push'd into his body.
The external act was not the effect of
Will, but of accident: but my intention
was to commit murder, and I am guilty.
All men acknowledge, that the Deity is
necessarily good. Does that circumstance
detroct from his praise in common apprehension? On the contrary, he merits
from us the highest praise on that very
account.

It is commonly faid, that there can be no virtue where there is no struggle. Virtue, it is true, is best known from a struggle: a man who has never met with a temptation, can be little consident of his virtue. But the observation taken in a strict sense, is undoubtedly erroneous. A man, tempted to betray his trust, wavers; but after much doubting refuses at last the bribe. Another hesitates not a moment, but rejects the bribe with disdain: duty is obstinate, and will not suffer him even to deliberate. Is there no virtue in the latter?

ter? Undoubtedly more than in the former.

Upon the whole, it appears that praise and blame rest ultimately upon the dispofition or frame of mind *. Nor is it obvious, that a power to act against motives, could vary in any degree these moral sentiments. When a man commits a crime. let it be supposed that he could have refifted the prevailing motive. Why then did he not refift, instead of bringing upon himself shame and misery? The answer must be, for no other can be given, that his disposition is vitious, and that he is a detestable creature. Further, it is not a little difficult to conceive, how a man can refift a prevailing motive, without having any thing in his mind that should engage him to refist it. But letting that pais, I make the following supposi-

• Malice and refentment, tho' commonly joined together, have no refemblance but in producing mischief. Malice is a propensity of nature that operates deliberately without passion: resentment is a passion to which even good-natured people are subject. A malicious character is esteemed much more vitious than one that is irascible. Does not this shew, that virtue and vice consist more in disposition than in action?

Vol. IV.

tion. A man is tempted by avarice to accept a bribe: if he refift upon the principle of duty, he is led by the prevailing motive: if he relift without having any reason or motive for resisting. I cannot discover any merit in such resistance: it feems to refolve into a matter of chance or accident, whether he refift or do not refift. Where can the werit lie of refifting a vitious motive, when refiltance happens by mere chance? and where the demerit of relifting a virtuous motive, when it is owing to the fame chance? If a man. actuated by no principle, good or bad. and having no end or purpose in view, should kill his neighbour, I see not that he would be more accountable, than if he had asted in his fleep, or were mad.

Human punithments are perfectly confishent with the necessary influence of motives, without supposing a power to withstand them. If it be urged, That a man ought not to be punished for committing a crime when he could not resist: the answer is, That as he committed the crime intentionally and with his eyes open, he is guilty in his own opinion, and in the opinion of all men. Here is a just foundation

dation for punishment. And its utility is great; being intended to deter people from committing crimes. The dread of punishment is a weight in the scale on the side of virtue, to counterbalance virious motives.

The final cause of this branch of our nature is admirable. If the necessary influence of motives had the effect either to lellen the merit of a virtuous action, or the demerit of a crime, morality would be totally unhinged. The most virtuous action would of all be the least worthy of praise; and the most vitious be of all the least worthy of blame. Nor would the evil stop there: inflead of curbing inordinate paffions, we should be encouraged to indulge them, as an excellent excuse for doing wrong. Thus, the moral fentiments of approbation and disapprobation, of praise and blame, are found perfectly confiftent with the laws above mentioned that govern human actions, without necessity of recurring to an imaginary power of acting against motives.

The only plausible objection I have met with against the foregoing theory, is the remorse a man seels for a crime he suddenly commits, and as fuddenly repents of. During a fit of bitter remorfe for having flain my favourite servant in a violent passion, without just provocation, I accuse myself for having given way to pasfion; and acknowledge that I could and ought to have reffrained it. Here we find remorfe founded on a fystem directly oppofite to that above laid down; a system that acknowledges no necessary connection between an action and its motive; but, on the contrary, supposes that it is in a man's power to refift his passion, and that he ought to relift it. What shall be faid upon this point? Can a man be a necessary agent, when he is conscious of the contrary, and is fensible that he can act in contradiction to motives? This objection is strong in appearance; and would be invincible, were we not happily relieved of it by a doctrine laid down in Elements of Criticisin (a) concerning the irregular influence of passion on our opinions and tentiments. Upon extmination, it will be found, that the prefent case may be added to the many examples there given of that irregular influence.

⁽a) Chap. 2. part 5.

In a peevish fit, I take exception at some flight word or gesture of my friend, which I interpret as if he doubted of my veracity. I am instantly in a flame: in vain he protests that he had no meaning, for impatience will not fuffer me to listen. I bid him draw, which he does with reluctance; and before he is well prepared. I give him a mortal wound. Bitter remorfe and anguish succeed instantly to rage, "What have I done? I have murdered " my innocent, my best friend; and yet " I was not mad - with that hand I did " the horrid deed; why did not I rather " turn it against my own heart?" Here every impression of necessity vanishes: my mind informs me that I was absolutely free. and that I ought to have fmothered my passion. I put an opposite case. A brutal fellow treats me with great indignity, and proceeds even to a blow. My passion rises beyond the possibility of restraint: I can scarce forbear so long as to bid him draw; and that moment I stab him to the heart. I am forry for having been engaged with a suffian; but have no contrition nor renorfe. In this case, I never once dream that I could have relifted the impulse of passion:

passion: on the contrary, thy thoughts and words are, "That flesh and blood " could not bear the affront; and that I " must have been branded for a coward. " had I not done what I did." In reality, both actions were equally necessary. Whence then opinions and fentiments fo opposite to each other? The irregular in-Auence of passion on our opinions and fentiments, will folve the question. All violent passions are prone to their own gratification. A man who has done an action that he repents of and that affects him with anguith, abhors himself, and is odious in his own eyes: he wishes to find himself guilty; and the thought that his guilt is beyond the possibility of excuse, gratifies the passion. In the first case accordingly, remorfe forces upon me a conviction that I might have restrained my passion, and ought to have restrained it. I will not give way to any excuse: because in a severe fit of remorse, it gives me pain to be excused. In the other case, as there is no remorfe, things appear in their true light without difguise. To illustrate this reasoning, I observe, that passion warps my judgement of the actions of others,

thers, as well as of my own. Many examples are given in the chapter above quoted: join to these the following. My fervant aiming at a partridge, happens to floot a favourite spaniel crossing the way unfeen. Inflamed with anger, I ftorm at his rathness, pronounce him guilty, and will liften to no excuse. When passion subfides. I become fensible that the action was merely accidental, and that the man is absolutely innocent. The nurse overlays my only child, the long-expected heir to a great estate. With difficulty I refrain from putting her to death: "The wretch " has murdered my infant: fhe ought to " be torn to pieces." When I turn calm, the matter appears to me in a very different light. The poor woman is inconfolable, and can fearce believe that the is innocent: fire bitterly reproaches herself for want of care and concern. But, upon cool reflection, both she and I become sensible. that no person in sound sleep has any selfcommand, and that we cannot be answerable for any action of which we are notconscious. Thus, upon the whole, we discover, that any impression we occasionally have of being able to act in contradiction

diction to motives, is the refult of passion, not of found judgement.

The reader will observe, that this section is copied from Essays on Morality and Natural Religion. The ground-work is the same: the alterations are only in the superstructure; and the subject is abridged in order to adapt it to its present place. The preceding parts of the Sketch were published in the second edition of the Principles of Equity. But as law-books have little currency, the publishing the whole in one essay, will not, I hope, be thought improper.

APPENDIX.

Upon Chance and Contingency.

Hold it to be an intuitive proposition,
That the Deity is the primary cause of all things; that with consummate wisdom he formed the great plan of government, which he carries on by laws suited to the different natures of animate and inanimate

animate beings; and that these laws, produce a regular chain of causes and effects in the moral as well as the material world. admitting no events but what are comprehended in the original plan (a). Hence it clearly follows, that chance is excluded out of this world, that nothing can happen by accident, and that no event is arbitrary or contingent. This is the doctrine of the effay quoted; and, in my apprehension, well founded. But I cannot fubscribe to what follows, "That we have " an impression of chance and contin-" gency, which confequently must be de-" lufive." I would not willingly admit any delusion in the nature of man, unless it were made evident beyond contradiction; and I now fee clearly, that the impreflion we have of chance and contingency, is not delufive; but perfectly confiftent with the established plan.

The explanation of chance and contingency in the faid effay, shall be given in the author's own words, as a proper text to reason upon. "In our ordinary train of thinking, it is certain that all events

⁽a) See Essays on Morality and Natural Religion, part 1. essay 3.

Vol. IV. Q "appear"

" appear not to us as necessary. A mul-"titude of events feem to be under our " power to cause or to prevent; and we " readily make a diffinction betwixt e-" vents that are necessary, i. e. that must " be; and events that are contingent, i. e. " that may be, or may not be. This dif-" tinction is void of truth: for all things "that fall out either in the material or " moral world, are, as we have feen, a-" like necessary, and alike the result of " fixed laws. Yet, whatever conviction a " philosopher may have of this, the dif-" tinction betwixt things necessary and " things contingent, possesses his ordinary " train of thought, as much as it possesses " the most illiterate. We act universally " upon that distinction: nay it is in truth st the cause of all the labour, care, and in-" dustry, of mankind. I illustrate this " doctrine by an example. Constant ex-" perience hath taught us, that death is " a necessary event. The human frame " is not made to last for ever in its pre-" fent condition; and no man thinks of " more than a temporary existence upon " this globe. But the particular time of " our death appears a contingent event. " However

" However certain it be, that the time " and manner of the death of each indi-" vidual is determined by a train of pre-" ceding causes, and is no less fixed than " the hour of the fun's rifing or fetting; " yet no person is affected by this doc-" trine. In the care of prolonging life, " we are directed by the supposed contin-" gency of the time of death, which, to " a certain term of years, we confider as " depending in a great measure on our-" felves, by caution against accidents, due " use of food, exercise, &c. These means " are profecuted with the fame diligence " as if there were in fact no necessary " train of causes to fix the period of life. " In short, whoever attends to his own " practical ideas, whoever reflects upon " the meaning of the following words " which occur in all languages, of things " possible, contingent, that are in our power " to cause or prevent; whoever, I say, re-" flects upon these words, will clearly see, " that they suggest certain perceptions or " notions repugnant to the doctrine above " established of universal necessity."

In order to show that there is no repugpance, I begin with defining chance and Q 2 contingency.

contingency. The former is applied to events that have happened; the latter to future events. When we fay a thing has happened by chance, we furely do not mean that chance was the cause; for no person ever imagined that chance is a thing that can act, and by acting produce events: we only mean, that we are ignorant of the cause, and that, for ought we see, it might have happened or not happened, or have happened differently. Aiming at a bird. I thoot by chance a favourite spaniel: the meaning is not, that chance killed the dog, but that as to me the dog's death was accidental. With respect to contingency, future events that are variable and the cause unknown, are taid to be contingent; changes of the weather, for example, whether it will be frost or thaw tomorrow, whether fair or foul. In a word, chance and contingency applied to events. mean not that fuch events happen without any cause, but only that we are ignorant of the cause.

It appears to me, that there is no fuch thing in human nature as a fenfe that any thing happens without a cause: such a fense would be grossly delusive. It is indeed

indeed true, that our fense of a cause is not always equally distinct: with respect to an event that happens regularly, such as summer, winter, rising or setting of the sun, we have a distinct sense of a cause: our sense is less distinct with respect to events less regular, such as alterations of the weather; and extremely indistinct with respect to events that seldom happen, and that happen without any known cause. But with respect to no event whatever does our sense of a cause vanish altogether, and give place to a sense of things happening without a cause.

Chance and contingency thus explained, fuggest not any perception or notion repugnant to the doctrine of universal necessity; for my ignorance of a cause, does not, even in my own apprehension, exclude a cause. Descending to particulars, I take the example mentioned in the text, namely, the uncertainty of the time of my death. Knowing that my life depends in some measure on myself, I use all means to preserve it, by proper food, exercise, and care to prevent accidents. Nor is there any delusion here. I am moved to

use these means by the desire I have to live: these means accordingly prove effectual to carry on my present existence to the appointed period; and in that view are so many links in the great chain of causes and effects. A burning coal falling from the grate upon the floor, wakes me from a sound sleep. I start up to extinguish the fire. The motive is irresssible: nor have I reason to resist, were it in my power; for I consider the extinction of the fire by my hand, to be one of the means chosen by Providence for prolonging my life to its destined period.

Were there a chain of causes and effects established entirely independent on me, and were my life in no measure under my own power, it would indeed be fruitless for me to act; and the absurdity of knowingly acting in vain, would be a prevailing motive for remaining at rest. Upon that supposition, the ignava ratio of Chrysippus might take place; cut si pareamus, nibil omnino agamus in vita*. But I act necessarily when influenced by motives; and I have no reason to torbear, consider-

^{• &}quot; The indolent principle; which if we were to follow, we should do nothing in life."

ing that my actions, by producing their intended effects, contribute to carry on the great chain.

PART II.

Progress of Morality.

Aving unfolded the principles of morality, the next step is, to trace out its gradual progress, from its infancy among savages to its maturity among polished nations. The history of opinions concerning the foundation of morality, falls not within my plan; and I am glid to be relieved from an article that is executed in perfection by more able hands (a).

An animal is brought forth with every one of its external members, and completes its growth, not by production of any new member, but by addition of matter to those originally formed. The same holds with respect to internal members;

(a) Dr Cudworth and Dr Smith.

the fenses, for example, instincts, powers and faculties, principles and propensities: these are cocval with the individual, and are gradually unfolded, some early, some late. The external senses, being necessary for self-preservation, soon arrive at maturity. Some internal senses, of order for example, of propriety, of dignity, of grace, being of no use during infancy, are not only slow in their progress toward maturity, but require much culture. Among savages they are scarce perceptible.

The moral fense, in its progress, differs from those last mentioned; being frequently discovered, even in childhood. It is however slow of growth, and seldom arrives at persection without culture and experience.

The moral fense not only ripens gradually with the other internal senses mentioned, but from them acquires force and additional authority: a savage makes no difficulty to kill an enemy in cold blood: bloody scenes are familiar to him, and his moral sense is not sufficiently vigorous to give him compunction. The action appears in a different light to a person of delicate feelings; and accordingly, the moral sense

fense has much more authority over those who have received a refined education, than over savages.

It is pleafant to trace the progress of morality in members of a polithed nation. Objects of external fense make the first impressions; and from them are derived a flock of fimple ideas. Affection, accompanying ideas, is first directed to particular objects, fuch as my father, my brother, my companion. The mind, opening by degrees, takes in complex objects. fuch as my country, my religion, the government under which I live; and thefe also become objects of affection. Our connections multiply; and the moral fense. acquiring strength as the mind opens, regulates our duty to every connected object. Objects of hatred multiply as well as objects of affection, and give full fcope to diffocial passions, the most formidable antagonists that morality has to encounter. But nature hath provided a remedy: the person who indulges malice or revenge, is commonly the greatest sufferer by the indulgence: men become wife by experience, and have more peace and fatisfaction in fostering kindly affection: stormy pas-Vol. IV. R fions

fions are subdued, or brought under rigid discipline; and benevolence triumphs over selfishness. We refine upon the pleasures of society: we learn to submit our opinions: we affect to give preference to others; and readily fall in with whatever sweetens social intercourse: we carefully avoid causes of discord; and overlooking trivial offences, we are satisfied with moderate reparation, even for gross injuries.

A nation from its original favage state. grows to maturity like the individuals above described, and the progress of morality is the fame in both. The favage state is the infancy of a nation, during which the moral fense is feeble, yielding to custom, to imitation, to passion. But a nation, like a member of a polished society, ripens gradually, and acquires a taste in the fine arts, with acuteness of fense in matters of right and wrong. Hatred and revenge, the great obstacles to moral duty, raged without control, while the privilege of avenging wrongs was permitted to individuals (a). But hatred and revenge yielded gradually to the pleafures of fociety, and to the growing authority

⁽a) See Historical Law tracts. tract 1.

of the moral fense; and benevolent affections prevailed over dissocial passions. In that comfortable period, we hear no more of cruelty as a national character: on the contrary, the avertion we have to an enemy, is even in war exercised with moderation. Nor do the stormy passions ever again revive; for after a nation begins to decline from its meridian height, the passions that prevail are not of the violent kind, but selfish, timorous, and deceitful.

Morality however has not to this day arrived to such maturity, as to operate between nations with equal steadiness and vigour, as between individuals. Ought this to be regretted as an imperfection in our nature? I think not: had we the same compunction of heart for injuring a nation as for injuring an individual, and were injustice equally blameable as to both; war would cease, and a golden age ensue, than which a greater missfortune could not befal the human race (a).

In the progress from maturity to a declining state, a nation differs widely from an individual. Old age puts an end to

(a) Book 2. sketch 1.

the latter: there are many causes that weaken the former; but old age is none of them, if it be not in a metaphorical sense. Riches, selfishness, and luxury, are the diseases that weaken prosperous nations: these diseases, following each other in a train, corrupt the heart, dethrone the moral sense, and make an anarchy in the soul: men stick at no expence to purchase pleasure; and they stick at no vice to supply that expence.

Such are the outlines of morality in its progress from birth to burial; and these outlines I purpose to fill up with an induction of particulars. Looking back to the commencement of civil fociety, when no wants were known but those of nature, and when fuch wants were amply provided for: we find individuals of the fame tribe living innocently and cordially together: they had no irregular appetites, nor any ground for strife. In that state, moral principles joined their influence with that of national affection, to secure individuals from harm. Savages accordingly, who have plenty of food and are fimple in habitation and cloathing, feldom transgress the rules of morality within their

own tribe. Diodorus Siculus, who composed his history recently after Cæsar's expedition into Britain, fays, that the inhabitants dwelt in mean cottages covered with reeds or flicks; that they were of much fincerity and integrity, contented with plain and homely fare; and were strangers to the excess and luxury of rich In Friezeland, in Holland, and in other maritime provinces of the Netherlands, locks and keys were unknown, till the inhabitants became rich by commerce: they contented themselves with bare neceffaries, which every one had in plenty. The Laplanders have no notion of theft. When they make an excursion into Norway, which is performed in the fummer months, they leave their huts open, without fear that any thing will be purloined. Formerly they were entirely upright in their only commerce, that of bartering the ikins of wild beafts for tobacco, brandy, and coarse cloth. But being often cheated by strangers, they begin to be more cunning. Theft was unknown among the Caribbees till Europeans came among them. When they lost any thing, they faid innocently, "the Christians have " been

" been here." Crantz, describing the inhabitants of Iceland before they were corrupted by commerce with strangers, says, that they lived under the same roof with their cattle; that every thing was common among them except their wives and children; and that they were simple in their manners, having no appetite but for what nature requires. In the reign of Edwin King of Northumberland, a child, as historians report, might have travelled with a purse of gold, without hazard of robbery: in our days of luxury, want is so intolerable, that even fear of death is not sufficient to deter us. All travellers agree, that the native Canadians are perfectly difinterested, abhorring deceit and lying. The Californians are fond of iron and sharp instruments; and yet are so strictly honest, that carpenter-tools left open during night, were fafe. The favages of North America had no locks for their goods: they probably have learned from Europeans to be more circumspect. Procopius bears testimony (a), that the Sclavi, like the Huns, were innocent people, free of malice. Plan Carpin, the Pope's am-

bassador

⁽a) Historia Gothica, lib. 3.

bassador to the Cham of Tartary, onnot 1246, fays, that the Tartars are not addicted to thieving; and that they leave their goods open without a lock. Nicholas Damascenus reports the same of the The original inhabitants of the island Borneo, expelled by the Mahometans from the fea-coast to the center of the country, are honest, industrious, and kindly to each other: they have fome notion of property, but not fuch as to render them covetous. Pagans in Siberia are numerous; and, tho' grossly ignorant especially in matters of religion, they are a good moral people. It is rare to hear among them of perjury, thieving, fraud, or drunkenness; if we except those who live among the Russian Christians, with whose vices they are tainted. Strahlenberg (a) bears testimony to their honesty. Having employ'd a number of them in a long navigation, he flept in the fame boat with men whose names he knew not, whose language he understood not, and yet lost not a particle of his baggage. Being obliged to remain a fortnight among the Offiacs, upon the river Oby, his baggage

⁽a) Description of Russia, Siberia, &c.

lay open in a hut inhabited by a large family, and yet nothing was purloined. The following incident, which he also mentions, is remarkable. A Russian of Tobolski, in the course of a long journey, lodged one night in an Offiac's hut, and the next day on the road mitfed his purse with a hundred rubles. His laudlord's fon, hunting at fome distance from the hut, found the purse, but left it there. By his father's order, he covered it with branches, to fecure it in cale an owner should be found. After three months, the Russian returning, lodged with the same Offiac: and mentioning occasionally the loss of his purse, the Osliac, who at first did not recollect his face, cry'd out with joy, "Art thou the man who loft that " purse? my fon shall go and show thee " where it lies, that thou may'ft take it " up with thine own hand." The Hottentots (a) have not the least notion of theft: tho' immoderately fond of tobacco and brandy, they are employ'd by the Dutch for tending warehouses full of these commodities. Here is an instance of probity above temptation, even among favages

in the first stage of social life. Some individuals are more liberally endued than others with virtuous principles: may it not be thought, that in that respect nature has been more kind to the Hottentots than to many other tribes? Spaniards, fettled on the fea-coast of Chili, carry on a commerce with neighbouring favages, for bridles, fours, knives, and other manufactures of iron; and in return receive oven, horses, and even children for flives. A Spaniard carries his goods there; and after obtaining liberty to dispose of them, he moves about, and delivers his goods, without the leaft referve, to every one who bargains with him. When all is fold, he intimates his departure; and every purchaser hurries with his goods to him; and it is not known that any one Indian ever broke his engagement. They give him a guard to carry him fafe out of their territory, with all the flaves, horses, and cattle he has purchased. The savages of Brazil are faithful to their promifes, and to the treatics they make with the Portuguele. Upon fome occasions, they may be accused of error and wrong judge-Vol. IV. ment.

ment, but never of injustice nor of duplicity.

While the earth was thinly peopled. plenty of food, procured by hunting and filling, promoted population; but as population lessens the stock of animal food, a favage nation, encreasing in numbers, must spread wider and wider for more game. Thus tribes, at first widely separated from each other, approach gradually till they become neighbours. Hence a new scene with respect to morality. ferences about their hunting-fields, about their game, about perfonal injuries, multiply between neighbours; and every quarrel is blown into a flame, by the aversion men naturally have to strangers. Anger, hatred, and revenge, now find vent, which formerly lay latent without an object: diffocial peffions prevail without control, because among favages morality is no match for them; and cruelty becomes predominant in the human race. Ancient hiflory accordingly is tull of enormous cruelties: witness the incursions of the northern barbatians into the Roman empire; and the incursions of Genhizcan and Tamerlane into the fertile

tile countries of Asia, spreading destruction with fire and sword, and sparing neither man, woman, nor infant.

Malevolent passions, acquiring strength by daily exercise against persons of a different tribe, came to be vented against persons even of the same tribe; and the privilege long enjoy'd by individuals of avenging the wrongs done to them, beflow'd irreliftible force upon fuch paffions (a). The history of ancient Greece prefents nothing to the reader but uturpations, affaffinations, and other horrid crimes. The names of many famous for wickedness, are still preferved; Atreus, for example, Eteocles, Alcincon, Phedra, Clyremnestra. The story of Pelops and his descendents, is a chain of criminal horrors: during that period, parricide and incest were ordinary incidents. Euripides represents Medea vowing revenge against her hufband Jason, and laying a plot to poison him. Of that infamous plot the chorus express their approbation, justifying every woman who, in like circumstances, acts the same part.

(4) See Historical Law-trafts, traft 1.

S 2 The

The frequent incursions of northern barbarians into the Roman empire, fpred detolation and ruin through the whole. The Romans, from the highest polish degenerating into favages, assumed by degrees the cruel and bloody manners of their conquerors; and the conquerors and conquered, blended into one mass, equalled the groffest barbarians of ancient times in ignorance and brutality. Clovis, King of the Franks, even after his conversion to Christianity, affasfinated without remorfe his nearest kinfman. The children of Clodomir, ann 530, were affaffinated by their In the thirteenth century. two unc'es. Ezzelino de Aroniano obtained the fovereignty of Padua, by maffacting 12,000 of his tellow-citizens. Galeas Sforza, Duke of Milan, was affiffinated ann. 1476 in the cathedral church of Milan, after the affallins had put up their prayers for courage to perpetrate the decd. It is a still stronger proof how low morality was in those days, that the Pope himself, Sextus IV, attempted to affallinate the two brothers, Laurent and Julien de Medicis; chusing the elevation of the host as a proper time, when the people would be bufy about

about their devotions. Nay more, that very Pope, with unparallelled impudence. excommunicated the Florentines for doing juttice upon the intended affaffins. most facred oaths were in vain employed as a fecurity against that horrid crime. Childebert II. King of the Franks, enticed Magnovald to his court, by a folemn oath that he should receive no harm; and yet made no difficulty to affaffinate him during the gaiety of a banquet. But these instances, however horrid, make no figure compared with the maffacre of St Bartholomew, where many thousands were inhumanly and treacherously butchered. Even fo late as the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, affaffination was not held in every case to be criminal. Many folicitons applications were made to general councils of Christian clergy, to declare it criminal in every case; but without suc-Ferdinand King of Aragon and Navarre, after repeated affaffinations and acts of perfidy, obtained the appellation of Great: fo little authority had the moral fense, during these dark and sanguinary ages.

But it is fearce necessary to mention particular

particular instances of the overbearing power of malevolent passions during these ages. An opinion, once universal, that the innocent may be justly involved in the same punishment with the guilty, is of itself irrefragable evidence, that morality formerly had very little influence when opposed by revenge. There is no moral principle more evident, than that punishment cannot be inflicted with justice but upon the guilty; and yet in Greece, the involving of the innocent with the guilty in the same punishment, was authorised even by positive law. By an Athenian law, a man committing facrilege, or betraying his country, was banished with all his children (a). And when a tyrant was put to death, his children fuffered the fame fate (b). The punishment of treason in Macedon, was extended against the criminal's relations (c). Hanno, a citizen of Carthage, formed a plot to enflave his country, by poisoning the whole senate at a banquet. He was tortured to death;

⁽a) Meursius de legibus Atticis, lib. 2. cip. 2.

⁽b) Eod. lib. 2. cap. 15.

⁽c) Quintus Curtius, lib. 6. cap. 11,

and his children, with all his relations. were cut off without mercy, tho' they had no accession to his guilt. Among the Japanete, a people remarkably ferocious, it is the practice to involve children and relations in the punishment of capital crimes. Even Cicero, the chief man for learning in the most enlightened period of the Roman republic, and a celebrated moralist, approves that practice: "Nec vero me " fugit, quam sit acerbum parentum sce-" lera filiorum pænis lui: fed hoc præ-" clare legibus comparatum est, ut cari-" tas liberorum amiciores parentes reipu-" blicæ redderet * (a)." In Britain, every one knows, that murder was retaliated, not only upon the criminal and his relations, but upon his whole clan; a practice fo common as to be diffinguished by a peculiar name, that of deadly feud. As late as the days of King Fdmund, a law

[&]quot;I am fensible of the hardship of punishing the child for the crime of the parent: this, however, is a wife enactment of our laws; for hereby the parent is bound to the interest of the state by the strongest of all ties, the affection to his offspring."

⁽a) Ep. 12. ad Brutum.

was made in England, prohibiting deadly feud, except between the relations of the person murdered and the murderer himself.

I embrace the present opportunity to honour the Jews, by observing, that they were the first people we read of, who had correct notions of morality with respect to the present point. The following law is express: "The fathers shall not be put to " death for the children, neither shall the " children be put to death for the fathers: " every man shall be put to death for his " own fin (a)." Amaziah, King of Judah, gave strict obedience to that law, in avenging his father's death: "And it " came to pass as soon as the kingdom " was confirmed in his hand, that he flew " his fervants which had flain the king " his father. But the children of the " murderers he flew not; according to " that which is written in the book of the " law of Mofes (b)." There is an elegant passage in Ezekiel to the same purpose (c): "What mean ye, that ye use this pro-" verb concerning the land of Ifrael, fay-

⁽a) Deuteronomy, xxiv. 16.

⁽b) 2 Kings, chap. 14.

⁽c) Chap. 18.

[&]quot; ing,

" ing. The fathers have eaten four grapes. " and the children's teeth are fet on edge? " As I live, faith the Lord God, ye shall " not have occasion any more to use this " proverb in Ifrael. The foul that fin-" neth, it shall die: the son shall not bear " the iniquity of the father, neither shall " the father bear the iniquity of the fon; " the righteoutness of the righteous shall " be upon him, and the wickedness of " the wicked thall be upon him." Among the lews however, as among other nations, there are inflances without number, of involving innocent children and relations in the same punishment with the guilty. Such power has revenge, as to trample upon confeience, and upon the most express laws. Infligated with rage for Nabal's ingratitude, King David made a vow to God, not to leave alive of all who pertained to Nabal any that piffeth against the wall. And it was not any compunction of conscience that diverted him from his cruel purpose, but Nabal's beautiful wife, who pacified him (a). But such contradiction between principle and practice, is not peculiar to the Jews. We find (a) 1 Samuel, chap. 25.

Vol. IV.

T

examples

examples of it in the laws of the Roman empire. The true principle of punishment is laid down in an edict of the Emperors Arcadius and Honorius (a). "San-" cimus, ibi esse poenam, ubi et noxia " est. Propinguos, notos, familiares, pro-" cul a calumnia fubmovemus, quos reos " sceleris societas non facit. Nec enim " adfinitas vel amicitia nefarium crimen " admittunt. Peccata igitur suos teneant " auctores: nec ulterius progrediatur me-" tus quam reperiatur delictum. " fingulis quibusque judicibus intime-" tur "." These very Emperors, with refpect to treason, which touched them nearer than other crimes, talk a very different language. After observing, that will and purpose alone without an ouvert act, is treason, subjecting the criminal to capital

punishment

^{* &}quot;We ordain, that the punishment of the crime " shall extend to the criminal alone. We hold his ef relations, his friends, and his acquaintances, un-" fuspected; for intimacy, friendship, or connection, are no proof or argument of guilt. The ec confequences of the crime shall pursue only its " perpetrator. Let this statute be intimated to all " our judges."

⁽a) 1. 22. Cod. De pænis.

punishment and to forfeiture of all that belongs to him, they proceed in the following words (a). " Filii vero ejus, qui-" bus vitam Imperatoria specialiter leni-" tate concedimus, (paterno enim debe-" rent perire supplicio, in quibus paterni, " hoc est, hereditarii criminis exempla " metuuntur), a materna, vel avita, oni-" nium etiam proximorum hereditate ac " fuccessione, habeantur alieni: testamen-" tis extraneorum nihil capeant: fint per-" petuo egentes et pauperes, infamia eos " paterna femper comitetur, ad nullos " prorfus honores, ad nulla facramenta " perveniant: fint postremo tales, ut his, " perpetua egestate sordentibus, sit et mors " folatium et vita supplicium *."

Human

(a) 1. 5. Cod. ad leg. Jul. majest.

" By a special extension of our imperial clemency, we allow the sons of the criminal to live;
altho? in strict justice, being tainted with hereditary guilt, they ought to suffer the punishment of
their father. But it is our will, that they shall be
incapable of all inheritance, either from the mother,
the grandfather, or any of their kindred; that they
shall be deprived of the power of inheriting by the
testament of a stranger; that they shall be abandoned to the extreme of poverty and perpetual
indigence; that the insamy of their father shall
ever attend them, incapable of honours, and exT 2 "cluded

Human nature is not fo perverse, as without veil or disguise to punish a person acknowledged to be innocent. An irregular bias of imagination, which extends the qualities of the principal to its accesfories, paves the way to that unjust practice (a). That bias, strengthened by indignation against an atrocious criminal, leads the mind hastily to conclude, that all his connections are partakers of his guilt. In an enlightened age, the clearness of moral principles fetters the imagination from confounding the innocent with the guilty. There remain traces however of that bias, tho' not carried fo far as mur-The fentence pronounced against Ravilliac for affaffinating Henry IV. of France, ordains, " That his house be e-" razed to the ground, and that no other " building be ever erected upon that " fpot." Was not this in imagination punishing a house for the proprietor's crime?

[&]quot; cluded from the participation of religious rites;
that such, in fine, shall be the misery of their
condition, that life shall be a punishment, and

[&]quot; death a comfort."

⁽a) Elements of Criticism, chap. 2. sect. 5.

Murder

Murder and affaffination are not only destructive in themselves, but, if possible. ftill more destructive in their consequences. The practice of shedding blood unjustly and often wantonly, blunts conscience, and paves the way to every crime. observation is verified in the ancient Greeks: their cruel and fanguinary character, rendered them little regardful of the strict rules of justice. Right was held to depend on power, among men as among wild beafts: it was conceived to be the will of the gods, that fuperior force should be a lawful title to dominion: " for what right can the weak have to " what they cannot defend?" Were that maxim to obtain, a weak man would have no right to liberty nor to life. That impious doctrine was avowed by the Athenians, and publicly afferted by their ambassadors in a conference with the Melians. reported by Thucydides (a). Many perfons act as if force and right were the fame; but a barefac'd profession of such a doctrine is uncommon. In the Fumenides, a tragedy of Eschylus, Orestes is arraigned in the Areopagus for killing his

(4) Lib. 5.

mother. Minerva, president of the court. decrees in favour of Orestes: and for what reason? " Having no mother my-" felf. the murder of a mother toucheth " not me *." In the tragedy of Electra. Orestes, consulting the Delphic oracle about means to avenge his father's murder, was enjoined by Apollo to forbear force. but to employ fraud and guile. Obedient to that injunction, Orestes commands his tutor to spread in Argos the news of his death, and to confirm the same with a solemn oath. In Homer, even the great Tuniter makes no difficulty to fend a lying dream to Agamemnon, chief of the Greeks. Dissimulation is recommended by the goddess Minerva (a). Ulysses de-

Athens, from the nature of its government, as established by Solon, was rendered uncapable of any regular or consistent body of laws. In every case, civil and criminal, the whole people were judges in the last refort. And what fort of judges will an ignorant multitude make, who have no guide but passion and prejudice? It is vain to make good laws, when such judges are the interpreters. Anachartis, the Scythian, being present at an assembly of the people, said, "It was singular, that in A-" thens, wife men pleaded causes, and sools determent mined them."

⁽a) Odyssey, book 13.

clares his detestation at using freedom with truth (a): and vet no man deals more in feigned stories (b). In the 22d book of the Iliad. Minerva is guilty of groß deceit and treachery to Hector. When he flees from Achilles, she appears to him in the shape of his brother Deiphobus, exhorts him to turn upon Achilles, and promiles to affift him. Hector accordingly, returning to the fight, darts his lance; which rebounds from the shield of Achilles, for by Vulcan it was made impenetrable. Hector calls upon his brother for another lance; but in vain, for Deiphobus was not there. The Greeks in Homer's time must have been strangely deformed in their morals, when fuch a flory could be relished *. A nation begins not

^{*} Upon the story of Jupiter being deceived by Juno in the 14th book of the Iliad, Pope says, "That he knows not a bolder sistion in all anti-"quity, nor one that has a greater air of impiety." Pope it would seem was little acquainted with anti-quity: for such acts of impiety were common among the Greeks; and in particular the incident mentioned in the text, is not only more impious, but also a more gross violation of the laws of morality.

⁽a) Book 14. (b) Book 14. book 15.

to polish nor to advance in morality, till writing be common; and writing was not known among the Greeks at the fiege of Troy. Nor were the morals of that people, as we fee, much purified for a long time after writing became common. When Plautus wrote, the Roman system of morals must have been extremely impure. In his play termed Menachmi, a gentleman of fashion having accidentally got into his hands a lady's robe with a gold class: inflead of returning them to the owner, endeavours to fell them without shame or remorfe. Such a fcene would not be endured at prefent, except among pickpockets. Both the Greeks and Carthaginians were held by the Romans to be artful and cunning. The Romans continued a plain people, with much fimplicity of manners, when the nations mentioned had made great progress in the arts of life; and it is a fad truth, that morality declines in proportion as a nation polishes. But if the Romans were later than the Greeks and Carthaginians in the arts of life, they foon furpassed them in every fort of immorality. For this change of manners, they were indebted to their rapid conquests.

quests. The fanguinary disposition both of the Greeks and Romans, appears from another practice that of exposing their infant children, which continued till humanity came in some measure to prevail. The practice continues in China to this day, the populousness of the country throwing a veil over the cruelty; but from the humanity of the Chinese, I conjecture, that the practice is rare. The Jews, a cloudy and prevish tribe much addicted to bloodshed, were miserably detective in moral principles. Take the following examples out of an endless number recorded in the books of the Old Testament. wife of Heber, took under her protection Sifera, general of the Canaanites, and engaged her faith for his fecurity. She put him treacherously to death when asleep; and was applauded by Deborah the prophetess for the meritorious action (a). That horrid deed would probably have appeared to her in a different light, had it been committed against Barac, general of the Israelites. David, flying from Saul, took refuge with Achilh, King of Gath; and, tho' protected by that King, made

(a) Judges, iv. 5.

war against the King's allies, faying, that it was against his own countrymen of Judah. "And David faved neither man nor " woman alive to bring tidings to Gath. " And Achifh believed David, faving, He " hath made his people Ifrael utterly to " abhor him: therefore he shall be my " fervant for ever (a)." This was a complication of ingratitude, lying, and treachery. Ziba, by prefents to King David and by defaming his mafter Mephibosheth, procured from the King a gift of his master's inheritance; tho' Mephibotheth had neither trimmed his beard, nor washed his cloaths, from the day the King departed till he returned in peace. "And " it came to pass, when Mephibosheth " was come to Jerusalem to meet the king, " that the king faid unto him, Wherefore " wentest thou not with me, Mephibo-" theth? And he answered, My lord, O " king, my fervant deceived me; for thy " fervant faid, I will faddle me an afs. " that I may ride thereon, and go to the " king; because thy fervant is lame, and " he hath flandered thy fervant unto my " lord the king. But my lord the king is

⁽a) 1 Samuel, xxvii. 11.

" as an angel of God: do therefore what " is good in thine eyes. For all my fa-" ther's house were but dead men before " my lord the king: yet didit thou fer " thy fervant among them that did eat at " thine own table: what right therefore " have I to cry any more unto the king?" David could not possibly atone for his rathness, but by restoring to Mephibosheth his inheritance, and punishing Ziba in an exemplary manner. But hear the fentence: " And the king faid unto him, " Why fpeakest thou any more of thy " matters? I have faid, Thou and Ziba " divide the land (a)." The fame king, after pardoning Shimei for curling him, and swearing that he should not die; yet upon deathbed enjoined his fon Solomon to put Shimei to death: "Now therefore " hold him not guiltless; but his hoary " head bring thou down to the grave with " blood (b)." I wish not to be misapprehended, as intending to cenfure David in particular. If the best king the Jews ever had, was so miserably deficient in morality, what must be thought of the na-

(a) 2 Samnel, xix, 24. (b) 1 Kmgs, ii. 9.

U 2 tion

tion in general? When David was lurking to avoid the wrath of Saul, he became acquainted with Nabal, who had a great Rock of cattle. "He discharged his fol-" lowers," fays Josephus (a), " either for " avarice, or hunger, or any pretext " whatever, to touch a fingle hair of " them; preaching still on the text of do-" ing justice to all men, in conformity to " the will of God, who is not pleased " with any man that covets or lays vio-" lent hands on the goods of his neigh-" bour." Our author proceeds to acquaint us, that Nabal having refused to supply David with provisions, and having fent back the messengers with a scoffing anfwer, David in rage made a vow, that he would destroy Nabal with his house and family. Our author observes, that David's indignation against Nabal, was not fo much for his ingratitude, as for the virulence of an intolent outrage against one who had never injured him. And what was the outrage? It was, favs our author, that Nabal enquiring who the faid David was, and being told that he was one of the fons of Jesse, "Yes, yes," says

Nabal,

⁽a) Antiquities, book 6.

Nabal, "your run-away fervants look up" on themselves to be brave fellows, I
" warrant you." Strange looseness of
morals! I mean not David, who was in
wrath, but Josephus writing sedately in
his closet. He every where celebrates David for his justice and piety, composes for
him the very warm exhortation mentioned above: and yet thinks him not guilty
of any wrong, in vowing to break every
rule of justice and humanity, upon so
slight a provocation as a scoffing expression, such as no man of temper will regard.

European nations, who originally were fierce and fanguinary like the Greeks and Jews, had the fame cloudy and uncorrect notions of right and wrong. It is scarce necessary to give instances, the low state of morality during the dark ages of Christianity being known to all. In the time of Louis XI. of France, promises and engagements were utterly difregarded, till they were fanctified by a solemn oath: nor were such oaths long regarded; they lost their force, and were not relied on more than simple promises. All faith among men teemed to be at an end. Even

those who appeared the most scrupulous about character, were however ready to grafp at any subterfuge to excuse their breach of engagement. And it is a still clearer proof of felf-deceit, that fuch fubterfuges were frequently prepared beforehand, in order to furnish an excuse. It was a common practice fome ages ago, to make private protestations, which were thought fufficient to relieve men in confcience from being bound by a folemn treaty. Scotch nation, as an ally of France, being comprehended in a treaty of peace between the French King and Edward I of England, the latter ratified publicly the treaty, after having fecretly protested before notaries against the article that comprehended Scotland. Charles, afterward Emperor of Germany, during his minority, gave authority to declare publicly his accession to a treaty of peace, between his grandfather Maximilian and the King of France: but at the same time protested privately, before a notary and witnesses, " That, notwithstanding his public ac-" cession to the said treaty, it was not his " intention to be bound by every article " of it; and particularly, that the clause " referving

" referving to the King of France the " fovereignty of certain territories in the " Netherlands, should not be binding." Is it possible Charles could be so blind as not to fee, that fuch a protestation, if fufficient to relieve from an engagement, must deftroy all faith among men? Francis I. of France, while prifoner in Spain, engaged Henry VIII. of England in a treaty against the Emperor, submitting to very hard terms in order to gain Henry's friendthip. The King's ministers protested privately against fome of the articles: and the protest was recorded in the secret register of the parliament of Paris, to serve as an excuse in proper time, for breaking the treaty. At the marriage of Mary Oueen of Scotland to the Dauphin of France, the King of France ratified every article infifted on by the Scotch parliament, for preferving the independence of the nation, and for fecuring the fuccession of the crown to the house of Hamilton: confirming them by deeds in form and with the most solemn oaths. But Mary previously had been persuaded to subscribe privately three deeds, in which, failing heirs of her body, she gifted the kingdom

dom of Scotland to the King of France; declaring all promifes to the contrary that had been extorted from her by her fubiects, to be void. What better was this than what was practifed by Robert King of France in the tenth century, to free his subjects from the guilt of perjury? They fwore upon a box of relics. out of which the relies had been privately taken. Correa, a Portuguese general, made a treaty with the King of Pegu; and it was agreed, that each party should swear to observe the treaty, laying his hand upon the facred book of his religion. Correa swore upon a collection of fongs; and thought that by that vile ftratagem he was free from his engagement. The inhabitants of Britain were fo loofe formerly, that a man was not reckoned fafe in his own house, without a mastiff to protect him from violence. Mastiffs were permitted even to those who dwelt within the king's forests; and to prevent danger to the deer, there was in England a court for lawing or expeditation of maftives, i. e. for cutting off the claws of their fore-feet to prevent them from running.

ning (a). The trial and condemnation of Charles I, in a pretended court of justice, however audacious and unconstitutional. was an effort toward regularity and order. In the preceding age, the king would have been taken off by affaffination or poifon. Every prince in Europe had an officer. whose province it was to secure his master against poison. A lady was appointed to that office by Queen Elifabeth of England: and the form was, to give to each of the fervants a mouthful to eat of the dith he brought in. Poison must have been frequent in those days, to make such a regulation necessary. To vouch still more clearly the low ebb of morality during that period, feldom it happened that a man of figure died fuddenly, or of an unufual difease, but poison was suspected. Men confcious of their own vitious disposition, are prone to suspect others. The Dauphin. fon to Francis I. of France, a youth of about eighteen, having overheated himfelf at play, took a great draught of iced water, and died of a pleurify in five days. The death was fudden, but none is more

(a) Carta de Foresta, cap. 6.

Vol. IV.

natural. The suspicion however of poison was universal; and Montecuculi, who attended the young prince, was formally condemned to death for it, and executed; for no better reason, than that he had at all times ready access to the prince.

Confidering the low state of morality where diffocial passions bear rule, as in the scenes now display'd, one would require a miracle to recover mankind out of fo miserable a state. But, as observed above (a), Providence brings order out of confusion. The intolerable distress of a state of things where a promite, or even an oath, is a rope of fand, and where all are fet against all (b), made people at last senfible, that they must either renounce society altogether, or qualify themselves for it by checking their diffocial paffions. Finding from experience, that the gratification of focial affections exceeds greatly that of cruelty and revenge; men endeavoured to acquire a habit of felf-command, and of restraining their stormy pathons. The necessity of fulfilling every moral duty was recognifed: men listened to conscience, the voice of God in their

hearts:

⁽a) Book 2. sketch 1.

⁽¹⁾ Hobbes.

hearts: and the moral fense was cordially submitted to, as the ultimate judge in all matters of right and wrong. Salutary laws and steady government contributed to perfect that glorious revolution: private conviction alone would not have been effectual, not at least in many ages.

From that revolution is derived what is termed the law of nations, meaning certain regulations dictated by the moral fense in its maturity. The laws of our nature refine gradually as our nature refines. From the putting an enemy to death in cold blood, improved nature is averse, tho' fuch practice was common while barbarity prevailed. It is held infamous to use poifoned weapons, tho' the moral fense made little opposition while rancour and revenge were ruling patlions. Aversion to strangers is taught to vary its object, from individuals, to the nation that is our enemy: I bear enmity against France; but diflike not any one Frenchman, being confcious that it is the duty of subjects to ferve their king and country *. In diffributing juttice, we make no distinction be-

tween

[•] In one of our ill-concerted defcents upon France X 2 during

tween natives and foreigners: if any partiality be indulged, it is in favour of the helpless stranger.

But cruelty is not the only antagonist to morality. There is another, less violent indeed, but more cunning and undermining; and that is the hoarding-appetite. Before money was introduced, that appetite was extremely faint: in the first stage of civil society, men are satisffied with plain necessaries; and having these in plenty, they think not of providing against want. But money is a species of property, so universal in operation, and so permanent in value, as to rouse the appetite for hoarding: love of money excites industry; and the many beautiful productions of industry, magnificent houses, splendid gardens, rich garments, inflame the appetite to an extreme. The people of Whidah, in Guinea, are much addicted to piltering. Bozman was told by the king, "That his fubjects were

during the late war, fignal humanity appeared, in forbearing to burn a manufactory of fails and ropes, belonging to the King; because it would have deftroy'd an adjoining building of the same kind belonging to a private manufacturer.

men,

" not like those of Ardrah, who on the " flightest umbrage will poison an Euro-" pean. This, fays he, you have no rea-" fon to apprehend here: but take care " of your goods; for fo expert are my " people at thieving, that they will steal " from you while you are looking on." In the thirteenth century, fo obscured was the moral fense by rapacity and avarice, that robbery on the highway, and the coining false money, were in Germany held to be privileges of great lords. Cicero fome where talks of banditti who infested the roads near Rome, and made travelling extremely dangerous. In the days of Henry III. of England, the chronicle of Dunstable reports, that the country was in great diforder by theft and robbery, that men were not fecure in their own houses, and that whole villages were often plundered by bands of robbers, tho' the kingdom was otherwise at peace. Many of the King's own household were found to be robbers: and excused themfelves, that having received no wages from the King, they were obliged to rob for fublishence. That perjury was common in the city of London, especially among jury-

men, makes a preamble in more than one statute of Henry VII. In the Dance of Death, translated from the French in the faid king's reign with additions adapted to English manners, a juryman is introduced, who, influenced by bribes, had frequently given a false verdict. And the theriff was often suspected as accessory to the crime, by returning for jurymen perfons of a bid character. Carew, in his account of Cornwall, fays, that it was an ordinary article in an attorney's bill, to charge pro amicitia vicecomitis *. Perjury in jurors of the city of London is greatly complained of. Stow informs us, that, in the year 1468, many jurors of that city were punished; and papers fixed on their heads declaring their offence of being corrupted by the parties to the fuit. He complains of that corruption as flagrant in the reign of Elifabeth, when he wrote his account of London. Fuller, in his English Worthies, mentions it as a proverbial faying, "That London juries hang " half, and fave half." Grafton, in his Chronicle, mentions, that the chancellor of the Bithop of London being indicted

^{. &}quot; For the friendship of the sheriff."

for murder, the Bishop wrote a letter to Cardinal Wolfey, begging his interpolition for having the profecution stopt, "be-" cause London juries were so corrupted, " that they would find Abel guilty of the " murder of Cain." Mr Hume, in the first volume of his history of England (page 417. edition 1762.) cites many instances from Madox of bribes given for perverting justice. In that period, the morals of the low people were in other particulars equally loofe. We learn from Strype's annals (a), that in the county of Somerset alone, forty persons were executed in one year for robbery, theft, and other felonies, thirty-five burnt in the hand. thirty-feven whipped, one hundred and eighty-three discharged tho' most wicked and desperate persons; and yet that the fifth part of the felonies committed in that county were not brought to trial, either from cunning in the felons, indolence in the magistrate, or foolish lenity in the people; that other counties were in no better condition, and many in a worse; and that commonly there were three or four hundred able-bodied vagabonds in every

(a) Vol. 4.

county, who lived by theft and rapine. Harrison computes, that in the reign of Henry VIII. feventy-two thousand thieves and rogues were hanged; and that in Elifabeth's time there were only hanged yearly between three and four hundred for theft and robbery. At present, there are not forty hanged in a year for these crimes. The fame author reports, that in the reign of Flifabeth, there were computed to be in England ten thousand gyp-In the year 1601, complaints were made in parliament, of the rapine of the justices of peace; and a member said, that this magistrate was an animal, who, for half a dozen of chickens, would difpenfe with a dozen of penal statutes. The low people in England are greatly improved in their morals fince the days of Elifabeth. Laying afide London, there are few places in the world where the common people are more orderly and honest. But we must not conclude, that England has gained much in point of morality. It has loft more by the luxury and loofe manners of its nobles, than it has gained by good discipline among their inferiors. The undisciplined manners of our forefathers in Scotland. Scotland, made a law necessary, that whoever intermeddled irregularly with the goods of a deceased person, should be subjected to pay all his debts, however extensive. A due submission to legal authority, has in effect abrogated that severe law; and it is now scarce ever heard of.

To control the hoarding-appetite, which when inflamed is the bane of civil fociety. the God of nature has provided two efficacious principles; the moral fense, and the fense of property. The hoarding-appetite, it is true, is more and more inflamed by beautiful productions in the progress of art: but, on the other hand, the fenses mentioned, arrived at maturity, have a commanding influence over the actions of men; and, when cherished in a good government, are a fufficient counterbalance to the hoarding-appetite. ancient Egyptians enjoy'd for ages the bleffings of good government; and moral principles were among them carried to a greater degree of refinement than at prefent even in our courts of equity. It was made the duty of every one, to fuccour those who were unjustly attacked: even passengers were not exempted. A regula-Vol. IV. tion tion among them, that a man could not be imprisoned for debt, was well suited to the tenor of their laws and manners: it could not have taken place but among an honest and industrious people. In old Rome, tho' remarkable for temperance and austerity of manners, a debtor could be imprisoned, and even sold as a slave, for payment of the debt; but the Patri-

cians were the creditors, and the poor Plebeians were held in woful subjection *.

The

* A bankrupt in England who pays three fourths of his debt, and obtains a certificate of his good behaviour, is discharged of all the debts contracted by him bef re his bankruptcy. Such regulation was perhaps not unfuitable to the moderation and frugality of the period when it was made. But luxury and external show, have now become our ruling possions; and to supply our extravagance, money must be procured at any rate. Trade in particular has degenerated into a species of gaming; men venturing their all, in hopes of a lucky hit to elevate them above their neighbours. And did they only venture their own, the case would not be deplorable: they venture all they can procure upon credit; and by that means, reduce to beggary many an innocent family: with respect to themselves. they know the worft, which is to be clear'd from their debts by a certificate. The morals of our people are indeed at fo low an ebb, as to require the

The moderation of the inhabitants of Hamburgh, and their public spirit kept in vigour by a free government, preserve morality among them entire from taint or

most severe laws against bankruptey. When a man borrows a fum, it is implied in the covenant, that all his effects present and future shall lie open to the creditor; for which reason, it is contradictory to justice, that the creditor should be forc'd to discharge the debt without obtaining complete payment. Many debtors, it is true, deserve favour; but it ought to be left to the humanity of creditors, and not be forc'd from them by law. A debtor, at the time time, may be fafely left to the humanity of his creditors: for if he have conducted his affairs with ftrict integrity and with any degree of prudence. there will scarce be found one man so hard hearted, as to fland out against the laudable and benevolent intentions of his fellow-creditors. Nay, if he have any regard to character, he dare not stand out : he would be held as a monster, and be abhorred by all the world. To leave a bankrupt thus to the mercy of his creditors, would produce the most falutary effects. It would excite men to be strictly just in their dealings, and put an end to gaming, so destructive to credit; because misbehaviour in any of these particulars would set the whole creditors against their debtor, and leave him no hope of favour. In the late bankrupt-statute for Scotland, accordingly, the clause concerning the certificate was wifely left out, as unsuitable to the depraved manners of the present time.

Y 2 corruption.

corruption. I give an illustrious instance. Instead of a tax upon trade or riches, every merchant puts privately into the public cheft, what he thinks ought to be his contribution: the total fum feldom falls thort of expectation; and among that numerous body of men, not one is suspected of contributing less than his proportion. But luxury has not yet got footing in that city. A climate not kindly and a foil not fertile, enured the Swifs to temperance and to virtue. Patriotifin continues their ruling passion: they are fond of serving their country; and are honest and faithful to each other: a law-fuit among them is a wonder; and a door is feldom thur unless to keep out cold.

The hurtful effects of the hoarding-appetite upon individuals, make no figure compared with what it has upon the public, in every state enriched by conquest or by commerce; which I have had more than one opportunity to mention. Over-flowing riches unequally distributed, multiply artificial wants beyond all bounds: they eradicate patriotism: they foster luxury, sensuality, and selfishness, which are commonly gratified at the expence

even of justice and honour. The Athenians were early corrupted by opulence; to which every thing was made subservient. "It is an oracle," says the chorus in the Agamemnon of Eschylus, "that is "not purchased with money." During the intancy of a nation, vice prevails from imbecillity in the moral sense; in the decline of a nation, it prevails from the corruption of assume that the corruption of

In a small state, there is commonly much virtue at home, and much violence abroad. The Romans were to their neighbours more baneful than famine or pestilence; but their patriotisin produced great integrity at home. An oath, when given to fortify an engagement with a fellow-citizen, was more facred at Rome than in any other part of the world (a). The cenforian office cannot succeed but among a virtuous people; because its rewards and punishments have no influence but upon those who are ashamed of vice*. As soon

as

⁽a) L'Esprit des soix, liv. 8. ch. 13.

[•] In the fifteenth century, the French clergy from the pulpit censured public transactions, and even the conduct of their king, as our British clergy

as Afiatic opulence and luxury prevailed in Rome, felfishness, sensuality, and avarice, formed the character of the Romans: and the cenforian power was at an end. Such relaxation of morals enfued, as to make a law necessary, prohibiting the custody of an infant to be given to the heir, for fear of murder. And for the same reason, it was held unlawful to make a covenant de hereditate viventis. These regulations prove the Romans to have been grofsly corrupt. Our law is different in both articles; because it entertains not the fame bad opinion of the people whom it governs *. Domitius Enobarbus and Appius Pulcher were confuls of Rome in

did in the days of Charles I. and II. They affumed the privilege of a Roman cenfor; but they were not men of such authority as to do any good in a corrupted nation.

In the beginning of the prefent century, attorneys and agents were to little rely'd on for honefty and integrity, as to be difqualified by the court of feffion from being factors on the effacts of bankrupts. (Act of federunt 23d November 1710). At prefent, the factors chosen are commonly of that protession, writers or agents; and it appears from experience, that they make the best factors. Such improvement in morals in so short a time, has not many parallels.

the 600th year; and Memmius and Calvinus were candidates for fucceeding them in that office. It was agreed among these four worthy gentlemen, that they should mutually affift each other. The confuls engaged to promote the election of Memmius and Calvinus: and they, on the other hand, fubicribed a bond, obliging themselves, under a penalty of about L. 3000 Sterling, to procure three augurs. who should attest, that they were present in the comitia when a law passed investing the confuls with military command in their provinces; and also obliging themfelves to produce three persons of consular rank, to depose, that they were in the number of those who signed a decree, conferring on the confuls the ufual proconfular appointments. And yet the law made in the comitia, and the decree in the fenate, were pure fictions. Infamous as this transaction was, Meramius, to anfwer fome political purpofe, was not ashamed to divulge it to the fenate. This fame Menmius, however, continued to be Cicero's correspondent, and his professed friend Prob tempora! prob mores! But the passion for power and riches was at that that time prevalent; and the principles of morality were very little regarded.

It cannot be dissembled, that felfishness, sensuality, and avarice, must in England be the fruits of great opulence, as in every other country; and that morality cannot maintain its authority against such undermining antagonists. Customhouseoaths have become fo familiar among us. as to be swallowed without a wry face: and is it certain, that bribery and perjury in electing parliament-members, are not approaching to the fame cool state? In the infancy of morality, a promise makes but a flight impression: to give it force, it is commonly accompanied with many ceremonies (a); and in treaties between fovereigns, even these ceremonies are not relied on without a folemn oath. merality arrives at maturity, the oath is thought unnecessary; and at prefent, morality is so much on the decline, that a folemn oath is no more relied on, than a timple promite was originally. Laws have been made to prevent fuch immorality, but in vain: because none but patriots have an interest to support them; and

when

⁽a) See Historical Law trafts, traft 2.

when patriotism is banished by corruption. there is no remaining spring in government to make them effectual. The flatutes made against gaming, and against bribery and corruption in elections, have no authority over a degenerate people. Nothing is studied, but how to evade the penalties; and supposing statutes to be made without end for preventing known evalions, new evalions will fpring up in their flead. The mifery is, that fuch laws, if they prove abortive, are never innocent with regard to confequences; for nothing is more subversive of morality as well as of patriotifm, than a habit of difregarding the laws of our country *.

But

* Lying and perjury are not in every case equally criminal; at least are not commonly reckoned so. Lying or perjury, in order to injure a man, is held highly criminal; and the greater the hurt, the greater the crime. To relieve from punishment, few boggle at a lie or at perjury; fincerity is not even expected; and hence the practice of torture. Many men are not ferupulous about oaths, when they have no view but to obtain justice to themfelves: the Jacobites, that they might not be deprived of their privileges as British subjects, made no great difficulty to fwallow oaths to the prefent government, tho' in them it was perjury. It is dan-Vel. IV. 7, gerous

But pride fometimes happily interpofes to stem the tide of corruption. The poor are not ashamed to take a bribe from the rich; nor weak states from those that are powerful, difguifed only under the name of fublishy or pension. Both France and England have been in the practice of fecuring the alliance of neighbouring princes by penfions; and it is natural in the ministers of a pensioned prince, to receive a gratification for keeping their mafter to his engagement. England never was at any time to inferior to France, as to fuffer her king openly to accept a pension from the French king, whatever private transactions might be between the kings themfelves. But the ministers of England

gerous to withdraw the smallest peg in the moral edusice; for the whole will totter and tumble. Men creep on to vice by degrees. Perjury in order to support a friend, has become customary of late years; witness sictitious qualifications in the electors of parliament men, which are made effectual by perjury; yet such is the degeneracy of the present times, that no man is the worse thought of upon that account. We must not slatter ourselves that the poison will reach no fasther: a man who boggles not at perjury to serve a friend, will in time become such an adept, as to commit perjury in order to ruin a friend when he become, an enemy.

thought

thought it no disparagement, to receive pensions from France. Every minister of Edward IV, of England received a pention from Louis XI.; and they made no difficulty of granting a receipt for the fum. The old Earl of Warwick, fays Commines, was the only exception: he took the monev, but refused a receipt. Cardinal Wolfey had a penfion both from the Emperor and from the King of France: and his master Henry was vain to find his minifter fo much regarded by the first powers in Europe. During the reigns of Charles II. and of his brother James, England made fo despicable a figure, that the ministers accepted penfions from Louis XIV. A king deficient in virtue, is never well fer-King Charles, most disgracefully, accepted a pension from France: what feruple could his ministers have? Britain, governed by a king eminently virtuous and patriotic, makes at prefent fo great a figure, that even the lowest minister would distain a pension from any foreign prince. Men formerly were fo blind, as not to fee that a penfion creates a bias in a minister, against his master and his country. At present, men clearly see, that a foreign Z 2 penfion

pension to a minister is no better than a bribe; and it would be held so by all the world.

In a nation enriched by conquest or commerce, where felfish passions always prevail, it is difficult to flem the tide of immorality: the decline of virtue may be retarded by wholesome regulations; but no regulations will ever restore it to its meridian vigour. Marcus Aurelius, Emperor of Rome, caused statues to be made of all the brave men who figured in the Germanic war. It has long been a practice in China, to honour persons eminent for virtue, by featling them annually at the Emperor's expence. A late Emperor made an improvement: he ordered reports to be fent him annually, of men and women who when alive had been remarkable for public spirit or private virtue, in order that monuments might be erected to their memory. The following report is one of many that were fent to the Em-" According to the order of your peror. " Majesty, for erecting monuments to the " honour of women, who have been ce-" lebrated for continence, for fill il piety, " or for purity of manners, the viceroy " of Canton reports, that in the town of "Sinhoei, a beautiful young woman. " named Leang, facrificed her life to fave " her chastity. In the fifteenth year of " our Emperor Canghi, the was dragg'd " by pirates into their ship; and ha-" ving no other way to escape their " brutal luft, she threw herself head-" long into the fea. Being of opinion, " that to prefer honour before life is " an example worthy of imitation, we " purpole, according to your Majesty's " order, to erect a triumphal arch for " that young woman, and to engrave " her flory upon a large flone, that it " may be preferved in perpetual remem-"brance." At the foot of the report is written, The Emperor approves. Pity it is, that fuch regulations should ever prove abortive, for their purpote is excellent. But they would need angels to carry them Every deviation from a just selection enervates them; and frequent deviations render them a subject of ridicule. But how are deviations to be prevented, when men are the judges? Those who dittribute the rewards have friends or flatterers; and those of greater merit will be neglected. Like the cenforian power in Rome. Rome, fuch regulations, after many abuses, will fink into contempt.

Two errors, which infested morality in dark times, have occasioned much injustice; and I am not certain, that they are yet entirely eradicated. The first is an opinion, That an action derives its quality of right and wrong from the event, without regard to intention. The other is, That the end justifies the means; or, in other words, That means otherwise unlawful, may be lawfully employ'd to bring about a good end. With an account of these two errors, I shall close the present historical sketch.

That intention is the circumstance which qualifies an action and its author, to be criminal or innocent, is made evident in the first part of the present sketch; and is now admitted to be so by every moral writer. But rude and barbarous nations seldom carry their thoughts beyond what falls under their external senses: they conclude an action to be right that happens to do good, and an action to be wrong that happens to do harm; without ever thinking of motives, of Will, of intention, or of any circumstance that is not obvious

obvious to eye-fight. From many passages in the Old Testament it appears, that the external act only, with its confequences, was regarded. Ifaac, imitating his father Abraham, made his wife Rebecca pass for his fifter. Abimelech, King of the Philiftines, having discovered the imposture, faid to Ifaac, "What is this thou " hast done unto us? One of the people " might lightly have lien with thy wife, " and thou shouldst have brought guilti-" ness upon us (a)." Jonathan was condemned to die for transgressing a prohibition he had never heard of (b.) A fin of ignorance, i. c. an action done without ill intention, required a facrifice of expiation (c). Saul, defeated by the Philistines, fell on his own fword: the wound not being mortal, he prevailed on a young Amalekite, to pull out the fword, and to dispatch him with it. Josephus (d) fays. that David ordered the criminal to be delivered up to juttice as a regicide.

The Greeks appear to have wavered greatly about intention, fometimes holding it effential to a crime, and fometimes

⁽a) Genesis, chap. 26. (b) 1 Samuel, xiv. 44.

⁽c) Leviticus, chap. 4. (a) Book 3. of Antiquities.
difregarding

difregarding it as a circumstance of no moment. Of these contradictory opinions, we have pregnant evidence in the two tragedies of Oedipus; the first taking it for granted, that a crime confifts entirely in the external act and its confequences: the other holding intention to be indifpenfable. Oedipus had killed his father Laius, and married his mother Jocasta; but without any criminal intention, being ignorant of his relation to them. And vet hittory informs us, that the gods punished the Thebans with pettilence, for fuffering a wretch fo grossly criminal to live. Sophocles, author of both tragedies, puts the following words in the mouth of Tirefias the prophet.

And that doctrine is espoused by Aristotle in a later period; who holding Oedipus to have been deeply criminal, tho' without intention, is of opinion, that a more proper subject for tragedy never was brought upon the stage. Nay as a philosopher

fopher he talks currently of any involuntary crime. Orestes, in Euripides, acknowledges himself to be guilty in killing his mother; yet afferts with the same breath, that his crime was inevitable, a necessary crime, a crime commanded by religion.

In Oedipus Coloneus, the other tragedy mentioned, a very different opinion is maintained. A defence is made for that unlucky man, agreeable to found moral principles; that, having had no bad intention, he was entirely innocent; and that his misfortunes ought to be afcribed to the wrath of the gods.

Thou who upbraid'st me thus for all my woes, Murder and incest, which against my will I had committed; fo it pleas'd the gods, Offended at my race for former crunes. But I am guiltless: can'it thou name a fault Deterving this? For, tell me, was it mine, When to my father, Phabus did declare, That he should one day perish by the hand Of his own child; was Ocdipus to blame, Who had no being then? If, born at length To wretchednets, he met his fire unknown, And flew him; that involuntary deed Can'th thou condemn? And for my fatal marriage, Dost thou not blush to name it? was not the Thy fifter, the who bore me, ignorant Vol. IV. 102 A a

And guiltless woman! afterwards my wife,
And mother to my children? What she did, she
did unknowing.

But, not for that, nor for my murder'd father, Have I deferv'd thy bitter taunts: for, tell me, Thy life attack'd, wouldn't thou have staid to ask Th' assaffin, if he were thy father? No; Self-love would urge thee to revenge the infult. Thus was I drove to ill by th' angry gods; This, should my father's soul revisit earth, Himself would own, and pity Occipus.

Again, in the fourth act, the following prayer is put up for Oedipus by the chorus.

---- O grant,

That not oppress'd by tort'ing pain,
Beneath the stroke of death he linger long;
But switt, with easy steps, descend to Styx's drear
abode;

For he hath led a life of toil and pain; May the just gods repay his undeferved woe.

The audience was the same in both plays. Did they think Oedipus to be guilty in the one play, and innocent in the other? If they did not, how could both plays be relished? if they did, they must have been grossly stupid.

The statues of a Roman Emperor were held so facred, that to treat them with any contempt

contempt was high treason. This ridiculous opinion was carried so far out of tommon sense, that a man was held guilty of high treason, if a stone thrown by him happened accidentally to touch one of these statues. And the law continued in force till abrogated by a rescript of Severus Antoninus (a).

In England, so little was intention regarded, that cafual homicide, and even homicide in felf-defence, were capitally punished. It requires strong evidence to vouch so absurd a law; and I have the strongest, viz. the act 52° f'enry III. cap. 26. converting the capital punishment into a forfeiture of moveables. The same abfurdity continued much longer to be law in Scotland. By act 19. parl. 1649, renewed act 22. parl. 1661, the capital punishment is converted to imprisonment, or a fine to the wife and children. In a period fo late as the Restoration, strange blindness it was not to be sensible, that homicide in felf-defence, being a lawful act justified by the strictest rules of morality, subjects not a man to punishment,

⁽a) 1. 5. ad leg. Jul. Majest.

more than the defending his property against a robber; and that casual homicide, meaning homicide committed innocently without ill intention, may subject him to reparation, but never to any punishment, mild or severe.

The Jesuits in their doctrines seem to rest on the external act, disregarding intention. It is with them a matter of perfect indissernce, from what motive men obey the laws of God; consequently that the service of those who obey from sear of punishment, is no less acceptable to the Deity, than of those who obey from a principle of love.

The other error mentioned above, is, That the end juffifies the means. In defence of that proposition, it is urged, that the character of the means is derived from the end; that every action must be right which contributes to a good end; and that every action must be wrong which contributes to an ill end. According to this reasoning, it is right to affassinate a man who is a declared or concealed enemy to his country. It is right to rob a rich man in order to relieve a person in want. What becomes then of property,

property, which by all is held inviolable? It is totally unhinged. The proposition then is untenible as far as light can be drawn from reason. At the same time, the tribunal of reason may be justly declined in this cafe. Reafon is the only touchstone of truth and falsehood; but the moral fente is the only touchilone of right and wrong. And to maintain, that the qualities of right and wrong are discoverable by reason, is no less absurd than that truth and falsehood are discoverable by the moral fense. The moral fense dictates, that on no pretext whatever it is lawful to do an act of injustice, or any wrong (a): and men, confcious that the moral fenfe governs in matters of right and wrong, fubmit implicitly to its dictates. Influenced however by the reasoning mentioned, men, during the nonage of the moral fenfe, did wrong currently in order to bring about a good end; witness pretended miracles and forged writings, urged without referve by every fect of Christians against their antagonists. And I am forry to obferve, that the error is not entirely eradi-

⁽a) See the first part of this Sketch, Sect. 3, at the end.

cated: missionaries employed in converting insidels to the true faith, are little scrupulous about the means: they make no difficulty to seign prodigies in order to convert those who are not moved by argument. Such pious frauds tend to sap the very soundations of morality.

SKETCH

SKETCH III.

Principles and Progress of Theology.

As no other science can vie with theology, either in dignity or importance, it justly claims to be a favourite study with every person endued with true taste and solid judgement. From the time that writing was invented, natural religion has employ'd pens without number; and yet in no language is there sound a connected history of it. The present work will only admit a slight sketch: which I shall glory in, however impersect, if it excite any one of superior talents to undertake a complete history.

CHAP.

CHAP. I.

Existence of a Deity.

Hat there exist beings, one or many, powerful above the human race, is a proposition universally admitted as true, in all ages, and among all nations. I boldly call it universal, notwithstanding what is reported of some gross favages; for reports that contradict what is acknowledged to be general among men, require more able vouchers than a few illiterate voyagers. Among many favage tribes, there are no words but for objects of external fense: is it furpriting, that fuch people are incapable to express their religious perceptions, or any perception of internal fenfe? and from their filence can it be fairly prefumed, that they have no fuch perception ?? The

not a

[•] In the language even of Peru, there is not a word for expressing an abstract idea, such as time, endurance, space, existence, substance, mitter, body. It is no less describe in expressing moral ideas, such

The conviction that men have of function powers in every country where there are words to express it, is to well vouched. that in fair reasoning it ought to be taken for granted among the tew tribes where language is deficient. Even the groffest idolatry affords evidence of that conviction. No nation can be fo brutish as to worship a stock or a stone, merely as such: the visible object is always imagined to be connected with some invisible power; and the worship paid to the former, is as representing the latter, or as in some manner connected with it. Every family among the ancient Lithuanians, entertained a real ferpent as a household god; and the same practice is at present universal among the negroes in the kingdom of Whidah: it is not the serpent that is worshipped, but some deity imagined to reside in it. The ancient Egyptians were not idiots. to pay divine honours to a bull or a cat.

as firtue, justice, gratitude, liberty. The Yamcos, a tribe on the river Oroonoko described by Condamine, use the word spectarraroincourous to express the number three, and have no word for a greater number. The Brasilian language is nearly as barren.

as fuch: the divine honours were paid to a deity, as residing in these animals. The fun is to man a familiar object: being frequently obscured by clouds, and totally eclipfed during night, a favage naturally conceives it to be a great fire, sometimes flaming bright, fometimes obscured, and fometimes extinguished. Whence then fun-worship, once universal among savages? Plainly from the same caute: it is not properly the fun that is worshipped, but a deity who is supposed to dwell in that luminary. .

Taking it then for granted, that our conviction of superior powers has been long universal, the important question is, From what cause it proceeds. A conviction fo univerfal and fo permanent, cannot proceed from chance; but must have a cause operating constantly and invariably upon all men in all ages. phers, who believe the world to be eternal and felf-existent, and imagine it to be the only deity tho' without intelligence, endeavour to account for our conviction of fuperior powers, from the terror that thunder and other elementary convulsions raife in favages; and thence conclude that

fuch belief is no evidence of a deity. Thus Lucretius,

Præterea, cui nod animus formidine divum
Contrahitur? cui non conripunt membra pavore,
Fulminis horribili cum plaga torrida tellus
Contremit, et magnum percurrunt murmura
cælum * (a)?

And Petronius Arbiter,

Primus in orbe deos fecit timor: ardua cœlo
Fulmina quum caderent discussaque mœnia slammis,

Atque ictus flagraret Athos +.

It will readily be yielded to these gentlemen, that savages, grossly ignorant of tauses and effects, are apt to take fright at every unusual appearance, and to think that some malignant being is the cause.

What man can boast that firm undaunted foul,
 That hears, unmov'd, when thunder shakes the pole;

Nor shrinks with sear of an offended pow'r, When lightnings shash, and storms and tempests roar?

† When dread convultions rock'd the lab'ring earth, And livid clouds first gave the thunder birth, Instinctive fear within the human breast The first ideas of a God impress'd.

(a) Lib. 5.

Вb2

And

And if they mean only, that the first perception of deity among favages is occafioned by fear, I heartily subscribe to their But if they mean, that fuch perceptions proceed from fear folely, without having any other cause, I with to be informed from what fource is derived the belief we have of benevolent deities. Fear cannot be the fource: and it will be feen anon, that the' malevolent deities were first recognised among savages, yet that in the progress of society, the existence of benevolent deities was univerfally believed. The fact is certain; and therefore fear is not the fole cause of our believing the existence of superior beings.

It is beside to me evident, that the belief even of malevolent deities, once universal among all the tribes of men, cannot be accounted for from fear folely. I observe, first, That there are many men, to whom an eclipse, an earthquake, and even thunder, are unknown: Egypt, in particular, tho' the country of superstition, is little or not at all acquainted with the two latter; and in Peru, tho' its government was a theocracy, thunder is not known. Nor do such appearances strike

terror into every one who is acquainted with them. The universality of the belief, must then have some cause more universal than fear. I observe next, That if the belief were founded folely on fear. it would die away gradually as men improve in the knowledge of causes and effects: instruct a favage, that thunder, an eclipfe, an earthquake, proceed from natural causes, and are not threatenings of an incented deity; his fear of malevolent beings will vanish; and with it his belief in them, if founded folely on fear. the direct contrary is true: in proportion as the human understanding ripens, our conviction of superior powers, or of a Deity, turns more and more firm and authoritative: which will be made evident in the chapter immediately following.

Philosophers of more enlarge! views and of deeper penetration, may be inclined to think, that the operations of nature and the government of this world, which loudly proclaim a Deity, may be sufficient to account for the universal belief of superior powers. And to give due weight to the argument, I shall relate a conversation between a Greenlander and a Danish missionary,

fionary, mentioned by Crantz in his history, of Greenland. " It is true," fays the Greenlander, "we were ignorant " Heathens, and knew little of a God. " till you came. But you must not ima-" gine, that no Greenlander thinks about "thefe things. A kajak (a), with all its " tackle and implements, cannot exist but " by the labour of man; and one who " does not understand it. would spoil it. " But the meanest bird requires more skill " than the best kajak; and no man can " make a bird. There is still more skill " required to make a man: by whom " then was he made? He proceeded from " his parents, and they from their parents. " But some must have been the first pa-" rents: whence did they proceed? Com-" mon report fays, that they grew out of the " earth: if so, why do not men still grow " out of the earth? And from whence " came the earth itself, the fun, the moon, " the flars? Certainly there must be some " being who made all there things, a be-" ing more wife than the wifest man." The reasoning here from effects to their causes is stated with great precision; and

⁽a) A Greenland boat.

were all men equally penetrating with the Greenlander, such reasoning might perhaps be sufficient to account for the conviction of a Deity, univerfally fored among favages But fuch penetration is a rare quality among favages; and yet the conviction of superior powers is universal. not excepting even the groffest favages. who are altogether incapable of reasoning like our Greenland philosopher. Natural history has made so rapid a progress of late years, and the finger of God is so vifible to us in the various operations of nature, that we do not readily conceive how even favages can be ignorant: but it is a common fallacy in reasoning, to judge of others by what we feel in ourfelves. And to give juster notions of the condition of favages, I take liberty to introduce the Wogultzoi, a people in Siberia, exhibiting a striking picture of favaces in their natural state. That people were baptized at the command of Prince Gagarin, governor of the province; and Laurent Lange, in his relation of a journey from Peteriburg to Pekin ann. 1715. gives the following account of their convernon. " I had curiofity," fays he, " to " question

" question them about their worship be-" fore they embraced Christianity. They " faid, that they had an idol hung upon " a tree, before which they prottrated themselves, raising their eyes to heaven, " and howling with a loud voice. They " could not explain what they meant by " howling; but only, that every man " howled in his own fashion. Being in-" terrogated, Whether, in raising their eves to heaven, they knew that a god is there, who fees all the actions, and e-" ven the thoughts of men; they answer-" ed fimply, That heaven is too far above " them to know whether a god be there " or not; and that they had no care but " to provide meat and drink. Another " question being put, Whether they had " not more fatisfaction in worthipping the " living God, than they formerly had in " the darkness of idolatry; they answer-" ed, We fee no great difference, and we " do not break our heads about fuch mat-Judge how little capable fuch " ters." ignorant favages are, to reason from effects to their causes, and to trace a Deity from the operations of nature. It may be added with great certainty, that could they be made

made in any degree to conceive such reafoning, yet so weak and obscure would their conviction be, as to rest there without moving them to any sort of worship; which however among savages goes hand in hand with the conviction of superior powers.

If fear be a cause altogether insufficient for our conviction of a Deity, universal among all tribes; and if reasoning from effects to their causes can have no influence upon ignorant savages; what other cause is there to be laid hold of? One still remains, and imagination cannot sigure another: to make this conviction universal, the image of the Deity must be stamp'd upon the mind of every human being, the ignorant equally with the knowing: nothing less is sufficient. And the original perception we have of Deity, must proceed from an internal sense, which may be termed the sense of Deity.

Included in the fense of Deity, is the duty we are under to worship him. And to enforce that duty, the principle of devotion is made a part of our nature. All men accordingly agree in worshipping superior beings, however they may differ Vol. IV.

in the mode of worship. And the univerfality of such worship, proves devotion to be an innate principle *.

The perception we have of being accountable agents, arises from another branch of the fense of Deity. We expect approbation from the Deity when we do right; and dread punishment from him when guilty of any wrong; not excepting the most occult crimes, hid from every From what cause can dread mortal eve. proceed in that case, but from conviction of a funerior being, avenger of wrongs? The dread, when immoderate, diforders the mind, and makes every unufual misfortune pass for a punishment inflicted by an invisible hand. " And they faid one " to another, We are verily guilty con-" cerning our brother, in that we faw " the anguish of his foul, when he be-" fought us, and we would not hear: " therefore is this diffress come upon us. " And Reuben answered them, saying, " Spake I not unto you, faying, Do not

[•] See this principle beautifully explained and illustrated in a fermon upon the love of God, by Doctor Butler Bishop of Durham, a writer of the first rank.

" fin against the child; and ye would not " hear? therefore behold also his blood " is required (a)." Alphonfus King of Naples, was a cruel and tyrannical prince. He drove his people to despair with oppressive taxes, treacherously assistanted feveral of his barons, and loaded others with chains. During prosperity, his conscience gave him little disquiet; but in adverfity, his crimes flar'd him in the face, and made him believe that his diftreffes proceeded from the hand of God. as a just punishment. He was terrified to distraction, when Charles VIII, of France approached with a numerous army: he deferted his kingdom; and fled to hide himself from the face of God and of man.

But admitting a fense of Deity, is it evidence to us that a Deity actually exists? It is complete evidence. So framed is man as to rely on the evidence of his senses (b); which evidence he may reject in words; but he cannot reject in thought, whatever bias he may have to scepticism. And experience confirms our belief; for

⁽a) Genefis, xlii. 21. 22.

⁽b) See Essays on Morality and Natural Religion, part 2, sect. 3.

our fenses, when in order, never deceive us.

The foregoing sense of Deity is not the only evidence we have of his existence: there is additional evidence from other branches of our nature. Inherent in the nature of man are two passions, devotion to an invisible Being, and dread of punishment from him, when one is guilty of any crime. These passions would be idle and abfurd, were there no Deity to be worthipped or to be dreaded. Man makes a capital figure; and is the most perfect being that inhabits this earth; and yet were he endued with passions or principles that have no end nor purpose, he would be the most irregular and absurd of all Beings. These passions both of them, direct us to a Deity, and afford us irrefistible evidence of his existence.

Thus our Maker has revealed himself to us, in a way perfectly analogous to our nature: in the mind of every human creature, he has lighted up a lamp, which renders him visible even to the weakest fight. Nor ought it to escape observation, that here, as in every other case, the conduct of Providence to man, is uniform. It leaves

leaves him to be directed by reason, where liberty of choice is permitted; but in matters of duty, he is provided with guides less fallible than reason: in performing his duty to man, he is guided by the moral sense; in performing his duty to God, he is guided by the sense of Deity. In these mirrors, he perceives his duty intuitively.

It is no flight support to this doctrine, that if there really be a Deity, it is highly prefumable, that he will reveal himself to man, fitted by nature to adore and worthin him. To other animals, the knowledge of a Deity is of no importance: to man, it is of high importance. Were we totally ignorant of a Deity, this world would appear to us a mere chaos: under the government of a wife and benevolent Deity, chance is excluded; and every event ppears to be the refult of established laws: good men fubmit to whatever happens, without repining; knowing that every event is ordered by divine Providence: they fubmit with entire relignation; and fuch refignation is a fovereign baltam for every misfortune.

The fense of Deity resembles our other senses, which are quiescent till a proper object be presented. When all is filent about us, the fense of hearing lies dormant; and if from infancy a man were confined to a dark room, he would be as ignorant of his fense of feeing, as one born blind. Among favages, the objects that rouse the fense of Deity, are uncommon events above the power of man. A favage, if acquainted with no events but what are familiar, has no perception of fuperior powers; but a fudden eclipfe of the fun, thunder rattling in his ears, or the convulsion of an earthquake, rouses his sense of Deity, and directs him to some superior being as the cause of these dreadful effects. The favage, it is true, errs in afcribing to the immediate operation of a Deity, things that have a natural cause: his error however is evidence that he has a fente of Deity, no lets pregnant, than when he more justly attributes to the immediate operation of Deity, the formation of man, of this earth, of all the world.

The fense of Deity, like the moral sense, m.kes no capital figure among savages; the perceptions of both senses being in them them faint and obscure. But in the progress of nations to maturity, these tenses become more and more vigorous, so as among enlightened nations to acquire a commanding influence; leaving no doubt about right and wrong, and as little about the existence of a Deity.

The obscurity of the sense of Deity among favages, has encouraged fome fceptical philosophers to deny its existence. It has been urged, That God does nothing by halves; and that if he had intended to make himfelf known to men, he would have afforded them conviction equal to that from feeing or hearing. When we argue thus about the purpotes of the Almighty, we tread on flippery ground, where we feldom fail to stumble. What if it be the purpose of the Deity, to afford us but an obscure glimpse of his being and attributes? We have reason from analogy to conjecture, that this may be the case. From some particulars mentioned above (a), it appears at least probable, that entire submission to the moral sense, would be ill-fuited to man in his prefent state; and would prove more hurtful than

(a) Book 2. Sketch 1.

beneficial,

beneficial. And to me it appears evident. that to be conscious of the presence of the Great God, as I am of a friend whom I hold by the hand, would be inconfistent with the part that Providence has destined me to act in this life. Reflect only on the restraint one is under, in presence of a fuperior, suppose the King himself: how much greater our restraint, with the same lively impression of God's awful presence! Humility and veneration would leave no room for other passions: man would be no longer man; and the system of our present state would be totally subverted. Add another reason: Such a conviction of future rewards and punishments as to overcome every inordinate desire, would reduce us to the condition of a traveller in a paltry inn, having no wish but for day-light to profecute his journey. that very reason, it appears evidently the plan of Providence, that we should have but an obscure glimple of futurity. As the same plan of Providence is visible in all, I conclude with affurance, that a certain degree of obscurity, weighs nothing against the sense of Deity, more than against the moral sense, or against a future ffate

state of rewards and punishments. Whether all men might not have been made angels, and whether more happines might not have refulted from a different system, lie far beyond the reach of human knowledge. From what is known of the conduct of Providence, we have reason to presume, that our present state is the result of wisdom and benevolence. So much we know with certainty, that the sense we have of Deity and of moral duty, correspond accurately to the nature of man as an impersect being; and that these senses, were they absolutely persect, would convert him into a very different being.

A doctrine espoused by several writers ancient and modern, pretends to compose the world without a Deity; that the world, composed of animals, vegetables, and brute matter, is self-existent and eternal: and that all events happen by a necessary chain of causes and effects. It will occur even at first view, that this theory is at least improbable: can any supposition be more improbable than that the great work of planning and executing this universe, beautiful in all its parts, and bound together by the most perfect laws, should be a Vol. IV. D d blind blind work, performed without intelligence or contrivance? It would therefore be a sufficient answer to observe, that this doctrine, though highly improbable, is however given to the public, like a foundling, without cover or support. But affirmatively I urge, that it is fundamentally overturned by the knowledge we derive of Deity from our own nature: it a Deity exist, self-existence must be his peculiar attribute; and we cannot hesitate in rejecting the supposition of a self-existent world, when it is so natural to suppose that the whole is the operation of a self-existent Being, whose power and wisdom are adequate to that great work. I add, that this rational doctrine is eminently supported from contemplating the endless number of wife and benevolent effects, difplay'd every where on the face of this globe; which afford complete evidence of a wife and benevolent cause. As these effects are far above the power of man, we neceffarily afcribe them to a superior Being, or in other words to the Deity (a).

Some philosophers there are, not indeed so hardened in scepticism as to deny the

existence

⁽a) First sketch of this third book, sect. 1.

existence of a Deity: They acknowledge a felf-existent Being; and seem willing to bestow on that Being power, wisdom, and every other perfection. But then they maintain, that the world, or matter at least, must also be self-existent. Their argument is, that ex nibilo nibil fit, that it is inconfiftent for any thing to be made out of nothing, out of a nonens. To confider nothing or a nonens as a material or substance out of which things can be formed, like a statue out of stone or a sword out of iron. is I acknowledge a gross absurdity. But I perceive no absurdity nor inconsistence in supposing that matter was brought into existence by Almighty power; and the popular expression, that God made the world out of nothing, has no other meaning. is true, that in the operations of men nothing can be produced but from antecedent materials: and fo accustomed are we to fuch operations, as not readily to conceive how a thing can be brought into existence without antecedent materials, or made out of nothing, as commonly express-But will any man in fober sense venture to fet bounds to Almighty power, where he cannot point out a clear incon-D d 2 fiftence?

fistence? It is indeed difficult to conceive a thing fo remote from common apprehenfion; but is there less difficulty in conceiving matter to exist without a cause, and to be intitled to the awful appellation of felf-existent, like the Lord of the Universe, to whom a more exalted appellation cannot be given? Now, if it be within the utmost verge of possibility for matter to have been created. I conclude with the highest probability, that it owes its existence to Almighty power. The necessity of one selfexistent being is intuitively certain; but I perceive no necessity, nor indeed probability, that there should be more than one. Difficulties about the creation of matter. testify our ignorance; but to argue from our ignorance that a thing cannot be, has always been held very weak reasoning. Our faculties are adapted to our present state, and perform their office in perfection. But to complain that they do not reach the origin of things, is no less abfurd than to complain that we cannot afeend to the moon in order to be acquainted with its inhabitants. At the fame time, it is a comfortable reflection, that the question, whether matter was created or no, is

a pure speculation, and that either side may be adopted without impiety. To me it appears more simple and more natural to hold it to be a work of creation, than to be felf-existent, and consequently independent of the Almighty either to create or to annihilate. I chearfully make the former an article of my Creed: but without anathemiling those who adopt the latter. I would however have it understood, that I limit my concession to matter in its original rude state. I cannot possibly carry my complaifance fo far as to comprehend the world in its present perfection. immente machine composed of parts without number so artfully combined as to fulfil the intention of the maker, must be the production of a great being, omniscient as well as omnipotent. To affign blind fatality as the cause, is an infusferable abfurdity.

Many gross and absurd conceptions of Deity that have prevailed among rude nations, are urged by some writers as an objection against a sense of Deity. That objection shall not be overlooked; but it will be answered to better purpose, after these gross and absurd conceptions are examined.

amined in the chapter immediately following.

The proof of a Deity from the innate sense here explained, differs materially from what is contained in essays on morality and natural religion (a). The proof there given is founded on a chain of reasoning, altogether independent on the innate sense of Deity. Both equally produce conviction; but as sense operates intuitively without reasoning, the sense of Deity is made a branch of human nature, in order to enlighten those who are incapable of a long chain of reasoning; and to such, who make the bulk of mankind, it is more convincing, than the most perspicuous reasoning to a philosopher.

(a) Part 2. fed. 7.

CHAP.

CHAP. II.

Progress of Opinions with respect to Deity.

THE sense of Deity, like many other delicate senses, is in savages so faint and obscure as easily to be biassed from truth. Among them, the belief of many fuperior beings, is univerfal. And two causes join to produce that belief. The first is, that being accustomed to a plurslity of visible objects, men, mountains, trees, cattle, and fuch like, they are naturally led to imagine a like plurality in things not visible; and from that slight bias, flight indeed but natural, is partly derived the fystem of Polytheism, univerfal among favages. The other is, that favages know little of the connection between causes and effects, and still less of the order and government of the world: every event that is not familiar, appears to them fingular and extraordinary; and if fuch event exceed human power, it is without

without hesitation ascribed to a superior being. But as it occurs not to a favage, nor to any person who is not a philosopher, that the many various events exceeding human power and feemingly unconnected, may all proceed from the same cause; they are readily ascribed to different beings. Pliny afcribes Polytheifm to the consciousness men have of their imbecillity: "Our powers are confined within " narrow bounds: we do not readily con-" ceive powers in the Deity much more extensive: and we supply by number " what is wanting in power "." Polytheisin, thus founded, is the first stage in the progress of theology; for it is embraced by the rudest savages, who have neither capacity nor inclination to pierce deeper into the nature of things.

This stage is distinguishable from others, by a belief that all superior beings are malevolent. Man, by nature weak and helpless, is prone to fear, dreading

Plurality of heads or of hands in one idol, is fometimes made to supply plurality of different idols. Hence among favages the grotefque figure of fome of their idols.

every new object and every unufual event. having no protection against-Savages. ftorms, temperts, nor other external accidents, and having no pleatures but in gartifying hunger, thirst, and animal love; have much to fear, and little to hope. In that disconfolate condition, they attribute the bulk of their diffresses to invisible beings, who in their opinion must be malevolent. This feems to have been the opinion of the Greeks in the days of Solon; as appears in a conversation between him and Croefus King of Lydia, mentioned by Herodotus in the first book of his history. " Croefus, taid Solon, you ask me " about human affairs; and I antwer as " one who thinks, that all the gods are " envious and diffurbers of mankind." The negroes on the coast of Guinea, dread their deities as tyran's and oppreffors: having no conception of a good deity, they attribute the few bleffings they receive, to the foil, to the rivers, to the trees, and to the plants. The Lithuanians continued Pagans down to the fourteenth century; and worshipped in gloomy woods, where their deities were held to reside. worship probably was prompted by fear, Vol. IV. Fe which

which is allied to gloominess. The people of Kamikatka acknowledge to this day many malevolent deities, having little or no notion of a good deity. They believe the air, the water, the mountains, and the woods, to be inhabited by malevolent spirits, whom they fear and worthip. The favages of Guiana afcribe to the devil even their most common difeases; nor do they ever think of another remedy, but to apply to a forcerer to drive him away. Such negroes as believe in the devil, paint his images white. Beside the Efquimaux, there are many tribes in the extensive country of Labrador, who believe the Deity to be malevolent, and worthip him out of fear. When they eat, they throw a piece of flesh into the fire as an offering to him; and when they go to fea in a canoe, they throw fomething on the shore to render him propitious. Sometimes, in a capricious fit, they go out with guns and hatchets to kill him; and on their return boast that they have done so.

Conviction of superior beings, who, like men, are of a mixed nature, sometimes doing good, sometimes mischief, constitutes the second stage. This came

to be the fystem of theology in Greece. The introduction of writing among the Greeks while they were little better than favages, produced a compound of character and manners, that has not a parallel in any other nation. They were acute in science, skilful in fine arts, extremely deficient in morals, groß beyond conception in theology, and superstitious to a degree of folly; a strange jumble of exquisite fense and absurd nonsense. They held their gods to refemble men in their external figure, and to be corporeal. In the 21st book of the Iliad, Mincrya with a huge flone beats Mars to the ground. whose monstrous body covered seven broad acres. As corporeal beings, they were supposed to require the nourishment of meat, drink, and fleep. Homer mentions more than once the inviting of gods to a feaft: and Paufanias reports, that in the temple of Bacchus at Athens, there were figures of clay, representing a feast given by Amphyction to Bacchus and other dei-The inhabitants of the island Java are not fo gross in their conceptions, as to think that the gods eat the offerings prefented to them: but it is their opinion, E e 2 that that a deity brings his mouth near the offering, fucks out all its favour, and leaves it taiteless like water *. The Grecian gods, as described by Homer, dress, bathe, and anoint, like mortals. Venus, after being detected by her hutband in the embraces of Mars, retires to Paphos,

Where to the pow'r an hundred altars rife,
And breathing odours feent the balmy skies:
Conceal'd she bothes in confecrated bow'rs,
The Graces unquents shed, ambrotial show'rs,
Unquents that charm the gods! She last assumes
Her wond'rous tobes; and full the goddess
blooms.

Odyssey, book 8.

Juno's dress is most poetically described, Ilad, book 14. It was also universally believed, that the gods were fond of women, and had many children by them. The modern Germans thought more sensitive, that the gods were too high to resemble men in any lagger, or to be considered within the walls of a temple. The Creeks seem to have thought, that the gods did not much exceed themselves in

* All Greek writers, and those in their neighbourhood, form the world out of a chaos. They had no such exalted notion of a deity as to believe, that he could make the world out of nothing.

knowledge.

knowledge. When Agesilaus journeved with his private retinue, he usually lodged in a temple; making the gods witnelles, favs Plutarch, of his most fecret actions. The Greeks thought, that a god, like a man, might know what paffed within his own house: without knowing any thing passing at a distance. " If it be true," fays Aristotle, (Rhetoric, book 2.) " that " even the gods do not know every thing, " there is little reason to expect great " knowledge among men." Agamemnon in Eschylus, putting off his travelling habit and dreffing himfelf in fplendid purple, is afraid of being feen and envied by fome jealous god. We learn from Seneca, that people flrove for the feat next to the image of the deity, that their prayers might be the better heard. But what we have chiefly to remark upon this head, is, that the Grecian gods were, like men, held capable of doing both good and ill. Jupiter, their highest deity, was a ravisher of women, and a notorious adulterer. In the fecond book of the Iliad, he fends a lying dream to deceive Agamemnon. Mars feduces Venus by bribes

bribes to commit adultery (a). In the Rhefus of Euripides, Minerva, difguifed like Venus, deceives Paris by a groß lie. The ground-work of the tragedy of Xuthus is a lying oracle, declaring lon, fon of Apollo and Creufa, to be the ion of Xuthus. Orestes in Euripides, having slain his mother Clytemnestra, excuses himself as having been mifled by Apollo to commit the crime. "Ah!" fays he, "had I " confulted the ghost of my father, he " would have diffusded me from a crime " that has proved my ruin, without doing " him any good," He concludes with observing, that having acted by Apollo's command, Apollo is the only criminal. In a tragedy of Sophocles, Minerva makes no difficulty to cheat Ajax, promifing to be his friend, while underhand she is ferving Ulysses, his bitter enemy. Mercury, in revenge for the murder of his fon Myrtilus, entails curtes on Pelops the murderer, and on all his race*. In ge-

[•] The English translator of that tragedy, observes it to be remarkable in the Grecian creed, that the gods punish not only the persons guilty, but their innocent posterity.

⁽a) Odyffey, book 8.

neral, the gods, every where in Greek tragedies, are partial, unjust, tyrannical, and revengeful. The Greeks accordingly have no referve in abufing their gods. In the tragedy of Prometheus, Jupiter, without the least ceremony, is accused of being an usurper. Eschylus proclaims publicly on the stage, that Jupiter, a jealous, cruel, and implacable tyrant, had overturned every thing in heaven; and that the other gods were reduced to be his flaves. the Had, book 13. Menelaus addresses Jupiter in the following words: "O Fa-" ther Jove! in wisdom, they say, thou " excellest both men and gods. Yet all " these ills proceed from thee; for the " wicked thou dost aid in war. Thou art " a friend to the Trojans, whose fouls de-" light in force, who are never glutted " with blood." The gods were often treated with a fort of contemptuous familiarity, and employed in very low offices. Nothing is more common, than to introduce them as actors in Greek tragedies; frequently for trivial purposes: Apollo comes upon the stage most courteously to acquaint the audience with the subject of the play. Why is this not urged by our critics.

critics, as classical authority against the rule of Horace, Nec deus intersit nist dignus vindice nodus *. Homer makes very useful servants of his gods. Minerva, in particular, is a faithful attendant upon Ulvsfes. She acts the herald, and calls the chiefs to council (a). She marks the place where a great stone fell that was thrown by Ulviles (b). She affifts Ulviles to hide his treasure in a cave (c), and helps him to wrestle with the beggar (d). Ulysses being toft with cares in bed, she descends from heaven to make him fall afleep (e). This last might possibly be squeez'd into an allegory, if Minerva were not frequently introduced where there is no place for an allegory. Jupiter, book 17. of the Iliad, is introduced comforting the steeds of Achilles for the death of Patroclus. Creusa keeps it a profound secret from her husband, that she had a child by Apollo.

Nor let a god in person stand display'd,
 Unless the labouring plot deserve his aid.
 FRANCIS.

⁽a) Odyffey, book 8.

⁽b) Book 8.

⁽c) Book 13.

⁽d) Book 18.

⁽e) Book 20.

in

It was held as little honourable in Greece to commit fornication with a god as with a man. It appears from Cicero (a), that when Greek philosophers began to reason about the deity, their notions were wonderfully crude. One of the hardest morfels to digest in Plato's philosophy, was a doctrine, That God is incorporeal; which by many was thought abfurd, for that, without a body, he could not have fenses, nor prudence, nor pleasure. The religious creed of the Romans feems to have been little less impure than that of the Greeks. It was a ceremony of theirs, in besieging a town, to evocate the tutelar deity, and to tempt him by a reward to betray his friends and votaries. In that ceremony, the name of the tutelar deity was thought of importance; and for that reason, the tutelar deity of Rome was a profound fecret *. Appian of Alexandria,

(a) Lib. 1. De natura deorum.

The form of the evocatio follows. "Tuo ductu, inquit, Pythie Apollo, tuoque numine infinctus, pergo ad delendam urbem Veios: tibique hinc decimam partem prædæ voveo. Te fi-

[&]quot; mul, Juno Regina, que nunc Veios colis, pre-Vol. IV. F f " cor,

in his book of the Parthian war, reports, that Anthony, reduced to extremity by the Parthians, lifted up his eyes to heaven, and

" cor, ut pos victores in nostram tuamque mox fu-" turam urbem fequare : ubi te, dignum ampli-" tudine tua, templum accipiat." Tiens Livius, lib. 5. cap. 21. - In English thus: " Under thy « guidance and divine information, O Pythian A-" pollo, I march to the destruction of Veii; and to " thy shrine I devote a tenth of the plunder. Imer perial Juno, guardian of Veii, deign to profper " our victorious arms, and a temple shall be crected to thy honour, fuitable to the greatness and " majesty of thy name."]-But it appears from Macrobius, that they used a form of evocation even when the name of the tutelar deity was unknown to them. "Si deus, fi dea est, cui populus civitasque " Carthaginiensis est in totela, teque maxime ille qui " urbis hujus populique tutelam recipisti, precor, ve-" perorque, veniamque a vobis peto, ut vos populum " civitatemque Carthaginiensem deseratis, loca, tem-" pla, facra, urbemque eorum relinquiatis, absque " his abeatis, eique populo, civitatique metum, for-" midinem, oblivionem ingiciatis, proditique Romam es ad me meosque veniatis, nostraque vobis loca, 66 templa, facra, urbs, acception probationque fit, 66 milique populoque Romano militibusque meis 46 prapoliti fitis, ut sciamus intelligamusque. Si ita ce feceritis, voveo vobis templa ludofque facturum." Saturnal lib 3. cap. 9. -[In English thus : " That " divinity, whether god or goddess, who is the " guardian of the state of Carthage, that divinity I " invoke,

and befought the gods, that if any of them were jealous of his former happiness, they would pour their vengeance upon his head alone, and suffer his army to escape. The story of Paris and the three goddesses gives no favourable impression, either of the morals or religion of the Romans. Juno and her two fister-deities submit their dispute about beauty to the shepherd Paris, who conscientiously pronounces in favour of Venus. But

Judicisen Paridis, spretaque injuria forma.

Juno, not fatisfied with wreaking her malice against the honest shepherd, declares war against his whole nation. Not even Eneas, tho a fugitive in foreign lands, escapes her fury. Their great god Jupi-

" invoke, I pray and supplicate, that he will defert

[&]quot;that perfidious people. Honour not with thy preff fence their temples, their ceremonies, nor their
city; abandon them to all their fears, leave them
to Infamy and oblivion. Ply hence to Rome,
where, in my country, and among my fellow-citizens, shou shalt have nobler temples, and more
acceptable facrifices; thou shalt be the tutelar

et deity of this army, and of the Roman state. On this condition, I here vow to erect temples and

ter is introduced on the stage by Plautus, to deceive Alcmena, and to lie with her in the shape of her husband. Nay, it was the opinion of the Romans, that this play made much for the honour of Jupiter; for in times of national troubles and calamities, it was commonly acted to appease his anger;—a pregnant instance of the gross conceptions of that warlike people in morality, as well as in religion.

A division of invisible beings into benevolent and malevolent, without any mixture of these qualities, makes the third stage. The talents and feelings of men, refine gradually under good government: focial amusements begin to make a figure: benevolence is highly regarded: and some men are found without gall. Having thus acquired a notion of pure benevolence, and finding it exemplified in fome eminent persons, it was an easy step in the progress of theological opinions, to bestow the same character upon some superior beings. This led men to diftinguish their gods into two kinds, effentially different, one entirely benevolent, another entirely malevolent; and the difference between good and ill, which are diametrically opposite, favoured that distinction. Fortunate events out of the common course of nature, were accordingly ascribed to benevolent deities; and unfortunate events of that kind to malevolent. In the time of Pliny the elder, malevolent deities were worthipped at Rome. He mentions a temple dedicated to Bad Fortune, another to the disease termed a Fever. The Lacedemonians worshipped Death and Fear; and the people of Cadiz Poverty and Old Age; in order to deprecate their wrath. Such gods were by the Romans termed Averrunci, as putting away evil.

Conviction of one supreme benevolent Deity, and of inferior deities, some benevolent, some malevolent, is the fourth stage. Such conviction, which gains ground in proportion as morality ripens, arises from a remarkable difference between gratitude and fear. Willing to show my gratitude for some kindness proceeding from an unknown hand, several persons occur to my conjectures; but I always six at last upon one person as the most likely. Fear is of an opposite nature: it expands itself upon every suspicious person, and blackens them all.

Thus, upon providential good fortune above the power of man, we naturally rest upon one benevolent Deity at the cause; and to him we confine our gratitude and veneration. When, on the other hand, we are struck with an uncommon calamity, every thing that possibly may be the cause raises terror. Hence the propenfity in favages to multiply objects of fear ! but to confine their gratitude and veneration to a fingle object. Gratitude and veneration, at the same time, are of such a nature, as to raife a high opinion of the person who is their object; and when a fingle invisible being is understood to pour out bleffings with a liberal hand, good men, inflamed with gratitude, put no bounds to the power and benevolence of that being. And thus one supreme benevolent Deity comes to be recognifed among the more enlightened favages. With refpect to malevolent deities, as they are fupposed to be numerous, and as there is no natural impulse for elevating one above another; they are all of them held to be of an inferior rank, subordinate to the supreme Deity.

Unity in the fupreme being hath, among mong philosophers, a more solid soundation, namely, unity of design and of order in the creation and government of this world*. At the same time, the passion of gratitude, which leads even savages to the attribute of unity in the supreme being, prepares the mind for relishing the proof of that unity, sounded on the unity of his works.

The belief of one supreme benevolent Deity, and of subordinate deities benevolent and malevolent, is and has been more universal than any other religious creed. I confine myself to a few instances; for a complete enumeration would be endless. The different savage tribes in Dutch Guiana, agree pretty much in their articles of faith. They hold the existence of one supreme Deity, whose chief attribute is be-

All things in the universe are evidently of a piece. Every thing is adjusted to every thing; one design prevails through the whole: and this uniformity leads the mind to acknowledge one author; because the conception of different authors without distinction of attributes or operations, serves only to perplex the imagination, without bestowing any satisfaction on the understanding. Natural history of Religion, by David Hume, Esquire.

nevolence;

nevolence: and to him they afcribe every good that happens. But as it is against his nature to do ill, they believe in subordinate malevolent beings, like our devil, who occasion thunder, hurricanes, earthquakes, and who are the authors of death, diseases, and of every misfortune. To these devils, termed in their language Yowahoos, they direct every supplication, in order to avert their malevolence: while the fupreme Deity is entirely neglected: fo much more powerful among favages, is fear than gratitude. The North-American favages have all of them a notion of a supreme Deity, creator and governor of the world; and of inferior deities, fome good, fome ill. These are supposed to have bodies, and to live much as men do, but without being subjected to any distress. The same creed prevails among the negroes of Benin and Congo, among the people of New Zeland, among the inhabitants of Java, of Madagascar, of the Molucca islands, and of the Caribbee islands. The Chingulese, a tribe in the island of Ceylon, acknowledge one God creator of the universe, with subordinate deities who act as his deputies: agriculture

ture is the peculiar province of one, navigation of another. The creed of the Fouquinese is nearly the same. The inhabitants of Otaheite, termed King George's island, believe in one supreme Deity; and in inferior deities without end, who prefide over particular parts of the creation. They pay no adoration to the fupreme Deity, thinking him too far elevated above his creatures to concern himfelf with what they do. They believe the flars to be children of the fun and moon, and an eclipse to be the time of copulation. Naudoweffies are the farthest remote from our Colonies of any of the North Americans whom we are in any degree acquainted with. They acknowledge one supreme being or giver of life, to whom they look up as the fource of good, and from whom no evil can proceed. They acknowledge also a bad spirit of great power, by whom all the evils that befal mankind are inflicted. To him they pray in their diftreffes; begging that he will either avert their troubles or mitigate them. They acknowledge befide good spirits of an inferior degree, who in their particular departments contribute to the happiness of mor-Vol. IV. Ggtals.

tals. But they feem to have no notion of a spirit divested of matter. They believe their gods to be of the human form, but of a nature more excellent than man. They believe in a future state; and that their employments will be fimilar to what they are engaged in here, but without labour or fatigue; in fhort, that they shall live for ever in regions of plenty, and enjoy in a higher degree every gratification they delight in here. According to Arnobins, certain Roman deities prefided over the various operations of men. Venus prefided over carnal copulation; Puta affilled at pruning trees; and Peta in requefting benefits: Nemeftrinus was god of the woods, Nodutus ripened corn, and Terentis helped to thresh it; Vibilia asfifted travellers; orphans were under the care of Orbona, and dying perfons, of Nænia; Offilago hardened the bones of infants; and Mellonia protected bees, and bestow'd sweetness on their honey. The inhabitants of the island of Formota recognite two supreme deities in company; the one a male, god of the men, the other a female, godders of the women. The bulk of their inferior deities are the touls

fouls of upright men, who are constantly doing good, and the fouls of wicked men. who are constantly doing ill. The inland negroes acknowledge one funreme being. creator of all things; attributing to him infinite power, infinite knowledge, and ubiquity. They believe that the dead are converted into fpirits, termed by them Iananini, or protectors, being appointed to guard their parents and relations. The ancient Goths and feveral other northern nations, acknowledged one fupreme being; and at the same time worthipped three fubordinate deities; Thor, reputed the fame with Jupiter; Oden, or Woden, the fame with Mars; 'and Friga, the fame with Venus *. Socrates taking the cup of poison from the executioner, held it up toward heaven, and pouring out fome of it as an oblation to the fupreme Deity, pronounced the following prayer: "I implore " the immortal God that my translation " hence may be happy." Then turning

[•] Regnator omnium Dens, extera subjecta atque parentia; Tacitus de moribus Germanorum, cap. 40. [In English thus: " One God the ruler of all; the " rest inserior and subordinate."]

to Crito, faid, "O Crito! I owe a cock to "Esculapius, pay it." From this incident we find that Socrates, soaring above his countrymen, had attained to the belief of a supreme benevolent Deity. But in that dark age of religion, such purity is not to be expected from Socrates himself, as to have rejected subordinate deities, even of the mercenary kind.

Different offices being affigned to the gods, as above mentioned, proper names followed of course. And when a god was ascertained by a name, the busy mind would naturally proceed to trace his genealogy.

As unity in the Deity was not an established doctrine in the countries where the Christian religion was first promulgated, Christianity could not fail to prevail over Paganism; for improvements in the mental faculties lead by sure steps, tho' slow, to one God.

The fifth stage is, the belief of one supreme benevolent Deity, as in that immediately foregoing, with many inferior benevolent deities, and one only who is malevolent. As men improve in natural knowledge and become skilful in tracing

causes from effects, they find much less malice and ill-defign than was imagined: humanity at last prevails, which with improved knowledge banish the suspicion of ill-defign, in every case where an event can be explained without it. In a word, a fettled opinion of good prevailing in the world, produced conviction among fome nations, less ignorant than their neighbours and less brutal, that there is but one malevolent fubordinate deity, and good fubordinate deities without number. The ancient Persians acknowledged two principles; one all good and all powerful, named Hormuz, and by the Greeks corruptly Oromazes; the other evil, named Abariman, and by the Greeks Arimanes. Some authors affert, that the Perfians held these two principles to be co-eternal: others that Oromazes first subsisted alone. that he created both light and darknefs. and that he created Arimanes out of dark-That the latter was the opinion of the ancient Persians, appears from their Bible, termed the Sadder; which teaches, That there is one God supreme over all, many good angels, and but one evil fpirit. Plutarch acquaints us, that Hormus and

and Ahariman, ever at variance, formed each of them creatures of their own stamp; that the former created good genii, such as goodness, truth, wisdom, justice; and that the latter created evil genii, fuch as infidelity, falsehood, oppression, theft. This fystem of theology, commonly termed the Manichean /ystem, is faid to be also the religious creed of Pegu, with the following addition, that the evil principle only is to be worshipped; which is abundantly probable, as fear is a predominant passion in barbarians. The people of Florida believe a fupreme benevolent Deity, and a fubordinate deity that is malevolent: neglecting the former, who, they fay, does no harm, they bend their whole attention to foften the latter, who, they fay, torments them day and night. The inhabitants of Darien acknowledge but one evil spirit, of whom they are desperately afraid. The Hottentots, mentioned by fome writers as altogether destitute of religion, are on the contrary farther advanced toward its purity, than fome of their neighbours. Their creed is, That there is a supreme being, who is goodness itself: of whom they have no occasion to ftand

stand in awe, as he is incapable by his nature to hurt them; that there is also a malevolent spirit, subordinate togethe former, who must be served and worshipped in order to avert his malice. The Epicurean doctrine with respect to the gods in general, That being happy in themselves they extend not their providential care to men, differs not widely from what the Hottentot believes with respect to the supreme being.

Having traced the fense of deity, from its dawn in the groffest favages to its approaching maturity among enlightened nations, we proceed to the last stage of the progress, which makes the true system of theology; and that is, conviction of a fupreme being, boundless in every perfection, without subordinate deities, benevolent or malevolent. Savages learn early to trace the chain of causes and effects, with respect to ordinary events: they know that fasting produces hunger. that labour occasions weariness, that fire burns, that the fun and rain contribute to vegetation. But when they go beyond fuch familiar events, they lofe fight of cause and effect: the changes of weather.

of winds, of heat and cold, impress them with a notion of chance: earthquakes, hurricanes, storms of thunder and lightning, which fill them with terror, are afcribed to malignant beings of greater power than man. In the progress of knowledge light begins to break in upon them: they discover, that such phenomena, however tremendous, come under the general law of cause and essect; and that there is no ground for afcribing them to malignant spirits. At the same time, our more refined fenses ripen by degrees: focial affections come to prevail, and morality makes a deep impression. In maturity of fense and understanding, benevolence appears more and more; and beautiful final causes are discovered in many of nature's productions, that formerly were thought ufelefs, or perhaps hurtful: and the time may come, we have folid ground to hope that it will come, when doubts and difficulties about the government of Providence, will all of them be cleared up; and every event be found conducive to the general good. Such views of Providence banish malevolent deities; and we fettle at last in a most comfortable

comfortable opinion; either that there are no fuch beings; or that, if they exist and are permitted to perpetrate any mischies, it is in order to produce greater good *. Thus, through a long maze of errors, man arrives at true religion, acknowledging but one Being, supreme in power, intelligence, and benevolence, who created all other beings, to whom all other beings are subjected, and who directs every event to answer the best purposes. This system is true theology †.

Having gone through the different stages of religious belief, in its gradual progress toward truth and purity, I proceed to a very important article, The history of tutelar deities. The belief of tutelar deities preceded indeed several of the

Vol. IV.

H h

flages.

^{*} The Abysinians think that the ascribing to the devil the wicked acts of which the Portugueze declare him to be guilty, is falling into the error of the Manichees, who admit two principles, one good, one evil.

[†] Pliny scens to relish the doctrine of unity in the Deity; but is at a loss about forming any just conception of him, sometimes considering the world to be our only deity, sometimes the sun.

stages mentioned, witness the tutelar deities of Greece and Rome: but as it is not connected with any one of them exclusive of the rest, the clearness of method required it to be postponed to all of them. This belief, founded on felfishness, made a rapid progress after property in the goods of fortune was established. The Greeks, the Romans, and indeed most nations that were not mere favages, appropriated to themselves tutelar deities, who were underflood to befriend them upon all occasions; and, in particular, to fight for them against their enemies. The Iliad of Homer is full of miraculous battles between the Greeks and Trojans, the tutelar deities mixing with the contending parties, and partaking of every difaster, death only excepted, which immortals could not fusfer. The lares, penates, or householdgods, of Indollan, of Greece, and of Rome, bear witness, that every family, perhaps every person, was thought to be under the protection of a tutelar deity. Alexander ab Alexandro gives a lift of tutelar deities. Apollo and Minerva were the tutelar deities of Athens: Bacchus and Hercules of the Bœotian Thebes; Juno of

of Carthage, Samos, Sparta, Argos, and Mycené; Venus of Cyprus; Apollo of Rhodes and of Delphos; Vulcan of Lemnos; Bacchus of Naxus; Neptune of Tenedos, &c. The poets testify, that even individuals had tutelar deities:

Mulciber in Trojam, pro Troja stabat Apollo:
Æqua Venus Feucris, Pallas iniqua fuit,
Oderat Æneam, propior Saturnia Turno;
Ille tamen Veneris numine tutus crat.
Sæpe ferox cautum petiit Neptunus Ulyssem;
Eripuit patruo sæpe Minerva suo * (a).

Though the North-American favages recognite a supreme Being, wife and benevolent, and also subordinate benevolent beings who are intrusted with the government of the world; yet as the great distance of these subordinate beings and the full occupation they have in general go-

Hh 2 vernment,

^{• &}quot; The rage of Vulcan, and the martial maid,

[&]quot; Pursu'd old Troy; but Phæbus' love repay'd.

[&]quot; Æncas safe, defy'd great Juno's hate.

[&]quot; For Venus guards her favour'd offspring's fate:

[&]quot; In vain Ulysses Neptune's wrath affails,

[&]quot;O'er winds and waves Minerva's power pre"vails."

⁽a) Ovid. Trift. lib. 1. eleg. 2.

vernment, are supposed to make them overlook individuals, every man has a tutelar deity of his own, termed Maniton, who is constantly invoked during war to give him victory over his enemies. The Natches, bordering on the Miffifippi, offer up the skulls of their enemies to their god, and deposite them in his temple. They confider that being as their tutelar deity, who assists them against their enemies, and to whom therefore the skull of an enemy must be an acceptable offering. they worthip the fun, who impartially shines on all mankind; yet fuch is their partiality, that they confider themselves as his chosen people, and that their enemies are his enemies.

A belief to abfurd shews woful imbecillity in human nature. Is it not obvious, that the great God of heaven and earth governs the world by inflexible laws, from which he never can swerve in any case, because they are the best possible in every case? To suppose any family or nation to be an object of his peculiar love, is no less impious, than to suppose any family or nation to be an object of his peculiar hatred: they equally arraign Providence of partiality.

partiality. Even the Goths had more just notions of the Deity. Totila, recommending to his people justice and humanity, says, "Quare sic habete, ca quæ amari ab "hominibus solent ita vobis salva sore, is justiciæ reverentiam servaveritis. Si transitis in mores alios, etiam Deum ad "hosses transiturum. Neque enim ille, aut omnibus omnino hominibus, aut "uni alicui genti, addicit se socium *."

That God was once the tutelar deity of the Jews, is true; but not in the vulgar acceptation of that term, importing a deity chosen by a people to be their patron and protector. The orthodox faith is, "That "God chose the Jews as his peculiar peo-"ple, not from any partiality to them, "but that there might be one nation to "keep alive the knowledge of one supreme

[&]quot; Be affured of this, that while ye preferve your reverence for justice, ye will enjoy all the bieffings which are estimable among mankind. If ye refuse to obey her dictates, and your morals become corrupted, God himself will abandon you, and take the part of your enemies. For although the benevolence of that power is not partially confined to tribe or people, yet in the eye of his justice all men are not equally the objects of his approbation."

[&]quot; Deity;

" Deity: which should be prosperous " while they adhered to him, and unpro-" fperous when they declined to idolatry; " not only in order to make them perfe-" vere in the true faith, but also in order " to exemplify to all nations the conduct " of his Providence." It is certain, however, that the perverse Jews claimed God Almighty as their tutelar deity in the vulgar acceptation of the term. And this error throws light upon an incident related in the Acts of the Apostles. There was a prophecy firmly believed by the Jews, that the Messiah would come among them in person to restore their kingdom. Christians gave a different sense to the prophecy, namely, that the kingdom promifed was not of this world. And they faid. that Christ was fent to pave the way to their heavenly kingdom, by obtaining forgiveness of their fins. At the same time. as the Jews held all other nations in abhorrence, it was natural for them to conclude, that the Messiah would be fent to them only, God's chosen people: for which reason, even the apostles were at first doubtful about preaching the gospel

to any but to the Jews (a). But the apostles reflecting, that it was one great purpose of the mission, to banish from the lews their grovelling and impure notion of a tutelar deity, and to proclaim a state of future happiness to all who believe in Christ, they proceeded to preach the gofpel to all men: "Then Peter opened his " mouth, and faid, Of a truth I perceive. " that God is no respecter of persons: but " in every nation, he that feareth him, " and worketh righteousness, is accepted " with him (b)." The foregoing reasoning, however, did not fatisfy the Jews: they could not digest the opinion, that God fent his Messiah to save all nations. and that he was the God of the Gentiles as well as of the Jews. They stormed against Paul in particular, for inculcating that doctrine (c).

Confidering that religion in its purity was established by the gospel, is it not amazing, that even Christians fell back to

⁽⁴⁾ See the 10th and 11th chapters of the Acts of the Apostles.

⁽i) Acts of the Apostles, x. 34.

⁽c) Acts of the Apostles, chap. 13.

the worship of tutelar deities? They did not indeed adopt the abfurd opinion, that the supreme Being was their tutelar deity: but they held, that there are divine perfons subordinate to the Almighty, who take under their care nations, families, and even individuals; an opinion that differs not esentially from that of tutelar deities among the Heathens. That opinion, which flatters felf-love, took root in the fifth century, when the deification of jaints was introduced, fimilar to the oerfication of heroes among the ancients. People are fond of friends to be their interceilors; and with regard to the Deity, demed faints were thought the propercit interceffors. Temples were built and dedicated to them; and folemn rites of worship instituted to render them propitious. It was imagined, that the fouls of deified faints are at liberty to roam where they lift, and that they love the places where their bodies are interred; which accordingly made the sepulchres of the saints a common rendezvous of supplicants. What paved the way to notions fo abfurd, was the grofs ignorance that clouded the Christian world, after the northern barbarians became ma-

sters of Europe. In the seventh century. the bishops were so illiterate, as to be indebted to others for the shallow sermons they preached; and the very few of that order who had any learning, fatisfied themselves with composing insipid homilies, collected from the writings of Augustin and Gregory. In the ninth century, matters grew worse and worse; for these saints, held at first to be mediators for Christians in general, were now converted into tutelar deities in the flricheft fense. An opinion prevailed, that such faints as are occupied about the fouls of Christians in general, have little time for individuals; which led every church, and every private Christian, to elect for themfelves a particular faint, to be their patron or tutelar deity. That practice made it necessary to deify faints without end, in order to furnish a tutelar deity to every individual. The dubbing of faints, became a new fource of abuses and frauds in the Christian world: lying wonders were invented, and fabulous histories composed, to celebrate exploits that never were performed, and to glorify persons who never had a being. And thus religion among VOL. IV. Christians. Ii

Christians, sunk down to as low a state as it had been among Pagans.

There still remains upon hand, a capital branch of our history; and that is idolatry, which properly signifies the worshipping visible objects as deities. But as idolatry evidently sprung from religious worship, corrupted by the ignorant and brutish; it will make its appearance with more advantage in the next chapter, of which religious worship is the subject.

We have thus traced with wary steps, the gradual progress of theology through many stages, corresponding to the gradual openings and improvements of the human mind. But tho' that progress, in almost all countries, appears uniform with respect to the order of fuccession, it is far otherwife with respect to the quickness of succession: nations, like individuals, make a progress from infancy to maturity; but they advance not with an equal pace, fome making a rapid progress toward perfection in knowledge and in religion, while others remain ignorant barbarians. religion of Hindostan, if we credit history or tradition, had advanced to a confiderable degree of purity and refinement, at a

very early period. The Hindostan Bible. termed Chatabbhade or Shaftah, gives an account of the creation, lapse of the angels, and creation of man; instructs us in the unity of the Deity, but denies his prescience, as being inconsistent with freewill in man; all of them profound doctrines of an illuminated people, to establish which a long course of time must have been requisite, after wandering through errors without number. Compared with the Hindows in theology, even the Greeks were mere favages. The Grecian gods were held to be little better than men. and their history, as above mentioned. corresponds to the notion entertain'd of them.

In explaining the opinions of men with respect to Deity, I have confined my view to fuch opinions as are fuggested by principles or biasses that make a part of common nature; omitting many whimfical notions, no better than dreams of a roving imagination. The plan delineated, shows wonderful uniformity in the progress of religion through all nations. regular and whimfical notions are far otherwise, is not wonderful. Take the fol-Ii2 lowing

lowing specimen. The Kamskatkans are not fo stupidly ignorant, as to be altogether void of curiofity. They fometimes think of natural appearances.-Rain, say they, is some deity pissing upon them; and they imagine the rainbow to be a party-coloured garment, put on by him in preparing for that operation. They believe wind to be produced by a god shaking with violence his long hair about his head. Such tales will fearce amuse children in the nursery. The inhabitants of the illand Celebes formerly acknowledged no gods but the fun and the moon, which were held to be eternal. Ambition for fuperiority made them fall out. The moon . being wounded in flying from the fun, was delivered of the earth.

Hitherto of the gradual openings of the human mind with respect to Deity. I close this section with an account of some unsound notions concerning the conduct of Providence, and concerning some speculative matters. I begin with the former.

In days of ignorance, the conduct of Providence is very little understood. Far from having any notion, that the govern-

ment

ment of this world is carried on by general laws, which are inflexible because they are the best possible, every important event is attributed to an immediate interposition of the Deity. As the Grecian gods were thought to have bodies like men, and like men to require nourishment; they were imagined to act like men, forming short-sighted plans of operation, and varying them from time to time, according to exigencies. Even the wife Athenians had an utter aversion at philosophers who attempted to account for effects by general laws: fuch doctrine they thought tended to fetter the gods, and to prevent them from governing events at their pleasure. An eclipse being held a prognostic given by the gods of some grievous calamity, Anaxagoras was accused of Atheisin for attempting to explain the eclipse of the moon by natural causes: he was thrown into prison, and with difficulty was relieved by the influence of Pericles. Protagoras was banished Athens for maintaining the same doctrine. Procopius overflows with fignal interpolitions of Providence; and Agathias, beginning at the battle of Marathon, fagely maintains.

254

tains, that from that time downward, there was not a battle loft but by an immediate judgement of God, for the fins of the commander, or of his army, or of one person or other. Our Saviour's doctrine with respect to those who suffered by the fall of the tower of Siloam, ought to have opened their eyes; but superflitious eyes are never opened by instruction. At the fame time, it is deplorable that fuch belief has no good influence on manners: on the contrary, never doth wickedness so much abound as in dark times. A curious fact is related by Procopius (a) with respect to that fort of superstition. When Rome was belieged by the Goths and in danger of destruction, a part of the town-wall was in a tottering condition. Belifarius. propoling to fortify it, was opposed by the citizens, affirming, that it was guarded by St Peter. Procopius observes, that the event answered expectation; for that the Goths, during a tedious siege, never once attempted that weak part. He adds, that the wall remained in the same ruinous Rate at the time of his writing. Here is a curious conceit—Peter created a tutelar

⁽a) Historia Gothica, lib. 1.

deity, able and willing to counteract the laws by which God governs the material world. And for what mighty benefit to his votaries? Only to fave them five or fifty pounds in rebuilding the crazy part of the wall.

It is no less inconsistent with the regular course of Providence, to believe, as many formerly did, that in all doubtful cases the Almighty, when appealed to. never fails to interpole in favour of the right fide. The inhabitants of Constantinople, ann. 1284, being split into parties about two contending patriarchs, the Emperor ordered a fire to be made in the church of St Sophia, and a paper for each party to be thrown into it; never doubting, but that God would fave from the flames the paper given in for the party whose cause he espoused. But, to the utter astonishment of all beholders, the flames paid not the least regard to either, The same absurd opinion gave birth to the trial by fire, by water, and by fingle combat. And it is not a little remarkable, that such trials were common among many nations that had no intercourse one with another; even the

enlightened people of Indostan try crimes by dipping the hand of a suspected person in boiling oil. In cases of doubtful proof. they recur in the kingdom of Siam, as in many other countries, to artificial proofs. One is to walk barefoot through fire. As the Siamites are accustomed to walk barefooted, their foles become hard; and those who have skill have a good chance to efcape without burning. The art is to fet down their feet on the fire with all their weight, which excludes the air, and prevents the fire from burning. Another proof is by water. The accuser and acenfed are thrown into a pond; and he who keeps the longest under water is declared to be in the right.-Such uniformity is there with respect even to superstitions opinions. Pope Gregory VII. infifting that the Kings of Castile and Aragon should lay aside their Gothic liturgy for the Romish, the matter was put to trial by fingle combat; and two champions were chosen to declare by victory the opinion of God Almighty. The Emperor Otho I. observing the law-doctors to differ about the right of representation in land-estates, appointed a duel; and the right

right of representation gain'd the victory. If any thing can render such a doctrine palatable, it is the believing in a tutelar deity, who with less absurdity may interpose in behalf of a favourite opinion, or of a favourite people. Appian gravely reports, that when the city of Rhodes was besieged by Mithridates, a statue of the goddes lss was seen to dart slaines of sire upon a bulky engine, raised by the besiegers to overtop the wall.

Historians mention an incident that happened in the island Celebes, founded on a belief of the same kind with that above mentioned. About two centuries ago, fome Christian and fome Mahometan missionaries made their way to that island. The chief king, struck with the fear of hell taught by both, affembled a general council; and stretching his hands towards heaven, addressed the following prayer to the fupreme being. " Great God, from thee I demand no-" thing but justice, and to me thou owest " it. Men of different religions have " come to this island, threatening eternal " punishment to me and my people if we " disobey thy laws. What are thy laws? Vol. IV. " Speak, K k

" Speak, O my God, who art the author " of nature: thou knowest the bottom of " our hearts, and that we can never in-" tentionally disobey thee. But if it be " unworthy of thy effence to employ "the language of men, I call upon my " whole people, the fun which gives me " light, the earth which bears me, the " fea which furrounds my empire, and " upon thee thyfelf, to bear witness for " me, that in the fincerity of my heart " I wish to know thy will; and this day " I declare, that I will acknowledge as the " depolitaries of thy oracles, the first mi-" nifters of either religion that shall land " on this island."

It is equally erroneous to believe, that certain ceremonies will protect one from mischies. In the dark ages of Christianity, the signing with the figure of a cross, was held not only to be an antidote against the snares of malignant spirits, but to inspire resolution for supporting trials and calamities: for which reason no Christian in those days undertook any thing of moment, till he had used that ceremony. It was firmly believed in France, that a gold

gold or filver coin of St Louis, hung from the neck, was a protection against all diseafes: and we find accordingly a hole in every remaining coin of that king, for fixing it to a ribband. In the minority of Charles VIII. of France, the three estates, ann. 1484, supplicated his Majesty. that he would no longer defer the being anointed with the holy oil, as the favour of Heaven was visibly connected with that ceremony. They affirmed, that his grandfather Charles VII. never prospered till he was anointed; and that Heaven afterward fought on his fide, till the English were expelled out of his kingdom *. The high altar

* That ridiculous ceremony is kept up to this day: fuch power has cuftom. Take the following fample of it; " The Grand Prior of St Remi opens " the holy phial, and gives it to the Archbishop, who with a golden needle takes fome of the precious " oil, about the fize of a grain of wheat, which " he mixes with confecrated ointment. The King " then profitates himfelf before the altar on a vio-" let-coloured carpet, embroidered with fleurs de " lys, while they pray. Then the King rifes, and " the Archbishop anoints him on the crown of the " head, on the stomach, on the two elbows, and on the joints of the arms. After the feveral a-" nointings, the Archbishop of Rheims, the Bishop? K k 2 " of

altar of St Margaret's church in the island of Icolmkill, was covered with a plate of blue marble finely veined; which has fuffered from a superstitious conceit, that the fmallest bit of it will preserve a ship from finking. It has accordingly been carried off piece-meal; and at present there is scarce enough left to make an experiment. the Sadder, certain prayers are enjoined when one fneezes or piffes, in order to chase away the devil. Cart-wheels in Lisbon, are composed of two clumsv boards nailed together in a circular form. Tho' the noise is intolerable, the axles are never greafed; the noise, say they, frightens the devil from hurting their oxen.

Nay, fo far has superstition been carried, as to found a belief, that the devil by magic can control the course of Providence. A Greek bishop having dreamed that a certain miracle had failed by magic,

King curing the King's evil with a touch?

[&]quot; of Laon and Beauvais close the openings of the

[&]quot;fhirt; the High Chamberlain puts on the tunic and the royal mantle; the King then kneels a-

gain, and is anointed in the palms of his hands."

Is this farce less ludicrous than that of an English

the supposed magician and his son were condemned to die, without the least evidence but the dream. Montesquieu collects a number of circumstances, each of which, tho' all extremely improbable, ought to have been clearly made out, in order to prove the crime (a). The Emperor Theodore Lascaris, imagining magic to be the cause of his distemper, put the persons suspected to the trial of holding a red-hot iron without being burnt. In the capitularies of Charlemagne, in the canons of feveral councils, and in the ancient laws of Norway, punishments are enacted against those who are supposed able to raise tempests, termed Tempestarii. During the time of Catharine de Medicis. there was in the court of France a jumble of politics, gallantry, luxury, debauchery, fuperstition, and Atheism. common to take the refemblance of enemies in wax, in order to torment them by roasting the figure at a slow fire, and pricking it with needles. If an enemy happened in one instance of a thousand to pine and die, the charm was established for ever. Sorcery and witchcraft were fo

⁽a) L'Esprit des loix, lib. 12. ch. 5.

univerfally believed in England, that in a preamble to a statute of Henry VIII. ann. 1511, it is set forth, "That smiths, weavers, and women, boldly take upon them great cures, in which they partly use forcery and witchcrast." The first printers, who were Germans, having carried their books to Paris for sale, were condemned by the parliament to be burnt alive as forcerers; and did not escape punishment but by a precipitate slight. It had indeed much the appearance of forcery, that a man could write so many copies of a book, without the slightest variation.

Superfition flourishes in times of danger and dismay. During the civil wars of France and of England, superfition was carried to extravagance. Every one believed in magic, charms, spells, sorcery, witchcraft, &c. The most absurd tales past current as gospel truths. Every one is acquainted with the history of the Duchess of Beaufort, who was said to have made a compact with the devil, to procure Henry IV. of France for her lover. This ridiculous story was believed through all France; and is reported as a truth by

the Duke de Sully. Must not superstition have been at a high pitch, when that great man was infected with it? James Howel. eminent for knowledge and for the figure he made during the civil wars of England. relates as an undoubted truth an abfurd fiction concerning the town of Hamelen, that the devil with a bagpipe enticed all the rats out of the town, and drowned them in a lake; and because his promised reward was denied, that he made the children fuffer the same fate. a manufcript doubting of the existence of witches, he observes, "that there are " fome men of a mere negative genius, "who cross and puzzle the clearest " truths with their but, yet, if: they will " flap the lie in truth's teeth, tho' she " visibly stands before their face with-" out any vizard. Such perverse cross-" grain'd spirits are not to be dealt with " by arguments, but palpable proofs: as " if one deny that the fire burns, or that " he hath a nofe on his face. There is no " way to deal with him, but to pull him " by the tip of the one and put his finger " into the other."

In an age of superstition, men of the greatest

greatest judgement are infected: in an enlightened age, superstition is confined among the vulgar. Would one imagine that the great Louis of France is an exception. It is hard to say, whether his vanity or his superstition was the most eminent. The Duke of Luxembourg was his favourite and his most successful general. In order to throw the Duke out of sayour, his rivals accused him of having a compact with the devil. The King permitted him to be treated with great brutality, on evidence no less soolish and absurd, than that on which old women were some time ago condemned as witches.

There are many examples of the attributing extraordinary virtue to certain things, in themselves of no significancy. The Hungarians were possessed of a golden crown, sent from heaven with the peculiar virtue, as they believed, of bestowing upon the person who wore it, an undoubted title to be their king

But the most extraordinary effort of abfurd superstition, is a persuasion, that one may control the course of Providence, by making a downright bargain with God Almighty to receive from him quid pro

quo. A herd of Tartars in Siberia, named by the Russians Baravinskoi, have in every hut a wooden idol about eighteen inches high; to which they address their prayers for plenty of game in hunting, promifing it, if successful, a new coat or a new bonnet: a fort of bargain abundantly brutish; and yet more excusable in mere favages, than what is made with the Virgin Mary by enlightened Roman Catholics; who, upon condition of her relieving them from distress, promise her a waxen taper to burn on her altar. lip II. of Spain made a vow, that, upon condition of gaining the battle of St Quintin, he would build the monastery of Escurial; as if an establishment for some idle monks, could be a motive with the great God to vary the course of his Providence*.

• Having gained the battle of St Quintin on the festival of St Laurence, Philip reckoned himself obliged to the saint for this victory, as much as to God Almighty; and accordingly, he not only built the monastery he had vowed, but also a church for the saint and a palace for himself, all under one roof; and what is not a little ludicrous, the edifice is built in resemblance of a gridiron, which, according to the legend, was the instrument of Laurence's martyrdom.

Vol. IV.

Ll

Belide

Beside the absurdity of thinking that such vows can have the effect to alter the established laws of Providence; they betray a most contemptible notion of the Deity, as if his favours, like a horse or a cow, could be purchased with money.

But however loofe and disjointed events appear to the ignorant, when viewed as past or as passing; future events take on a very different appearance. The doctrine of prognostics, is evidently founded upon a fuppolition that future events are unalterably fixed; for otherwise that doctrine would appear abturd, even to the igno-No bias in human nature has greater influence, than curiofiv about futurity; which in dark ages governs without control: men with no lets folly than industry have ransacked the earth, the sea, the air, and even the stars, for prognostics of future events. The Greeks had their oracles, the Romans their augurs, and all the world their omens. The Grecian oracles and the Roman auguries, are evidently built upon their belief of tutelar deities; and the numberless omens that influence weak people in every country, feem to rest upon

upon the same foundation *. Ancient his flories are stuffed with omeas, prodigies, and prognottics: Livy overflows with fooleries of that kind. Enalels are the adverte omens reported by Appian of Alexandria, that are faid to have given warning of the defeat of Crassus by the Parthians; and no fewer in number are those which happened at the death of the Emperor Hadrian, if we believe Spartianus. Lampridius. with great gravity, recites the omens which prognosticated that Alexander Severus would be Emperor: he was born the fame day on which Alexander the Great died: he was brought forth in a temple dedicated to Alexander the Great: he was named Alexander; and an old woman gave to his mother, a pigeon's egg of a purple colour produced on his birthday. A comet is an infallible prognostic of the

* It is no wonder that the Romans were superstitiously addicted to omens and augusties: like mere favages, they put no value upon any science but that of war; and, for that reason, they banished all philosophers, as useless members of society. Thus, that nation, so sierce and so great in war, surrendered themselves blindly to superstition, and became slaves to imaginary evils. Even their gravest historians were deeply tainted with that disease.

Ll2

death

death of a king. But of what king? Why, of the king who dies next. Suetonius, with the folemnity of a pulpit-instructor, informs us, that the death of the Emperor Claudius was predicted by a comet; and of Tiberius, by the fall of a tower during an earthquake*. Such opinions, having a foundation in our nature, take fast hold of the mind, when envigorated by education and example. Even philosophy is not sufficient to eradicate them but by flow degrees: witness Tacitus, the most profound of all historians, who cannot forbear to usher in the death of the Emperor Otho, with a foolish account of a strange unknown bird appearing at that time. He indeed, with decent referve, mentions it only as a fact reported by others; but from the glow of his narrative it is evident, that the story had made an impression upon him. When Onosander wrote his military institutions, which was in the fourth century, the intrails of an animal facrificed were still depended on as a prognostic of good or bad

fortune.

[•] Charlemagne, tho' an eminent astronomer for his time, was arraid of comets and eclipses.

fortune. And in chap. 15. he endeavours to account for the misfortunes that fometimes happened after the most favourable prognostics; laying the blame, not upon the prognostic, but upon some cross accident that was not foreseen by the tutelar deity. The ancient Germans drew many of their omens from horses: "Proprium " gentis, equorum presagia ac monitus " experiri. Publice aluntur iisdem nemo-" ribus ac lucis, candide, et nullo mortali " opere contacti, quos pressos facro cur-" ru, facerdos, ac rex, vel princeps civi-" tatis, comitantur, hinnitusque ac fre-" mitus observant. Nec ulli auspicio ma-" jor fides, non folum apud plebem, fed "apud proceres, apud facerdotes * (a)," There is fcarce a thing feen or imagined.

^{* &}quot; It is peculiar to that people, to deduce omens " and prefages from horses. These animals are " maintained at the public expence, in groves and " forests, and are not allowed to be polluted with " any work for the use of man; but being yoked in " the facred chariot, the prieft, and the king, or " chief of the state, attend them, and carefully ob-" ferve their neighings. The greatest faith is given " to this method of augury, both among the vulgar " and the nobles."

⁽a) Tacitus De moribus Germanorum, cap. 10.

but what the inhabitants of Madagascar consider as a prognostic of some future event. The Hindows rely on the augury of birds, precisely as the old Romans did. Tho' there is not the slightest probability, that an impending misfortune was ever prevented by such prognostics; yet the desire of knowing suture events is so deeply rooted in our nature, that omens will always prevail among the vulgar, in spite of the clearest light of philosophy *.

With respect to prophecies in particular, one apology may be made for them, that no other prognostic of futurity is less apt to do mischies. What Procopius (a) obferves of the Sybilline oracles, is equally applicable to all prophecies, "That it is above the fagacity of man to explain any of them before the event happen." Matters are there handled, not in any

[•] Is it not mortifying to human pride, that a great philosopher [Bacon] should think like the vulgar upon this subject? With respect to rejoicings in London upon the marriage of the daughter of Henry VII. of England to James IV. of Scotland, he says, "not from any affection to the Scots, but "from a secret instinct and inspiration of the ad-"vantages that would accrue from the match."

⁽a) Gothica Historia, lib. 1.

[&]quot; order,

" order, nor in a continued discourse: " but after mentioning the diffresses of " Africa, for example, they give a flight " touch at the Persians, the Romans, the " Affyrians; then returning to the Ro-" mans, they fall flap-dash upon the ca-" lamities of Britain." A curious example of this observation, is a book of prophecies composed in Scotland by Thomas Learmont, commonly called Thomas the Rhymer, because the book is in rhyme. Plutarch in the life of Cicero reports, that a spectre appeared to Cicero's nurse, and foretold, that the child would become a great support to the Roman state; and most innocently he makes the following reflection, " This might have passed for " an idle tale, had not Cicero demonstra-" ted the truth of the prediction." At that rate, if a prediction happen to prove true, it is a real prophecy; if otherwise, it is an idle tale. There have been prophecies not altogether fo well guarded as the Sybilline oracles. Napier, inventor of the logarithms, found the day of judgement to be predicted in the Revelation; and named the very day, which unfortunately he furvived. He made another prediction,

tion, but prudently named a day fo distant as to be in no hazard of blushing a fecond time. Michel Stifels, a German clergyman, spent most of his life in attempting to discover the day of judgement; and at last announced to his parishioners, that it would happen within a year. The parishioners, resolving to make the best of a bad bargain, spent their time merrily, taking no care to lay up provifions for another year; and so nice was their computation, as at the end of the year to have not a morfel remaining, either of food or of industry. The famous Jurieu has shewn great ingenuity in explaining prophecies; of which take the following instance. In his book, intitled Accomplishment of the prophecies, he demonstrates, that the beast in the Apocalypse, which held the poculum aureum plenum abominationum*, is the Pope; and his reason is, that the initial letters of these four Latin words compose the word papa; a very fingular prophecy indeed, that is a prophecy in Latin, but in no other language. The candid reader will advert, that fuch prophecies as relate to our Saviour and

^{• &}quot; The golden cup full of abominations."

tend to ascertain the truth of his mission, fall not under the foregoing reasoning; for they do not anticipate futurity, by producing foreknowledge of future events. They were not understood till our Saviour appeared among men; and then they were clearly understood as relative to him.

There is no end of superstition in its various modes. In dark times, it was believed univerfally, that by certain forms and invocations, the fpirits of the dead could be called upon to reveal future events. A lottery in Florence, gainful to the government and ruinous to the people, gives great fcope to fuperstition. A man who purpofes to purchate tickets, must fast fix and thirty hours, must repeat a certain number of Ave Maries and Pater Nofters, must not speak to a living creature, must not go to bed, must continue in prayer to the Virgin and to faints, till fome propitious faint appear and declare the numbers that are to be fuccessful. The man, fatigued with fasting, praying, and expectation, falls afleep. Occupied with the thoughts he had when awake, he dreams that a faint appears, and mentions the lucky numbers. If he be disappointed, he VOL. IV. M_m is

is vexed at his want of memory; but trusts in the saint as an infallible oracle. Again he salls assep, again sees a vision, and again is disappointed.

Lucky and unlucky days, which were fo much rely'd on as even to be marked in the Greek and Roman calendars, make an appendix to prophecies. The Tartars never undertake any thing of moment on a Wednesday, being held by them unlucky. The Nogayan Tartars hold every thirteenth year to be unlucky: they will not even wear a fword that year, believing that it would be their death; and they maintain, that none of their warriors ever returned who went upon an expedition in one of these years. They pass that time in fasting and prayer, and during it never marry. The inhabitants of Madagafear have days fortunate and unfortunite with respect to the birth of children; they deflrov without mercy every child that is born on an unfortunate day.

There are unlucky names as well as unlucky days. Julien Cardinal de Medicis, chosen Pope, was inclined to keep his own name. But it being observed to him by the cardinals, says Guichardin, that the popes

who

who retained their own name had all of them died within the year, he took the name of Clement, and was Clement VII. As John was held an unlucky name for a king, John heir to the Crown of Scotland was perfuaded to change his name into Robert; and he was Robert III.

I close this important article with a reflection that will make an impression upon every rational person. The knowledge of future events, as far as it tends to influence our conduct, is inconfiftent with a flate of activity, fuch as Providence has allotted to man in this life. It would deprive him of hopes and fears, and leave him nothing to deliberate upon, nor any end to profecute. In a word, it would put an end to his activity, and reduce him to be merely a passive being. Providence therefore has wifely drawn a veil over future events, affording us no light for prying into them but fagacity and experience.

These are a few of the numberless abfurd opinions about the conduct of Providence, that have prevailed among Christians, and still prevail among tome of them. Many opinions no less absurd have M m 2 prevailed prevailed about speculative points. I confine myfelf to one or two instances; for to make a complete list would require a volume. The first I shall mention, and the most noted, is transubstantiation; a doctrine in which it is afferted, first, that the bread and wine in the facrament are converted into the body and blood of our Saviour; next, that his body and blood exists wholly and entirely in every particular facrament administered in the Christian world even at the same instant of time. This article of faith, tho' it has not the least influence on practice, is reckoned fo effential to falvation, as to be placed above every moral duty. The following text is appealed to as its fole foundation. " And as they were eating, lefus took " bread, and bleffed it, and brake it, and " gave it to the disciples, and said, Take, " eat; this is my body. And he took " the cup, and gave thanks, and gave it " to them, faying, Drink ye all of it: " for this is my blood of the new testa-" ment, which is shed for many for the " remission of sins. But I say unto you, " I will not drink henceforth of this fruit " of the vine, until that day when I drink

" it new with you in my Father's king-" dom (a)." That this is a metaphor. must strike every one: the passage cannot even bear a literal meaning, confidering the final cl use: for furely the most zealous Roman Catholic believes not, that Christians are to drink new wine with their Saviour in the kingdom of heaven. At the same time, it is not so much as infinuated, that there was here any miraculous transubstantiation of the bread and wine into the body and blood of our Saviour; nor is it infinuated, that the aposles believed they were eating the flesh of their matter, and drinking his blood. St John, the favourite apostle, mentions not a word of this ceremony, which he certainly would not have omitted, had he imagined it an effectial article of faith.

But supposing transubstantiation were clearly expressed in this text, yet men of understanding will be loth to admit a meaning that contradicts their five senses. They will resect, that no man now living ever saw the original books of the New Testament; nor are they certain, that the

⁽a) St Matthew, xxvi. 26. &c.

editions we have, are copied directly from the originals. Every remove from them is liable to errors, which may justly create a suspicion of texts that contradict reaton and common sente. Add, that the bulk of Christians have not even a copy from the original to build their faith upon; but only a translation into another language. But the second branch of this article is obvious to a still stronger objection than of its contradicting our tenses: it is a direct inconsistence, as we cannot even conceive it possible that the same body or thing can be in two different places at the same time.

And this leads to what chiefly determined me to felect that instance. God and nature have bestowed upon us the faculty of reason, for distinguishing truth from falsehood. If by reasoning with candor and impartiality, we discover a proposition to be true or false, it is not in our power to remain indifferent: we must judge, and our belief must be regulated by our judgement. I say more, to judge is a duty we owe our Maker; for to what purpose has he bestow'd reason upon us, but in order to direct our judgement?

ment? At the same time, we may depend on it as an intuitive truth, that God will never impose any belief on us, contradictory, not only to our reason, but to our senses.

The following objection however will perhaps relith more with people of plain understanding. Transubstantiation is a very extraordinary miracle, reiterated every day and in every corner of the earth, by priefts not always remarkable either for piety or for morality. Now I demand an answer to the following plain question: To what good end or purpose is such a profusion of miracles subservient? fee none. But I discover a very bad one, if they have any influence; which is, that they accustom the Roman Catholics to more cruelty and barbarity, than even the groffest savages are ever guilty of: fome of these indeed devour the flesh of their enemies; but none of them the flesh of their friends, especially of their greatest But to do justice to people of that religion, I am confident, that this supposed miracle has no influence whatever upon their manners: to me it appears

appears impossible for any man seriously to believe, that the bread and wine used at the Lord's supper, is actually converted into the body and blood of our Saviour. The Romith church requires the belief of transubstantiation; and a zealous Catholic, out of pure obedience, thinks he believes it. Convince once a man that salvation depends on belief, and he will believe any thing; that is, he will imagine that he believes: Credo quia impossibile est \(^+.

• A traveller deferibing the Virgin Mary's house at Loretto, has the following reflection. " When " there are fo many faints endued with fuch mira-46 culous powers, fo many relics, and fo many im-" pregnated wells, each of them able to cure the " most dangerous diteafes; one would wonder, " that physicians could live there, or others die. "But people die here as elfewhere; and even "churchmen, who preach upon the miracles " wrought by relics, grow fick and die like other " men." It is one thing to believe: it is another thing to fancy that we believe. In the year 1666 a lew named Sabatai Levi appeared at Smyrna, pretending to be the true Meffith, and was acknowledged to be fo by many. The Grand Signior, for proof of his mission, insisted for a miracle; proposing that he should present himself as a mark to be shot at, and promising to believe that he was the Mesfiah.

That our first reformers, who were prone to dister from the Romith faith, should adopt this doctrine, shows the supreme influence of superstition. The Lutherans had not even the excuse of inattention: after serious examination, they added one absurdity more; teaching, that the bread and wine are converted into the body and blood of our Saviour, and yet remain bread and wine as at first; which is termed by them consubstantiation. I am perfuaded, that at this time not a single man of them harbours such a thought.

Many perfors, impenetrable by a ferious argument, can differer falfehood when put in a ridiculous light. It requires, I am fenfible, a very delicate hand to attack a grave fubject with ridicule as a tell of truth; and for that reason, I forbear to offer any thing of my own. But I will

fish, if he remained unwounded. Sabatai, declining the trial, turned Mahometan to fave his life. But observe the blindness of superstition: the Sabatai was seen every day walking the streets of Constantinople in the Turkish habit, many Jews insisted that the true Sabatai was taken up into heaven, leaving only behind him his shadow; and probably they most piously fancied that they believed so.

Vol. IV. N n fet

fet before my readers some excerpts from a book of absolute authority with Roman Catholics. Tho' transubstantiation be there handled in the most serious manner, with all the ceremonies and punctilios that naturally slow from it, yet in my judgement it is happily contrived to give it a most ridiculous appearance. The book is the Roman Missal, from which the following is a literal translation.

"Mass may be desicient in the matter, in the form, in the minister, or in the action. First, in the matter. If the bread be not of wheat, or if there be fo great a mixture of other grain that it cannot be called wheat-bread, or if any way corrupted, it does not make a facrament. If it be made with rose-water, or any other distilled water, it is doubtful whether it make a facrament or not. Tho' corruption have begun, or tho' it be leavened, it makes a facrament, but the celebrator sins grievous-

"If the celebrator, before confectation, observe that the host is corrupted, or is not of wheat, he must take another host: if after consecration, he must still

" tako

"take another and fwallow it, after which he must also swallow the first, or give it to another, or preserve it in some place with reverence. But if he have swallowed the first before observing its defects, he must nevertheless swallow also the perfect host; because the precept about the perfection of the facrament, is of greater weight than that of taking it fasting. If the consecrated host disappear by an accident, as by wind, by a miracle, or by some animal, another must be consecrated.

"If the wine be quite four or putrid, or made of unripe grapes, or be mixed with fo much water as to spoil the wine, it is no facrament. If the wine have begun to sour or to be corrupted, or be quite new, or not mixed with water, or mixed with rose-water or other difilled water, it makes a facrament, but the celebrator sins grievously.

"If the prieft, before confectation, observe that the materials are not proper, he must stop, if proper materials cannot be got; but after confectation, he must proceed, to avoid giving scandal. If proper materials can be pro-

" cured by waiting, he must wait for " them, that the sacrifice may not remain " impersect.

"Second, in form. If any of the words of confectation be omitted, or any of them he changed into words of a different meaning, it is no factament: if they be changed into words of the fame meaning, it makes a factament; but the celebrator fins grievously.

"Third, in the minister. If he does " not intend to make a facrament, but to " cheat; if there be any part of the wine, " or any wafer that he has not in his eye, " and does not intend to confecrate; if he " have before him eleven wafers, and in-" tends to confecrate only ten, not deter-" mining what ten he intends: in thesc " cases the confecration does not hold, " because intention is requisite. If he " think there are ten only, and intends " to confecrate all before him, they are all " confecrated; therefore priefts ought al-" ways to have fuch intention. If the " prieft, thinking he has but one wafer, " shall, after the confecration, find two " sticking together, he must take them " both. And he must take off all the re-" mains " mains of the confecrated matter; for they all belong to the same sacrifice. If in confecrating, the intention be not actual by wandering of mind, but virtual in approaching the altar, it makes a facrament: tho' priests should be careful to have intention both virtual and actual.

" Beside intention, the priest may be " deficient in disposition of mind. If he " be fuspended, or degraded, or excom-" municated, or under mortal fin, he " makes a facrament, but fins grievoufly. " He may be deficient alto in disposition " of body. If he have not fasted from " midnight, if he have tafted water, or " any other drink or meat, even in the " way of medicine, he cannot celebrate " nor communicate. If he have taken " meat or drink before midnight, even " tho' he have not flept nor digested it, " he does not fin. But on account of the " perturbation of mind, which bars de-" votion, it is prudent to refrain.

"If any remains of meat, flicking in the mouth, be swallowed with the host, "they do not prevent communicating, provided they be swallowed, not as meat,

" but

" but as spittle. The same is to be said, " if in washing the mouth a drop of water be swallowed, provided it be against our " will.

" Fourth, in the action. If any requi-" fite be wanting, it is no facrament; for " example, if it be celebrated out of holy " ground, or upon an altar not confecra-" ted, or not covered with three napkins: " if there be no wax candles; if it be not " celebrated between day-break and noon; " if the celebrator have not faid mattins " with lauds; if he omit any of the fa-" cerdotal robes; if there robes and the " napkins be not bleffed by a bishop; if " there be no clerk prefent to ferve, or " one who ought not to ferve, a woman, " for example; if there be no chalice, the " cup of which is gold, or filver, or " pewter; if the vestment be not of clean " linen adorned with filk in the middle, " and blelled by a bishop; if the priett " celebrate with his head covered; if there " be no missal present, tho' he have it by " heart.

"If a gnat or spider fall into the cup after confectation, the priest must swall low it with the blood, if he can: otherwise." wisc.

287

" wife, let him take it out, wash it with
" wine, burn it, and throw it with the
" washings into holy ground. If poison
" fall into the cup, the blood must be
" poured on tow or on a linen cloth, re" main till it be dry, then be burnt, and
" the ashes be thrown upon holy ground.
" If the host be poisoned, it must be kept
" in a tabernacle till it be corrupted.

"If the blood freeze in winter, put "warm cloths about the cup: if that be "not fufficient, put the cup in boiling water.

"If any of Christ's blood fall on the ground by negligence, it must be licked up with the tongue, and the place scraped: the scrapings must be burnt, and the ashes buried in holy ground.

"If the priest vomit the eucharist, and the species appear entire, it must be licked up most reverently. If a nausea prevent that to be done, it must be kept till it be corrupted. If the species do not appear, let the vomit be burnt, and the ashes thrown upon holy ground."

As the foregoing article has beyond intention fwelled to an enormous fize, I shall add but one other article, which shall be extremely

extremely short; and that is the creed of Athanasius. It is a heap of unintelligible jargon; and yet we are appointed to believe every article of it, under the pain of eternal damnation. As it enjoins belief of rank contradictions, it seems purposely calculated to be a test of slavish submission to the tyrannical authority of a proud and arrogant priest *.

• Bishop Burnet seems doubtful whether this creed was composed by Athanasius. His doubts, in my apprehension, are scarce sufficient to weigh against the unanimous opinion of the Christian church.

CHAP.

C H A P. III.

Religious Worship.

N the foregoing chapter are traced the gradual advances of the fense of Deity, from its imperfect state among savages to its maturity among enlightened nations; difplaying to us one great being, to whom all other beings owe their existence, who made the world, and who governs it by perfect laws. And our perception of Deity, arising from that fense, is fortified by an intuitive proposition, that there necessarily must exist some being who had no beginning. Considering the Deity as the author of our existence, we owe him gratitude; confidering him as governor of the world, we owe him obedience: and upon these duties is founded the obligation we are under to worship him, Further, God made man for fociety, and implanted in his nature the moral sense to direct his conduct in Vol. IV. 0 0 that

that state. From these premises, may it not with certainty be inferred to be the will of God, that men should obey the dictates of the moral sense in fulfilling every duty of justice and benevolence? These moral duties, it would appear, are our chief business in this life; being enforced not only by a moral but by a religious principle.

Morality, as laid down in a former sketch, consists of two great branches, the moral fente which unfolds the duty we owe to our fellow-creatures, and an active moral principle which prompts us to perform that duty. Natural religion confifts also of two great branches, the fense of Deity which unfolds our duty to our Maker, and the active principle of devotion which prompts us to perform our duty to him. The universality of the sense of Deity proves it to be innate; the same reason proves the principle of devotion to be innate; for all men agree in worthipping fuperior beings, whatever difference there may be in the mode of worthip.

Both branches of the duty we owe to God, that of worshipping him, and that of obeying his will with respect to our fellow-

fellow-creatures, are fummed up by the Propet Micah in the following emphatic words. " He hath shewed thee, O man, " what is good: and what doth the Lord " require of thee, but to do justly, to love " mercy, and to walk humbly with thy " God?" The two articles first mentioned, are moral duties regarding our fellow creatures: and as to fuch, what is required of us is to do our duty to others; not only as directed by the moral fense, but as being the will of our Maker, to whom we owe absolute obedience. That branch of our duty is referved for a fecond fection: at prefent we are to treat of religious worthip, included in the third article, the walking humbly with our God.

O o 2 SECT.

S E C T. I.

Religious Worship respecting the Deity singly.

THE obligation we are under to worthip God, or to walk humbly with him, is, as observed above, founded on the two great principles of gratitude and obedience; both of them requiring fundamentally a pure heart, and a well-difposed mind. But heart-worthip is alone not fufficient: there are over and above required external figns, teftifying to others the fense we have of these duties, and a firm resolution to perform them. fuch is the will of God, will appear as follows. The principle of devotion, like most of our other principles, partakes of the imperfection of our nature: yet, however faint originally, it is capable of being greatly invigorated by cultivation and exercise. Private exercise is not sufficient. Nature, and consequently the God of nature, require public exercise or public worship: for devotion is communicative, like

joy or grief (a); ded by mutual communication in a numerous affembly, is greatly invigorated. A regular habit of expressing publicly our gratitude and resignation, never fails to purify the mind, tending to wean it from every unlawful pursuit. This is the true motive of public worship; not what is commonly inculcated, That it is required from us, as a testimony to our Maker of our obedience to his laws: God, who knows the heart, needs no such testimony*.

The

" iuthice

(a) Elements of Criticism, vol. 1. p. 180. edit. 5.

* Arnobius (Alwerius gentes, lib. 1.) accounts rationally for the worthip we pay to the Deity; " Huic omnes ex more profternimur, hunc collatis " precibus adoramus, ab hoc justa, et honesta, et " auditu ejus condigna, depofeimus. Non quo ip-" le desideret supplices nos esse, aut amet substerni " tot millium venerationem videre. Utilitas hac " nostra est, et commodi nostri rationem spectans. "Nam quia proni ad culpas, et ad libidinis varios " appetitus, vitio fumus infirmitatis ingenitæ, pati-" tur le semper nostris cogitationibus concipi : ut " dum illum oramus, et mereri ejus contendimus " munera, accipiamus innocentiæ voluntatem, et ab " omni nos labe delictorum omnium amputatione " purgemus." - [In English thus: " It is our cu-" from, to proffrate ourselves before him; and we ask of him fuch gifts only as are comment with

The fetting apart on day in feven for public worship is not a pious institution merely, but highly moral. With regard to the latter, all men are equal in the prefence of God; and when a congregation pray for mercy and protection, every one must be inflamed with good-will and brotherly love to every one.

In the next place, the ferious and devout tone of mind infpired by public worship, suggests naturally self-examination. Retired from the bustle of the world in that day of rest, the errors we have been guilty of are recalled to memory: we are afflicted for these errors, and are firmly resolved to be more on our guard in time coming. In short, Sunday is only a day of rest from worldly concerns, in order to be more use-

[&]quot; justice and with honour, and suitable to the character of the Being whom we adore. Not that
he receives pleasure or fatisfaction from the
humble veneration of thousands of his creatures.

From this we ourselves derive benefit and advantage; for being the slaves of appetite, and prone
to err from the weakness of our nature, when
we address ourselves to God in prayer, and study
by our actions to merit his approbation, we gain
at least the wish, and the inclination, to be virtuous."]

fully employed upon those that are internal. Sunday accordingly is a day of account; and a candid account every feventh day, is the best preparation for the great day of account. A person who diligently follows out this preparatory difcipline, will feldom be at a lofs to answer for his conduct, called upon by God or This confideration leads me necesfarily to condemn a practice authorised among Christians with very few exceptions, that of abandoning to diversion and merriment what remains of Sunday after public worship, parties of pleasure, dancing, gaming, any thing that trifles away the time without a ferious thought; as if the purpose were to cancel every virtuous impression made at public worship.

Unhappily, this falutary inflitution can only be preferved in vigour during the days of piety and virtue. Power and opulence are the darling objects of every nation; and yet in every nation possessed of power and opulence virtue subsides, selfishness prevails, and sensuality becomes the ruling passion. Then it is, that the most facred institutions, first, lose their hold,

next, are difregarded, and at last are made a subject for ridicule.

I shall only add upon the general head. that lawgivers ought to avoid with caution the enforcing public worship by rewards and punishments: human laws cannot reach the heart, in which the effence of worthip confifts: they may indeed bring on a liftless habit of worship. by separating the external act from the internal affection, than which nothing is more hurtful to true religion. most that can be fafely ventured, is to bring public worthip under cenforian powers, as a matter of police, for preferving good order, and for preventing bad example.

The religion of Confucius, professed by the literati and persons of rank in China and Tonquin, consists in a deep inward veneration for the God or King of heaven, and in the practice of every moral virtue. They have neither temples, nor priess, nor any settled form of external worship: every one adores the supreme Being in the manner he himself thinks best. This is indeed the most refined system of religion that ever took place among men; but it is not fitted for the human race: an excellent religion it would be for angels; but is far too refined even for fages and philosophers.

Proceeding to deviations from the genuine worship required by our Maker, and grofs deviations there have been. I begin with that fort of worthip which is influenced by fear, and which for that reason is universal among savages. The American favages believe, that there are inferior deities without end, most of them prone to mischief; they neglect the supreme Deity because he is good; and direct their worship to soothe the malevolent inferior deities from doing harm. The inhabitants of the Molucca islands, who believe the existence of malevolent beings fubordinate to the supreme benevolent Being, confine their worship to the former, in order to avert their wrath; and one branch of their worthip is, to fet meat before them, hoping that when the belly is full, there will be less inclination to mischief. The worthip of the inhabitants of Java is much the fame. The negroes of Benin worship the devil, as Dapper expresses it, and facrifice to him both men Vol. IV. Pρ

and beafts. They acknowledge indeed a fupreme Being, who created the universe, and governs it by his providence: but they regard him not: "for," fay they, "it is needless, if not impertinent, to "invoke a being, who, good and gra-"cious, is incapable of injuring or mo-"lesting us." Gratitude, it would appear, is not a ruling principle among savages.

The austerities and penances that are practifed in almost all religions, spring from the fame root. One way to pleafe invilible malignant powers, is to make ourselves as miserable as possible. Hence the horrid penances of the Faquirs in Hindostan, who outdo in mortification whatever is reported of the ancient Chriilian anchorites. Some of these Faquirs continue for life in one posture: fome never lie down: fome have always their arms raifed above their head; and fome mangle their bodies with knives and scourges. The town of Jagrenate in Hindostan is frequented by pilgrims, some of them from places 300 leagues distant: and they travel, not by walking or riding, but by measuring the road with the length

length of their bodies; in which mode of loco-motion, fome of them confume years before they complete their pilgrimage. religious fect made its way fome centuries ago into Japan, termed Bub/doifts, from Bubs, the founder. This fect has prevailed over the ancient fect of the Sintos, chiefly by its aufterity and mortifications. The spirit of this sect inspires nothing but excessive fear of the gods, who are painted prone to vengeance and always offend-These sectaries pass most of their time in tormenting themselves, in order to expiate imaginary faults; and they are treated by their priests with a degree of despotisin and cruelty, that is not parallelled but by the inquisitors of Spain. Their manners are fierce, cruel, and unrelenting, derived from the nature of their fuperstition. The notion of invifible malevolent powers, formerly univerfal, is not to this hour eradicated, even among Christians; for which I appeal to the fastings and slagellations among Roman-Catholics, held by them to be an effential part of religion. People infected with religious horrors, are never ferioully convinced that an upright heart and found P p 2 morality

morality make the essence of religion. The doctrine of the Jansenists concerning repentance and mortification, shows evidently, however they may deceive themfelves, that they have an impression of the Deity as a malevolent being. They hold the guilt contracted by Adam's fall to be a heinous fin, which ought to be expiated by acts of mortification, fuch as the torturing and macerating the body with painful labour, excessive abstinence, continual prayer and contemplation. Their penances, whether for original or voluntary fin, are carried to extravagance; and those who put an end to their lives by fuch feverities, are termed the facred victims of repentance, confumed by the fire of divine love. Such fuicides are efteemed peculiarly meritorious in the eye of Heaven; and it is thought, that their fufferings cannot fail to appeale the anger of the That celibacy is a state of purity and perfection, is a prevailing notion in many countries: among the Pagans, a married man was forbidden to approach the altar, for fome days after knowing his wife; and this ridiculous notion of pollution, contributed to introduce celibacy

bacy among the Roman-Catholic priests *. The Emperor Otho, anno 1218, became a fignal penitent: but instead of atoning for his fins by repentance and restitution. he laid himfelf down to be trodden under foot by the boys of his kitchen; and frequently fubmitted to the discipline of the whip, inflicted by monks. The Emperor Charles V. toward the end of his days, was forely depressed in spirit with fear of Monks were his only companions, with whom he fpent his time in chanting hymns. As an expiation for his fins, he in private disciplined himself with such feverity, that his whip, found after his death, was tinged with his blood. Nor was he fatisfied with these acts of mortification: timorous and illiberal folicitude ftill haunting him, he aimed at fomething extraordinary, at fome new and fingular act of piety, to display his zeal, and to merit the favour of Heaven. The act he fixed on, was as wild as any that fupersti-

^{*} Fasting and celibacy were by Zoroaster condemned with abhorrence, as a criminal rejection of the best gifts of Providence.

tion ever fuggested to a distempered brain: it was to celebrate his own oblequies. He ordered his tomb to be erected in the chapel of the monastery; his domestics marched there in funeral procession, holding black tapers: he followed in his fhroud: he was laid in his coffin with much folemnity: the fervice of the dead was chanted; and he himself joined in the prayers offered up for his requiem, mingling his tears with those of his at-The ceremony closed with fprinkling holy water upon the coffin: and the affiftants retiring, the doors of the chapel were thut. Then Charles rofe out of the cossin, and stole privately to his apartment.

The hillory of ancient facrifices is not fo accurate, as in every inflance to afcertain upon what principle they were founded, whether upon fear, upon gratitude for favours received, or to folicit future favour. Human facrifices undoubtedly belong to the prefent head: for being calculated to deprecate the wrath of a malevolent deity, they could have no other motive but fear; and indeed they are a

most direful effect of that passion*. It is needless to lose time in mentioning instances, which are well known to those who are acquainted with ancient hillory. A number of them are collected in Hittorical Law-tracts (a): and to these I take the liberty of adding, that the Cimbrians, the Germans, the Gauls, particularly the Druids, practifed human facrifices; for which we have the authority of Julius Cafar, Strabo, and other authors. A people on the bank of the Missisppi, named Tenfas, worship the fun; and, like the Natches their neighbours, have a temple for that luminary, with a facred fire in it, continually burning. The temple having been fet on fire by thunder, was all in flames when fome French travellers faw them throw children into the fire, one after another, to appeale the incenfed deity. The Prophet Micah (b), in a pallage partly quoted above, inveighs bitterly against

^{*} The Abbé de Boiffy derives human facrifices from the history of Abraham preparing to facrifice his fon Ifaac, which, fays he, was imitated by others. A man who is fo unlucky at gueffing had better be filent.

⁽a) Tract 1.

⁽b) Chap. 6.

fuch facrifices: "Wherewith shall I come
"before the Lord, and bow myself be"fore the high God; shall I come before
"him with burnt-offerings, with calves
"of a year old? will the Lord be pleased
"with thousands of rams, or with ten
"thousands of rivers of oil? shall I give
"my first-born for my transgression, the
"fruit of my body for the sin of my soul?
"He hath shewed thee, O man, what is
"good: and what doth the Lord require
"of thee, but to do justly, to love mer"cy, and to walk humbly with thy
"God?"

The ancient Persians acknowledged Oromazes and Arimanes as their great deities, authors of good and ill to men. But I find not that Arimanes, the evil principle, was ever an object of any religious worship. The Gaures, who profess the ancient religion of Persia, address no worship but to one God, all-good and all-powerful.

Next, of worshipping the Deity in the character of a mercenary being. Under that head come sacrifices and oblations, whether prompted by gratitude for favours received, or by self-interest to pro-

cure future favours: which, for the reaion mentioned. I shall not attempt to diftinguish. As the deities of early times were thought to resemble men, it was a natural endeavour in men to conciliate their favour by fuch offerings as were the most relished by themselves. It is probable, that the first facrifices of that kind, were of fweet-finelling herbs, which in the fire emitted a flavour that might reach the nostrils of a deity, even at a distance. The burning incense to their gods, was practifed in Mexico and Peru: and at present is practifed in the peninfula of Corea. An opportunity so favourable for making religious zeal a fund of riches to the priesthood, is seldom neglected. There was no difficulty to perfuade ignorant people, that the gods could cat as well as finell: what was offered to a deity for food, being carried into the temple, was understood to be devoured by him.

With respect to the Jewish sacrifices of burnt-offerings, meat-offerings, sin-offerings, peace-offerings, heave-offerings, and wave-offerings, these were appointed by God himself, in order to keep that stiff-Vol. IV. Q q necked

necked people in daily remembrance of their dependence on him, and to preserve them if possible from idolatry. But that untractable race did not adhere to the purity of the institution: they insensibly degenerated into the notion that their God was a mercenary being; and in that character only, was the worship of facrifices performed to him. The offerings mentioned were liberally bestowed on him, not singly as a token of their dependence, but chiefly in order to avert his wrath, or to gain his favour *.

The religious notions of the Greeks were equally impure: they could not think of any means for conciliating the favour of their gods, more efficacious than gifts. Homer paints his gods as exceffively mercenary. In the fourth book of the Iliad, Jupiter fays, "Of these ci-" ties, honoured the most by the soul of

^{*} There is no mention in ancient authors of fish being offered to the gods in facrifice. The reason I take to be, that the most favoury food of man was reckoned the most agreeable to their gods; that savages never thought of fish till land-animals became scarce; and that the matter as well as form of facrifices were established in practice, long before men had recourse to fish for food.

4 Jove,

" Jove, is facred Troy. Never stands the " altar empty before me, oblations pour-" ed forth in my presence, savour that " afcends the skies." Speaking in the fifth book of a warrior, known afterward to be Diomedes, "Some god he is, some " power against the Trojans enraged for " vows unpaid: destructive is the wrath " of the gods." Diomedes prays to Minerva, "With thine arm ward from me "the foe: a year-old heifer, O Queen, " shall be thine, broad-fronted, unbro-" ken, and wild: her to thee I will offer " with prayer, gilding with gold her "horns." Precisely of the same kind. are the offerings made by superstitious Roman-Catholics to the Virgin Mary, and to faints. Electra, in the tragedy of that name, supplicates Apollo in the following terms:

Who, with unspiring hand, her choicest gifts Hath never fail'd to lay before thy altars; Accept the little All that now remains For me to give.

The people of Hindostan, as mentioned above, some for their sins by austere pe-Q q 2 nances;

nances; but they have no notion of prefenting gifts to the Deity, nor of deprecating his wrath by the fleth of animals. On the contrary, they reckon it a fin to flay any living creature; which reduces them to vegetable food. This is going too far; for the Deity could never mean to prohibit animal food, when originally man's chief dependence was upon it. The abstaining however from animal food, shows greater humanity in the religion of Hindostan, than of any other known country. The inhabitants of Madagascar are in a stage of religion, common among many nations, which is, the acknowledging one supreme benevolent deity, and many malevolent inferior deities. Most of their worship is indeed addressed to the latter; but they have so far advanced before several other nations, as to offer facrifices to the supreme Being, without employing either idols or temples.

Philosophy and sound sense in polished nations, have purified religious worship, by banishing the profession, at least, of oblations and facrifices. The Being that made the world, governs it by laws that are inflexible, because they

are the best; and to imagine that he can be moved by prayers, oblations, or facrifices, to vary his plan of government, is an impious thought, degrading the Deity to a level with ourselves: "Hear " O my people, and I will testify against " thee: I am God, even thy God. I will " take no bullock out of thy house, nor " he goat out of thy fold: for every beaft " of the forest is mine, and the cattle up-" on a thousand hills. Will I eat the " flesh of bulls, or drink the blood of " goats? Offer unto God thanksgiving, " and pay thy vows to the Molt High. " Call upon me in the day of trouble: I " will deliver thee, and thou shalt glorify " me (a)." " Thou defirest not facrifice, " else would I give it; thou delightest not "in burnt-offering. The facrifices of " God are a broken spirit: a broken and " a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not " defpise (b)." " For I defired mercy, " and not facrifice; and the knowledge " of God more than burnt-offerings (c)." In dark ages, there is great shew of reli-

⁽a) Pfalm cc.

⁽b) Pfalm 51.

⁽c) Hosea vi. 6.

gion, with little heart-worship: in ages of philosophy, warm heart-worship, with little shew *.

This

* Agathias urges a different reason against facrifices. " Ego nullam naturam esse existimo, cui " voluptati sint fœdata sanguine altaria, et animan-" tium lanienz. Quod fi qua tamen est cui ista sint " cordi, non ea mitis et benigna est aliqua, ted tera " ac rabida, qualem pavorem poetæ fingunt, et " Metum, et Bellonam, et Malam Fortunam, et " Discordiam, quam indomitam appellant." -[In English thus: " I cannot conceive, that there thould " exit a superior being, who takes delight in the " facrifice of animals, or in altars thained with " blood. If fuch there be, his nature is not beneee volent, but barbarous and cruel. Such indeed " were the gods whom the poets have created: " fuch were Fear and Terror, the goddess of War, " of Evil Fortune, and of Difcord "] - Arnobius batters down bloody facrifices with a very curious argument. " Ecce si bos aliquis, aut quodlibet ex " his animal, quod ad placandas cæditur mitigan-" dasque numinum furias, vocem hominis su-" mat, eloquaturque his verbis: Ergone, O Jupi-" ter, aut quis alius deus es, humanum est istud et " rectum, aut æquitatis alicujus in æstimatione po-" nendum, ut cum alius peccaverit, ego occidar, " et de meo fanguine fieri tibi patiaris fatis, qui " nunquam te læserim, nunquam sciens aut nesciens, " tuum numen majestatemque violarim, animal, ut " fcis, mutum, naturæ meæ simplicitatem sequens, " nec multiformium morum varietatibus lubri-" cum ?"

This is a proper place for the history of idolatry; which, as will anon appear, fprung from religious worship corrupted by men of shallow understanding and gross conceptions, upon whom things invisible make little impression.

Savages, even of the lowest class, have an impression of invisible powers, tho' they cannot form any distinct notion of them. But such impression is too faint for the exercise of devotion. Whether inspired with love to a good being, or impressed with sear of an ill being, savages are not at ease without some fort of visible object to fix their attention. A great stone served that purpose originally; a very low

"cum?"—[In English thus: "What if the ox, while he is led out to flaughter to appeale the fancied wrath of an offended deity, should assume the human voice, and in these words assonish his conductors: Are these, O merciful God, are these the dictates of humanity, or of justice, that for the crime of another I should torseit my life. I have never by my will offended thec, and, dumb as I am, and uninformed by reason, my actions, according to the simplicity of my nature, cannot have given thee displeasure, who hast made me as I am."]——If this argument were folid, it would be equally conclusive against animal food.

instrument

instrument indeed of religious worship: but not altogether whimucal, if it was introduced, which is highly probable, in the following manner. It was an early and a natural cultom among favages, to mark with a great stone, the place where their worthies were interred: of which we have hints every where in ancient history, particularly in the poems of Offian. " Place me," fays Calmar mortally wounded. "at the fide of a stone of remem-" brance, that future times may hear my " fame, and the mother of Calmar rejoice " over the stone of my renown." Superstition in later times having desfied thefe worthies, their votaries, rejoicing as formerly over the stones dedicated to them, held these stones to be essential in every act of religious worship performed to their new deities *. Tradition points out many flones

[•] Frequent mention is made of such stones in the poems of Ossan. "But remember, my fon, to "place this sword, this bow, and this horn, within "that dark and narrow house marked with one gray stone." p. 55. "Whose fame is in that "dark-green tomb? Four stones with their heads of of mois stand there, and mark the narrow house of death." p. 67. "Let thy bards mourn those "who

flones in different parts of the world, that were used in religious worship. The sun was worshipped at Emesa in Syria by the name of Elagabalus, and under the form of a black conical stone, which, as univerfally believed, had fallen from heaven on that facred place. A large stone worshipped by the Pessenuntians, a people of Phrygia, under the name of Idea mater, was, upon a folemn embaffy to that people, brought to Rome: it being contained in the Sybilline books, that unless the Romans got possession of that goddess, they never would prevail over Hannibal. And Paufanias mentions many stones in Greece, dedicated to different divinities; particu-

who fell. Let Erin give the sons of Lochlin to earth, and raise the mossy stones of their same; that the children of the north hereaster may be hold the place where their sathers sought." p. 78. Earth here incloses the loveliest pair on the hill: grass grows between the stones of the tomb." p. 208. In the same poems we find stones made instruments of worthip. The spirit of Loda is introduced threatening Fingal: "Fly to thy land, re-plied the form: receive the wind and sly. The blasts are in the hollow of my hand: the course of the storm is mine. The King of Sora is my fon: he bends at the stone of my power." p. 200.

Vol. IV. Rr

larly thirty square stones in Achaia, onwhich were engraved the names of as many gods. In another place, he mentions a very ancient statue of Venus in the island Delos, which, instead of feet, had only a fquare stone. This may appear a puzzling circumstance in the history of Greece, confidering that all the Grecian gods were originally mortals, whom it was easy to represent by statues: but in that early period, the Greeks knew no more of statuary than the most barbarous nations. It is perhaps not eafy to gather the meaning of favages, with respect to fuch stones: the most natural conjecture is, that a great stone, dedicated to the worship of a certain deity, was considered as belonging to him. This notion of property had a double effect: the worthippers, by connection of ideas, were led from the stone to the diety: and the stone tended to fix their wandering thoughts. It was probably imagined, over and above, that fome latent virtue communicated to the stone, made it holy or facred. Even among enlightened people, a fort of virtue or fanctity is conceived to refide in the place of worthip: why not also in a ffnne stone dedicated to a deity? The ancient Ethiopians, in their worship, introduced the figure of a ferpent as a symbol of the deity: two sticks laid cross represented Castor and Pollux, Roman divinities: a iavelin reprefented their god Mars; and in Tartary formerly, the god of war was worshipped under the symbol of an old rusty sabre. The ancient Persians used confecrated fire, as an emblem of the great God. Tho' the negroes of Congo and Angola have images without number, they are not however idolaters in any proper sense: their belief is, that these images are only organs by which the deities fignify their will to their votaries.

If the use that was made of stones and of other symbols in religious worship, be fairly represented, it may appear strange, that the ingenious Greeks sunk down into idolatry, at the very time they were making a rapid progress in the sine arts. Their improvements in statuary, one of these arts, was the cause. They began with attempting to carve heads of men and women, representing their deisied heroes; which were placed upon the stones dedicated to these heroes. In the progress

of the art, statues were executed complete in every member; and at last, statues of the gods were made, expressing such dignity and majesty, as insensibly to draw from beholders a degree of devotion to the statues themselves. Hear Quintilian upon that subject. " At quæ Polycleto " defuerunt, Phidiæ atque Alcameni dan-" tur. Phidias tamen diis quam homi-" nibus efficiendis melior artifex traditur: " in ebore vero, longe citra æmulum, vel " si nihil nisi Minervam Athenis aut O-" lympium in Elide Jovem fecisset, cujus " pulchritudo adjecisse aliquid etiam re-" ceptæ religioni videtur; adeo majestas " operis deum æquavit *." Here is laid a foundation for idolatry: let us trace its progrefs. Such statues as are represented by Quintilian, ferve greatly to enflame

devotion;

[&]quot;The deficiencies of Polycletus were made up in Phidias and Alcamenes. Phidias is reckoned to have had more skill in forming the statues of gods than of men. In works of ivory he was univalled, altho' there had been no other proofs of his excellence than the statue of Minerva at Athens, and the Jupiter Olympius in Elis. Its beauty seems to have added to the received religion; the majestic statue resembling so much the god himself."

devotion; and during a warm fit of the religious passion, the representation is lost. and the statue becomes a deity; precisely as where King Lear is represented by Garrick: the actor vanishes: and, behold! the King himfelf. This is not fingular. Anger occasions a metamorphosis still more extraordinary: if I happen to strike my gouty toe against a stone, the violence of the pain converts the stone for a moment into a voluntary agent; and I wreak my refentment on it, as if it really were fo. It is true, the image is only conceived to be a deity during the fervour of devotion; and when that subsides, the image falls back to its original representative state. But frequent instances of that kind, have at last the effect among illiterate people, to convert the image into a fort of permanent deity: what fuch people fee, makes a deep impression; what they fee not, very little. There is another thing that concurs with eye-fight, to promote this delusion: devotion, being a vigorous principle in the human breast, will exert itself upon the meanest object, when none more noble is in view.

The ancient Persians held the confe-

crated fire to be an emblem only of the great God: but fuch veneration was paid to that emblem, and with so great ceremony was it treated, that the vulgar came at last to worship it as a fort of deity. The priests of the Gaures watch the confecrated fire day and night: they keep it alive with the purest wood, without bark: they touch it not with sword nor knife: they blow it not with bellows, nor with the mouth: even the priest is prohibited to approach it, till his mouth be covered with fine linen, lest it be polluted with his breath: if it happen to go out, it must be rekindled by striking fire from flint, or by a burning glass.

The progress of idolatry will more clearly appear, from attending to the religion of the Greeks and Romans. The Greeks, as mentioned above, made use of stones in divine worship, long before idolatry was introduced: and we learn from Varro, that for a hundred and seventy years after Numa, the Romans had no statues nor images in their temples. After statues of the gods became fashionable, they acquired by degrees more and more respect. The Greek and Roman writers talk of di-

vine virtue being communicated to statues; and some Roman writers talk familiarly, of the numen of a deity reliding in his statue. Arnobius, in his book against the Gentiles, introduces a Gentile delivering the following opinion. "We do not " believe, that the metal which composes " a statue, whether gold, or filver, or " brass, is a god. But we believe, that a " folemn dedication brings down the god " to inhabit his image; and it is the god " only that we worship in that image." This explains the Roman ceremony, of inviting to their fide the tutelar deities of towns belieged by them, termed evocatio tutelarium deorum. The Romans, cruel as they were, overflowed with superstition; and as they were averse from combating the tutelar deities even of their enemies. they endeavoured to gain these deities by large promifes, and affurance of honourable treatment. As they could not hope that a statue would change its place, their notion must have been, that by this ceremony, the tutelar deity might be prevailed upon to withdraw its numen, and leave the statue a dead lump of matter. When Stilpo was banished by the Areopagus of Athens,

for affirming, that the statue in the temple of Minerva, was not the goddess, but a piece of matter carved by Phidias; he surely was not condemned for saying, that the statue was made by Phidias, a fact universally known: his heresy consisted in denying that the numen of Minerva resided in the statue. Augustus, having twice lost his sleet by storm, forbade Neptune to be carried in procession along with the other gods; imagining he had avenged himself of Neptune, by neglecting the favourite statue in which his numen resided.

When faints in the Christian church were deisied, even their images became objects of worship; from a fond imagination, that such worship draws down into the images the souls of the saints they represent; which is the same belief that Arnobius, in the passage above mentioned, ascribes to the Gentiles; and is not widely different from the belief of the Pagin Tartars and Ostiacs, by and by to be mentioned. In the eleventh century, there was a violent dispute about images in the Greek church; many afferting, that in the images of our Saviour and of the saints, there resides an inherent sanctity which is

a proper object of worship; and that Chriflians ought not to confine their worship to the persons represented, but ought also to extend it to their images.

As ignorant and favage nations can form no conception of Deity but of a being like a man, only superior in power and greatness; many images have been made of the Deity conformable to that conception. It is easy to make some refemblance of a man; but how is power and greatness to be represented? To perform this with fuccess, would require a Hogarth. Savages go more bluntly to work: they endeavour to represent a man with many heads, and with a still greater number of hands. The northern Tartars feem to have no deities but certain statues or images coarfely formed out of wood, and bearing some distant resemblance to the human figure. To palliate fo grofs an abfurdity as that a god can be fabricated by the hands of man, they imagine this image to be endued with a foul: to fay whence that foul came would puzzle the wifest of them. That foul is conceived to be too elevated for dwelling constantly in a piece of matter: they be-Vol. IV. Sf lieve

lieve that it resides in some more honourable place; and that it only visits the image or idol, when it is called down by prayers and supplications. They facrifice to this idol, by rubbing its mouth with the fat of fish, and by offering it the warm blood of some beast killed in hunting. The last step of the ceremony is, to honour the foul of the idol with a joyful shout, as a fort of convoy to it when it returns home. The Offiacs have a wooden idol, termed The Old Man of Oby, who is guardian of their fishery: it hath eyes of glass, and a head with short horns. When the ice dissolves, they crowd to this idol, requesting that he will be propitious to their fishery. If unsuccessful, he is loaded with reproaches: if successful, he is entitled to a share of the capture. They make a feast for him, rubbing his shout with choice fat: and when the entertainment is over, they accompany the foul of the idol a little way, beating the air with their cudgels. The Offiacs have another idol, that is fed with milk fo abundantly, as to come out on both fides of the spoon, and to fall down upon the vesture; which however is never washed, so little is cleanness thought essential to religion by that people. It is indeed strangely absurd, to think, that invisible souls require food like human creatures; and yet the same absurdity prevailed in Greece.

The ancient Germans, a fober and fenfible people, had no notion of representing their gods by statues, or of building temples to them. They worshipped in confectated groves (a). The Egyptians, from a just conception that an invisible being can have no resemblance to one that is vitible, employ'd hieroglyphical figures for denoting metaphorically the attributes of their gods; and they employ'd, not only the figures of birds and beafts, but of vegetables; leeks, for example, and This metaphorical adjunct to religion, innocent in itself, funk the Egyptians into the most groveling idolatry. hieroglyphical figures, composed frequently of heterogeneous parts, resemble not any being human or divine; the vulgar, lofing fight of the emblematic fignification understood by poets and philosophers only, took up with the plain figures as real

(a) Tacitus, De moribus Germanorum, cap. 9.

divinities. How otherwise can it be accounted for, that the ox, the ape, the onion, were in Fgypt worthipped as deities? Plutarch, it is true, in his chapter upon Isis and Osiris observes, that the Egyptians worthipped the bull, the cat, and other animals; not as divinities, but as reprefentatives of them, like an image feen in a glass; or, as he expresses it in another part of the same chapter, " just as we see " the resemblance of the fun in a drop of " water." But that this must be underflood of Philosophers only, will be probable from what is reported by Diodorus Siculus, that in a great famine, the Egyptians ventured not to touch the facred animals, tho' they were forc'd to devour one another. A fnake of a particular kind, about a yard long, and about the thickness of a man's arm, is worthipped by the Whidans in Guinea. It has a large round head, piercing eyes, a short pointed tongue, and a fmooth fkin, beautifully speckled. It has a strong antipathy to all the venomous kind; in other respects, innocent and tame. To kill these snakes being a capital crime, they travel about unmolested, even into bedchambers. They occafioned.

fioned. 1607, a ridiculous persecution. A hog, teafed by one of them, tore. ir with his tulks till it died. The priells carried their complaint to the king; and no one prefuming to appear as counfel for the hogs, orders were islued for flaughtering the whole race. At once were brandiffied a thousand cutlasses; and the race would have been extirpated, had not the king interpoled, reprefenting to the priefls, that they ought to rest satisfied with the innocent blood they had fpilt. Rancour and cruelty never rage more violently, than under the mask of religion.

It is amazing how prone even the most polished nations were to idolatry. A statue of Hercules was worshipped at Tyre, not as a representative of the Deity but as the Deity himfelf. And accordingly, when Tyre was belieged by Alexander, the Deity was fast bound in chains, to prevent him from deferting to the enemy. The city of Ambracia being taken by the Romans, and every statue of their gods being carried to Rome; the Ambracians complained bitterly, that not a fingle divinity was left them to worship. How much more rational are the Hindostan bramins.

bramins, who teach their districts, that idols are emblems only of the Deity, intended merely to fix the attention of the populace!

The first statues in Greece and Tuscany were made with wings, to fignify the fwift motion of the gods. These statues were fo clumfy, as fcarce to refemble human creatures, not to talk of a divinity. But the admirable statues executed in later times, were imagined to resemble most accurately the deities represented by them: whence the vulgar notion, that gods have wings, and that angels have wings.

I proceed to what in the history of idolatry may be reckoned the fecond part. Statues, we have feen, were at first used as reprefentatives only of the Deity; but came afterward to be metamorphofed in-The absurdity did not stop to Deities. there. People, not fatisfied with the vifible deities erected in temples for public worship, became fond to have private deities of their own, whom they worshipped as their tutelar deities; and this practice foread fo wide, that among many nations every family had household gods cut in wood or stone. Every family in Kamfkatka

skatka has a tutelar deity in the shape of a pillar, with the head of a man, which is supposed to guard the house against malevolent spirits. They give it food daily. and anoint the head with the fat of fish. The Prophet Isaiah (a) puts this species of deification in a most ridiculous light: "He " burneth part thereof in the fire: with " part thereof he roasteth flesh: of the re-" fidue he maketh a god, even his graven " image: he falleth down, worthipping, " and praying to it, and faith, Deliver " me, for thou art my god." Multiplication could not fail to fink householdgods into a degree of contempt: fome flight hope of good from them, might produce some cold ceremonial worship; but there could be no real devotion at heart. The Chinese manner of treating their household-gods, will vouch for me. When a Chinese does not obtain what he prays for, "Thou spiritual dog," he will fay, "I lodge thee well, thou art beauti-" fully gilded, treated with perfumes and "burnt-offerings; and yet thou with-" holdest from me the necessaries of life." Sometimes they fasten a cord to the idol,

and drag it through the dirt. The inhabitants of Ceylon treat their idols in the fame manner. Thor, Woden, and Frigat were the great deities of the Scandinavians. They had at the same time inferior deities, who were supposed to have been men translated into heaven for their good works. These they treated with very little ceremony, refuling to worthip them if they were not propitious; and even punishing them with banishment; but restoring them after a time, in hopes of amendment. Domestic idols are treated by the Offiacs with no greater reverence than by the people mentioned. But they have public idols, fome particularly of brafs, which are highly reverenced: the folidity of the metal is in their imagination connected with immortality; and great regard is paid to these idols, for the knowledge and experience they must have acquired in an endless course of time.

When by philotophy and improvement of the rational faculty, the Pagan religion in Rome was finking into contempt, little regard was had to tutelar derties, to auguries, or to prophecies. Ptolemy King of Egypt, being thrust out of his kingdom by a powerful faction, applied to the fenate of Rome to be restored. Lentulus proconful of Syria was ambitious to be employ'd; but he had enemies who made violent opposition. They brought religion into the quarrel, alledging a Sybilline oracle, prophefying that Ptolemy should be restored but not by an army. Cicero, in a letter still extant, gave Lentulus the following advice, that with his Syrian army he should invade Egypt, beat down all opposition, and when the country was quieted, that Ptolemy should be at hand to take possession. And this the great Cicero thought might be piously done without contradicting the oracle.

Saints, or tutelar deities, are fometimes not better treated among Roman Catholics, than among Pagans. "When we "were in Portugal," fays Captain Brydone, "the people of Castelbranco were fo enraged at St Antonio, for suffering the Spaniards to plunder their town, contrary, as they affirmed, to his express agreement with them, that they broke many of his statues to pieces; and one that had been more revered than the rest, they took the head off, Vol. IV. Tt "and

" and in its stead placed one of St Francis. " The great St Januarius himself was in " imminent danger, during the last fa-" mine at Naples. They loaded him with so abuse and invective; and declared point-" blank, that if he did not procure them " corn by fuch a time, he should be no " longer their faint " The tutelar faint of Cattania, at the foot of Mount Etna, is St Agatha. A torrent of lava burst over the walls, and laid waste great part of that beautiful city. Where was St Agatha at that time? The people fay, that they had given her just provocation; but that she has long ago been reconciled to them, and has promifed never to fuffer the lava to hurt them again. At the foot of Mount Etna, a statue of a faint is placed as a memorial, for having prevented the lava from running up the mountain of Taurominum, and destroying that town; the saint having conducted the lava down a low valley to the sea.

Let a traveller once deviate from the right-road, and there is no end of wandering. Porphyrius reports, that in Anubis, an Egyptian city, a real man was worshipped as a god; which is also as-

ferted

ferted by Minutius Fœlix, in his apology for the Christians. A thousand writers have faid, that the Tartars believe their high-priest, termed Dalai Lama, to be immortal Bur that is a mistake: his death is published through the whole country; and couriers intimate it even to the Emperor of China: his effigy is taken down from the portal of the great church, and that of his fuccessor is put in its stead. The fystem of the metempsychosis, adopted in that country, has occasion'd the mistake. They believe, that the holy spirit, which animates a Dalai Lama, passes upon his death into the body of his fuccessor. The spirit therefore is believed to be immortal, not the body. The Dalai Lama, however, is the object of profound veneration. The Tartar Princes are daily fending prefents to him, and confulting him as an oracle: they even undertake a pilgrimage in order to worthip him in perfon. In a retired part of the temple, he is shown covered with precious stones. and fitting cross-legged. They prostrate themselves before him at a distance, for they are not permitted to kiss his toe. The priefts make traffic even of his excrements, which are greedily purchased at a high price, and are kept in a golden box hanging from the neck, as a charm against every misfortune. Like the cross of Jesus, or the Virgin's milk, we may believe, there never will be wanting plenty of that precious stuff to answer all demands: the priests out of charity will furnish a quota, rather than suffer votaries to depart with their money for want of goods to purchase. The person of the Japan Pope, or Ecclefiaffical Emperor, is held fo facred, as to make the cutting his beard, or his nails a deadly fin. But abfurd laws are never steadily executed. beard and the nails are cut in the nighttime, when the Pope is supposed to be afleep; and what is taken away by that operation, is understood to be stolen from him, which is no impeachment upon his Holiness.

That the Jews were idolaters when they fojourned in the land of Gothen, were it not prefumable from their commerce with the Egyptians, would however be evident from the history of Moses. Notwithstanding their miraculous deliverance from the Egyptian king, notwithstanding the daily miracles

miracles wrought among them in the wilderness; so addicted were they to a visible deity, that, during even the momentary absence of Moses conversing with God on the mount, they fabricated a golden calf, and worshipped it as their god. " And the Lord faid unto Mofes, Go, get " thee down: for thy people which thou " broughtest out of the land of Egypt, " have corrupted themselves: they have " turned afide quickly out of the way " which I commanded them: they have " made them a molten calf, have wor-" fhipped it, have facrificed thereunto, " and faid, " These be thy gods, O Is-" rael, which have brought thee up out of " the land of Egypt (a)." The history of the Jews, shows how difficult it is to reclaim from idolatry a brutish nation, addicted to superstition, and fettered by inveterate habit. What profusion of blood, to bring that obstinate and perverse people to the true religion! all in vain. book of Judges, in particular, is full of reiterated relapses, from their own invisible God, to the visible gods of other na-

⁽a) Exod. xxxii. 7.

tions. And in all probability, their anxious defire for a visible king, related in the first book of Samuel, arose from their being deprived of a visible god. There was a necessity for prohibiting images (a); which would have soon been converted into deities visible: and it was extremely prudent, to supply the want of a visible god, with endless shews and ceremonies; which accordingly became the capital branch of the Jewish worship.

It appears to me from the whole history of the Jews, that a gross people are not susceptible but of a gross religion; and without an enlightened understanding, that it is vain to think of eradicating superstition and idolatry. And after all the covenants made with the Jews, after all the chastisements and all the miracles lavish'd on them, that they were not however reclaimed from the most groveling idolatry, is evident from the two golden calves fabricated by Jeroboam, saying, "Behold thy gods, O Israel, which "brought thee up out of the land of E-

⁽a) Deuteronomy, zvi. 22.

[&]quot; gypt

"gypt(a)." The people also of Judah fell back to idol-worship under Rehoboam, fon of Solomon (b). Jehu, king of the ten tribes, did not tolerate the worship of other gods (c): but he continued to worship the two golden calves fabricated by Jeroboam (d). Down to the days of King Hezekiah, the Jews worshipped the brazen serpent erected by Mofes in the wilderness. The lews seem indeed to have been a very perverse people: the many promifes and threatenings announced by their prophets, and the many miracles wrought among them, had no permanent effect to restrain them from idolatry; and yet, during their captivity in Babylon, feveral of them submitted to be burnt alive, rather than to join in idol-worship (e). Captivity cured them radically of idolatry; and from that period to this day, they have not been guilty of a fingle relapse. Xiphilin, in his abridgement of Dion Cassius, relating

their

⁽a) 1 Kings, xii. 28.

⁽b) 1 Kings, xiv. 26.

⁽c) 2 Kings, x. 25.

⁽d) 2 Kings, x. 29.

⁽⁻⁾ Daniel, chap. 3.

their war with Pompey many centuries after the Babylonish captivity, gives the following account of them. " customs are quite different from those " of other nations. Beside a peculiar " manner of living, they acknowledge " none of the common deities: they ac-" knowledge but one, whom they worship " with great veneration. There never " was an image in Jerusalem; because " they believe their God to be invisible " and ineffable. They have built him a " temple of great fize and beauty, re-" markable in the following particular, "that it is open above, without any " roof."

There lies no folid objection against images among an enlightened people, when used merely to rouse devotion; but as images tend to pervert the vulgar, they ought not to be admitted into churches. Pictures are less liable to be misapprehended; and the Ethiopians accordingly indulge pictures in their churches, tho' they prohibit statues. The general council of Frankfort permitted the use of images in churches; but strictly prohibited any worship to be addressed to them. So prone however

however to idolatry are the low and illiterate, that the prohibition lost ground both in France and in Germany; and idolworship became again general.

It is probable, that the fun and moon were early held to be deities, and that they were the first visible objects of worship. Of all the different kinds of idolatry, it is indeed the most excusable. Upon the fun depends health, vigour, and chearfulness: during his retirement, all is dark and difinal; when he performs his majestic round, to bless his subjects and to bestow fecundity, can a mere favage withhold gratitude and veneration! Hear an old Pagan bard upon that fubject. " thou who rollest above, round as the " fhield of my fathers! Whence are thy " beams, O fun, thy everlasting light? " Thou comest forth in thy awful beauty, " and the stars hide their face: thou " movest alone, for who can be a compa-" nion of thy course! The oaks of the " mountain fall: the mountains decay " with years: the ocean fhrinks and " grows again: the moon herfelf is loft " in heaven: but thou art for ever the " fame, rejoicing in the brightness of thy Vol. IV. U u " courfe

" course. When tempests darken the " world, when thunder rolls, and light-" ning flies, thou lookest in thy beauty " from the clouds, and laughest at the " ftorm(a)." Worthip to the fun as a real deity, was in former times universal; and prevails in many countries even at prefent. The American favages worthip the fun as fovereign of the universe, known by the name of Ariskoui among the Hurons, and of Agriskoué among the Iroquois. They offer him tobacco, which they term finoking the fun: the chief man in the affembly lights the calumet, and offers it thrice to the rifing fun; imploring his protection, and recommending the tribe to his care. The chief proceeds to fmoke; and every one fmokes in his turn. This ceremony is performed on important occasions only: less are referved for their Maniton. The Miffilippi people offer to the fun the first of what they take in hunting; which their commander artfully converts to his own use. The Apalachites, bordering on Florida, worthip the fun; but facrifice nothing to

him that has life: they hold him to be the parent of life, and think that he can take no pleafure in the destruction of any living creature: their devotion is exerted in perfumes and songs. The Mexicans, while a free people, presented to the sun a share of their meat and drink. The inhabitants of Darien, believe in the sun as their god, and in the moon as his wife, paying them equal adoration. The people of Borneo worship the sun and moon as real divinities. The Samoides worship both, bowing to them morning and evening in the Persian manner.

But if the fun and moon were the first objects of idolatry, knowledge and reflection reformed many from the error of holding these luminaries to be deities. "That original intelligence," say the Magians, "who is the first principle of all "things, discovers himself to the mind and understanding only: but he hath placed the sun as his image in the visible universe; and the beams of that bright luminary, are but a faint copy of the glory that shines in the higher heavens." The Persians, as Herodotus reports, had neither temples, nor altars,

nor images: for, fays that author, they do not think, like the Greeks, that there is any refemblance between gods and men. The Gaures, who to this day profess the ancient religion of Persia, celebrate divine worship before the facred fire, and turn with peculiar veneration toward the rifing fun, as the representative of God; but they adore neither the fun, nor the facred fire. They are professed enemies to every image of the Deity cut with hands: and hence the havock made by the ancient Persians, upon the statues and temples of the Grecian gods. Such fublimity of thought was above the reach of other uninspired nations, excepting only the Hindows and Chinese.

I close the history of idolatry with a brief recapitulation of the outlines. Admitting the fun and moon to have been the first objects of idolatry, yet as Polytheisin was once universal, they make only two of the many gods that were every where worshipped. We have seen, that the sacred fire was employ'd in the worship of the fun, and that images were employ'd in the worship of other deities. Images were originally used for the sole purpose of animating devotion: such was their use in

Persia

Persia and Hindostan; and such was their use in every country among philosophers. The Emperor Julian, in an epiftle to Theodore concerning the images of the gods, fays, "We believe not that these images " are gods: we only use them in wor-" fhipping the gods." In the progress toward idolatry, the next step is, to imagine, that a deity loves his image, that he makes it his residence, or at least communicates fome virtue to it. The last step is, to fancy the image itself to be a deity; which gained ground imperceptibly as statuary advanced toward perfection. It would be incredible that men of fense should ever suffer themselves to be impressed with so wild a delusion, were it not the overbearing influence of religious fuperstition. Credo quia impossibile est, is applicable to idolatry as well as to tranfubstantiation. The worshipping of the fun and moon as deities, is idolatry in the flricest sense. With respect to images, the first step of the progress is not idolatry: the next is mixed idolatry: and the last is rank idolatry.

So much upon idolatry. I proceed to what approaches the nearest to it, which is worship addressed to deisted mortals. The ancient

ancient gods were exalted fo little above men, that it was no hard task for the imagination to place in heaven, men who had made a figure on earth. The Grecian heaven was entirely peopled with fuch men, as well as that of many other nations. Men are deified every day by the Romish church, under the denomination of faints: persons are frequently selected for that honour who fcarce deferved a place on earth, and fome who never had a place there. The Roman Catholics copy the Pagans, in worshipping these faints in quality of tutelar deities. One branch of the office bestow'd on them, is to explain the wants of their votaries to the King of heaven, and to supplicate for them. The mediatorial office prevails with respect to earthly potentates, as well as heavenly: being flruck with awe and timidity in approaching those exalted above us, we naturally take hold of some intermediate person to solicit with us. In approaching the Almighty, the mind, finking down into humility and profound veneration, stops short, relying upon some friend in heaven to intercede in its behalf. Temples among the Cochin-Chinese are constructed with a deep and dark niche, which

which is their fanctum fanctorum. They hold, that no representation, whether by painting or sculpture, can be made of God, who is invisible. The niche denotes his incomprehensibility; and the good men placed by them in heaven, are believed to be their intercessors at the throne of grace. The prayers of the Chingulese are seldom directed to the supreme being, but to his vicegerents. Intercessors, at the same time, contribute to the ease of their votaries: a Roman Catholic need not assume a very high tone, in addressing a tutelar saint chosen by himself.

False notions of Providence have prompted groveling mortals to put confidence in mediators and intercessors of a still lower class, namely, living mortals, who by idle austerities have acquired a reputation for holiness. Take the following instance, the strongest of the kind that can be figured. Louis XI. of France, sensible of the approach of death, sent for a hermit of Calabria, named Francisco Martarillo; and throwing himself at the hermit's feet in a flood of tears, entreated him to intercede with God, that his life might be prolonged; as if the voice of a Calabrian friar,

fays Voltaire, could alter the course of Providence, by preserving a weak and perverse soul in a worn-out body.

Having discussed the persons that are the objects of worship, the next step in order is, to take under view the forms and ceremonies employ'd in religious worship. Forms and ceremonies illustrate a prince in his own court: they are neceffary in a court of law for expediting business; and they promote feriousness and folemnity in religious worship. At the fame time, in every one of these a just medium ought to be preserved between too many and too few. With refpect to religious worship in particular, fuperfluity of ceremonies quenches devotion, by occupying the mind too much upon externals. The Roman - Catholic worship is crowded with ceremonies: it resembles the Italian opera, which is all found, and no fentiment. The prefbyterian form of worship is too naked: it is proper for philosophers more than for the populace. This is fundamentally the caufe of the numerous fecessions from the church of Scotland that have made a figure of late: people dislike the established forms,

when

when they find less animation in public worship than is defired; and without being sensible of the real cause, they chuse pastors for themselves, who supply the want of ceremonies by loud speaking, with much external fervor and devotion *.

The

* External show figures greatly in dark times, when nothing makes an impression but what is vifible. A German traveller (Hentzner) talking of Queen Elifabeth, thus defcribes the folemnity of her dinner. "While the was at prayers, we faw her " table fet out in the following folemn manner. " A gentleman entered the room bearing a rod, and " along with him another who had a table-cloth, " which, after they had both kneeled three times " with the utmost veneration, he spread upon the " table, and after kneeling again, they both reti-" red. Then came two others, one with the rod " again, the other with a falt-cellar, a plate and " bread; when they had kneeled, as the others " had done, and placed what was brought upon " the table, they too retired with the fame core-" monies performed by the first. At last came an " unmarried lady, (we were told the was a Countefs), " and along with her a married one, bearing a ta-" fting knife; the former was dreffed in white filk; " who when she had profitated herself three times, " in the most graceful manner, approached the " table, and rubbed the plates with bread and falt, " with as much awe as if the Queen had been pre-" fent: when they had waited there a little while, " the yeomen of the guard entered, bareheaded, Vol. IV. Xх " cloathed

The frequent ablutions or washings among the Mahometans and others, as acts of devotion, show the influence that the

" cloathed in fearlet, with a golden rose upon their " backs, bringing in at each turn a course of " twenty-four diffies, ferved in plate most of it gilt; " these dishes were received by a gentleman in the " fame order they were brought, and placed upon " the table, while the lady-tafter gave to each of the " guard a mouthful to eat, of the particular dish " he had brought, for fear of any poison. During " the time that this guard, which confifts of the " tallest and stoutest men that can be found in all " England, were bringing dinner, twelve trumpets " and two kettle-drums made the hall ring for half " an hour together. At the end of this ceremonial, " a number of unmarried ladies appeared, who, " with particular folemnity, lifted the meat off the " table, and conveyed it into the Queen's inner and " more private chamber, where, after she had " chosen for herself, the rest goes to the ladies of " the court." Forms were greatly regarded among the old Romans, dreffes appropriated to different ranks; lictors, axes, bundles of rods, and other enfigns of power; military merit rewarded with triumphs, ovations, crowns of gold, of leaves, &c. &c. Such appearances strike the multitude with respect and awe: they are indeed despised by men of plain sense; but they regain their credit with philosophers. Excessive courage, the exertion of which is visible, was the heroism of the last age: " I shall " never esteem a king," faid the great Gustavus Adolphus, the flightest resemblances have on the ignorant. Because purification, in several languages, is a term applicable to the mind as well as to the body, shallow thinkers, missed by the double meaning, imagine that the mind, like the body, is purified by water.

The fect of Ali use the Alcoran translated into the Persian language, which is their native tongue. The sect of Omar esseem this to be a gross impiety; being persuaded, that the Alcoran was written in Arabic, by the Angel Gabriel, at the command of God himself. The Roman Catholics are not then the only people who profess to speak nonsense to God Almighty; or, which is the same, who profess to pray in an unknown tongue.

At meals, the ancients poured out fome

dolphus, "who in battle does not expose himself "like a private man." By acuteness of judgement and refinement of taste, we cling to the substance and disregard forms and ceremonies. External show, however, continues to prevail in many instances. A young man is apt to be captivated with beauty or dress: a young woman, with equipage or a title. And hence, many an ill-sorted match.

X x 2 wine

wine as a libation to the gods: Christians pronounce a short prayer, termed a grace.

The gross notion of Deity entertained by the ancients, is exemplified in their worthipping and facrificing on high places: in order, as they thought, to be more within fight. Jupiter in Homer praifes Hector for facrificing to him frequently upon the top of Ida; and Strabo observes, that the Persians, who used neither images nor altars, facrificed to the gods in high places. Balak carried Balaam the prophet to the top of Pifgah and other mountains, to facrifice there, and to curse Israel. The votaries of Baal always worshipped in high places. Even the fage Tacitus was infected with that abfurdity. Speaking of certain high mountains where the gods were worthipped, he expresses himself thus: Maxime calo appropinquare, precesque mortalium a Deo nufquam propius audiri *.

Ceremonies that tend to unhinge morality, belong more properly to the following fection, treating of the connection between religion and morality.

^{* &}quot; As approaching nearer to heaven, the prayers of mortals are there more diffinely heard."

It is now full time to take under confideration an objection to the fense of Deity. hinted above, arguing from the gross conceptions of deity among many nations, that this sense cannot be innate. The objection is not indeed directly stated in the following passage, borrowed from a justlycelebrated author; but as it perhaps may be implied, the passage shall be fairly transcribed. "The universal propensity " to believe invisible intelligent power, " being a general attendant on human " nature, if not an original instinct, may " be confidered as a kind of flamp which " the Deity has fet upon his work; and " nothing furely can more dignify man-" kind, than to be the only earthly being " who bears the stamp or image of the " univerfal Creator. But confult this " image as it commonly is in popular re-" ligions: How is the Deity distigured! " what caprice, abfurdity, and immora-" lity, are attributed to him (a)!" A fatisfactory answer to the objection implied in this paffage, will occur, upon recollecting the progress of men and nations from infancy to maturity. Our external

⁽⁴⁾ Natural History of Religion.

fenses, necessary for self-preservation, soon arrive at perfection: the more refined fenses of propriety, of right and wrong, of Deity, of being accountable creatures, and many others of the fame kind, are of flower growth: the tenfe of right and wrong in particular and the fense of Deity, feldom reach perfection but by good education and much study. If fuch be the cafe among enlightened nations, what is to be expected from favages who are in the lowest stage of understanding? To a favage of New Holland, whose sense of deity is extremely obscure, one may talk without end of a being who created the world, and who governs it by wife laws: but in vain, for the favage will be never the wifer. The fame favage hath also a glimmering of the moral fense, as all men have; and yet in vain will you discourse to him of approbation and disapprobation, of merit and demerit: of these terms he has no clear conception. Hence the endless aberrations of rude and barbarous nations, from pure religion as well as from pure morality. Of the latter, there are many instances collected in the preceding tract; and of the former, still more in the present present tract. The sense of deity in dark times has indeed been strangely distorted, by certain biasses and passions that enslave the rude and illiterate: but these yield gradually to the rational faculty as it ripens, and at last leave religion free to sound philosophy. Then it is, that men, listening to the innate sense of deity purified from every bias, acquire a clear conviction of one supreme Deity who made and governs the world.

The foregoing objection then weighs not against the sense of deity more than against the moral sense. If it have weight, it resolves into a complaint against Providence for the weakness of the sense of deity in rude and illiterate nations. fuch complaint be folidly founded, it pierces extremely deep: why have not all nations, even in their nascent state, the fense of deity and the moral sense in purity and perfection? why do they not posfess all the arts of life without necessity of culture or experience? why are we born poor and helpless infants, instead of being produced complete in every member, internal and external, as Adam and Eve were? The plan of Providence is far above

bove the reach of our weak criticisms: ir is but a fmall portion that is laid open to our view; can we pretend to judge of the whole? I venture only to fuggest, that as, with respect to individuals, there is a progrefs from infancy to maturity; fo there is a fimilar progress in every nation, from its favage state to its maturity in arts and fciences. A child that has just conceptions of the Deity and of his attributes. would be a great miracle; and would not fuch knowledge in a favage be equally fo? Nor can I discover what benefit a child or a favage could reap from fuch knowledge: provided it remained a child or a favage in every other respect. The genuine fruits of religion, are gratitude to the Author of our being, veneration to him as the fupreme being, absolute refignation to the established laws of his providence, and chearful performance of every duty: but a child has not the flightest idea of gratitude nor of veneration, and very little of moral duties; and a favage, with respect to these, is not much superior to a child. The formation and government of the world, as far as we know, are excellent: we have great reason to presume the same with

with respect to what we do not know; and every good man will rest satisfied with. the following reflection, That we should have been men from the hour of our birth, complete in every part, had it been conformable to the fystem of unerring Providence.

SECT. Vol. IV. Yу

S E C T. II.

Morality confidered as a branch of duty to our Maker.

Having travelled long on a rough road, not a little fatiguing, the agreeable part lies before us; which is, to treat of morality as a branch of religion. It was that fubject which induced me to undertake the history of natural religion; a fubject that will afford falutary instruction; and will inspire true piety, if instruction can produce that effect.

Bayle states a question, Whether a people may not be happy in society and be qualified for good government, upon principles of morality singly, without any sense of religion. The question is ingenious, and may give opportunity for subtile reasoning; but it is useless, because the fact supposed cannot happen. The principles of morality and of religion are equally rooted in our nature: they are indeed weak

in children and in favages; but they grow up together, and advance toward matu-. rity with equal steps. Where the moral sense is entire, there must be a sense of religion; and if a man who has no fente of religion live decently in fociety, he is more indebted for his conduct to good temper than to found morals.

We have the authority of the Prophet Micah, formerly quoted, for holding, that religion, or, in other words, our duty to God, confifts in doing justice, in loving mercy, and in walking humbly with him. The last is the foundation of religious worthip, discussed in the foregoing section: the two former belong to the prefent fection. And if we have gratitude to our Maker and Benefactor, if we owe implicit obedience to his will as our rightful fovereign, we ought not to feparate the worship we owe to him, from justice and benevolence to our fellow-creatures; for to be unjust to them, to be cruel or hard-hearted, is a transgression of his will, no less gross than a total neglect of religious worship. "Ma-" fler, which is the great commandment " in the law? Jefus faid unto him, Thou " fhalt love the Lord thy God with all thy " heart, Y y 2

" heart, with all thy foul, and with all thy " mind. This is the first and great com-" mandment. And the fecond is like unto " it. Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thy-" felf. On these two commandments hang " all the law and the prophets (a)." "Then " fhall the King fay unto them on his right " hand, Come, ye bleffed of my Father, " inherit the kingdom prepared for you. " For I was hungry, and ye gave me " meat: I was thirsty, and ye gave me " drink: I was a stranger, and ye took " me in: naked, and ye cloathed me: " fick, and ye visited me: in prison, and " ve came unto me. Then shall the " righteous answer, faying, Lord, when " faw we thee hungry, and fed thee? or " thirsty, and gave thee drink? When " faw we thee a stranger, and took thee " in? or naked, and cloathed thee? When " faw we thee fick, or in prison, and " came unto thee? And the King shall " answer, Verily I say unto you, in as " much as ye have done it unto one of " the least of these my brethren, ye have " done it unto me (b)." " Pure religion

" and

⁽a) Matthew, xxii. 36.

⁽⁴⁾ Matthew, xxv. 34.

" and undefiled before God, is this, To " visit the fatherless and widow in their " affliction: and to keep himfelf unspot-"ted from the world (a)." "Hostias et " victimas Domino offeram quas in ufum " mei protulit, ut rejiciam ei fuum mu-" nus? Ingratum est; cum sit litabilis " hostia bonus animus, et pura mens, et " fincera conscientia. Igitur qui inno-" centiam colit, Domino supplicat; qui " justitiam, Deo libat; qui fraudibus ab-" stinet, propitiat Deum; qui hominem " periculo subripit, optimam viclimam " cædit. Hæc nostra facrificia, hæc Dei " facra funt. Sic apud nos religiofior est " ille, qui justior ' (b)." The laws of

* "Shall I offer to God for a facrifice those creatures which his bounty has given me for my use? It were ingratitude to throw back the gift upon the giver. The most acceptable facrifice is an upright mind, an untainted confesence, and an honeit heart. The actions of the innocent affected to God in prayer; the observance of justice is more grateful than incense; the man who is fineere in his dealings, secures the favour of his Creator; and the delivery of a fellow-creature from danger or destruction, is dearer in the eyes of the Almighty than the facilities of blood."

⁽a) James, i. 27.

⁽b) Minucius Falix.

Zaleucus, lawgiver to the Locrians, who lived before the days of Pythagoras, are introduced with the following preamble. " No man can question the existence of " Deity who observes the order and har-" mony of the universe, which cannot be " the production of chance. Men ought " to bridle their passions, and to guard a-" gainst every vice God is pleated with " no facrifice but a fincere heart; and dif-" fers widely from mortals, whose de-" light is fplendid ceremonies and rich " offerings. Let justice therefore be stu-" died; for by that only can a man be " acceptable to the Deity. Let those who " are tempted to do ill, have always be-" fore their eyes the fevere judgements of " the gods against wicked men. Let them " always keep in view the hour of death, " that fatal hour which is attended with " bitter remorfe for transgressing the rules " of justice. If a bad disposition incline " you to vice, pray to Heaven at the foot " of the altar, to mend your heart."

Morality is thus included in religion. Some nations, however, leave not this propolition to reasoning or conviction, but ingross many moral duties in their re-

ligious

ligious creed. In the 67th chapter of the Sadder, a lie is declared to be a great fin, and is forbid even where it tends to bring about good. So much purer is the morality of the ancient Persians than of the present Jesuits. The religion of the people of Pegu, inculcates charity, forbids to kill, to steal, or to injure others. Attend to the consequence: that people, fierce originally, have become humane and compassionate. In a facred book of the ancient Perlians, it is written, " If you " incline to be a faint, give good educa-" tion to your children; for their virtuous " actions will be imputed to you." The people of Japan pay great respect to their parents; it being an article in their creed. That those who fail in duty to their parents, will be punished by the gods. In these two instances, religion tends greatly to connect parents and children in the most intimate tie of cordial affection. The reverence the Chinese have for their ancestors and the ceremonies performed annually at their tombs, tend to keep them at home. and prevent their wandering into foreign countries.

Ancient Persia was fertile and populous:

at prefent it is barren and thin of inhabitants. Sir John Chardin accounts for the difference. The climate of Persia is so dry, that scarce a shower falls during summer: even grass will not grow without being watered. This defect of climate was remedied by the ancient inhabitants, termed Gaures; among whom it was a religious act, to cultivate waste land and to plant trees for fruit. It was a maxim in the facred book of that religion, That he who cultivates the ground with care and diligence, acquires a greater flock of religious merit, than can be acquired by ten thoufand prayers. The religion, on the contrary, of the present Mahometan inhabitants, leads them to take no care for to-morrow: they grasp at present enjoyment, and leave all the rest to fate.

Superfitious rites in fome religions, are fuccessfully employ'd to enforce certain moral duties. The Romans commonly made their folemn covenants in the capitol, before the statue of Jupiter; by which solemnity he was understood to guarantee the covenant, ready to pour out vengeance upon the transgressor. When an oath enters into any engagement, the Burates.

Burates, a people in Grand Tartary, require it to be given upon a mountain, held to be facred: they are firmly perfuaded, that the person who swears a falsehood, will not come down alive. The Effenes, a lewith feel, bound theinfelves by a folemn oath, to shun unlawful gain, to be faithful to their promifes, not to lie, and never to harm any one. In Cochin-China, the fouls of those who have been eminent for arts or arms, are worthipped, statues are placed in the temples; and the fize of a flatue is proportioned to the merit of the person represented. If that be impartially executed, there cannot be a nobler incitement to public spirit. The Egyptians did not reach the thought of honouring virtue after death; but they difhonoured vice, by excluding it from the Elyfian fields.

The falutary influence of religion on morality, is not confined to pure religion, whether by its connection with morality in general, or by inculcating particular moral duties. There are many religious doctrines, doubtful or perhaps erroneous, that contribute also to enforce morality. Some followers of Confucius ascribe im-Vol. IV. Z z mortality

mortality to the fouls of the just only; and believe that the fouls of the wicked perish with their bodies. The native Hindows are gentle and humane: the metempfychofis or transmigration of souls, is an article in their creed; and hence the prohibition to deftroy any living creature, because it might disturb the foul of an ancestor. the fecond chapter of the Sadder, it is written, that a man whose good works are more numerous than his fins, will go to paradife: otherwise that he will be thrust into hell, there to remain for ever. adds, that a bridge erected over the great abyss where hell is situated, leads from this earth to paradife; that upon the bridge there stands an angel, who weighs in a balance the merits of the pallengers; that the paffenger whose good works are found light in the balance, is thrown over the bridge into hell; but that the paffenger whose good works preponderate, proceeds in his journey to paradife, where there is a glorious city, gardens, rivers, and beautiful virgins, whose looks are a perpetual feast, but who must not be enjoy'd. the fourth chapter of the Sadder, good works are zealoufly recommended in the following

following parable. Zeradusht, or Zoroafter, being in company with God, faw a man in hell who wanted his right foot. " Oh my Creator," faid Zoroafter, " who " is that man who wants the right foot? " God answered, He was the king of thir-" ty-three cities, reigned many years, but " never did any good, except once, when, " feeing a theep ty'd where it could not " reach its food, he with his right foot " pushed the food to it; upon which ac-" count that foot was faved from hell." In Japan, those of the Sinto religion believe, that the fouls of good men are translated to a place of happiness, next to the habitation of their gods. But they admit no place of torment; nor have they any notion of a devil, but what animates the fox, a very mischievous animal in that country. What then becomes of the fouls of ill men? Being denied entrance into heaven, they wander about to expiate their fins. Those of the Bubsilo religion believe. that in the other world, there is a place of mifery as well as of happiness. Of the latter there are different degrees, for different degrees of virtue; and yet, far from envying the happier lot of others, every inhabitant \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}

inhabitant is perfectly fatisfied with his own. There are also different degrees of mifery; for juffice requires, that every man be punished according to the nature and number of his fins. Jenma O is the fevere judge of the wicked: their vices appear to him in all their horror, by means of a mirror, named the mirror of knowledge. When fouls have expiated their fins, after fuffering long in the prison of darkness, they are fent back into the world, to animate ferpents, toads, and fuch vile animals as refembled them in their former existence. From these they pais into the bodies of more innocent animils; and at latt are again fuffered to enter human bodies; after the diffolution of which, they run the fame course of happinets or mifery as at first. The people of Benin, in Africa, believe a man's shadow to be a real being, that gives teftimony after death for or against him; and that he accordingly is made happy or miferable in another world. The Negroes hold that their own country is delicious above all others; and it is the belief of feveral of their tribes, that where-ever they die, they will return to their own country.

This is a perpetual fource of comfort, and infpires them with humanity above the other tribes. A religious belief in ancient Greece, that the fouls of those who are left above ground without rites, have not access to Elysium, tended to promote humanity; for those who are careful of the dead, will not be altogether indifferent about the living.

Immense are the bleffings that proceed from the union of pure religion with found morality: but however immense, I boldly affirm, that they fcarce counterbalance the manifold evils that proceed from impure religion, indulging and even encouraging groß immoralities. A few glaring inflances shall be selected. The first I shall mention is, the holding religion to confift in the belief of points purely fpeculative, fuch as have no relation to good works. The natural effect of that doctrine is, to divorce religion from morality, in manifest contradiction to the will of God. What avails it, for example, to the glory of God or to the happiness of men, whether the conception of the Virgin Mary was maculate or immaculate? The following few instances, selected from a great number.

number, are controversies of that kind. which for ages miferably afflicted the Christian church, and engendered the bitterest enmity, productive of destruction and flaughter among brethren of the same religion. In the fifth century, it was the employment of more than one general council, to determine, whether the mother of God, or the mother of Christ, is the proper epithet of the Virgin Mary. In the fixth century, a bitter controverfy arofe whether Chriff's body was corruptible. In the feventh century, Christians were divided about the volition of Christ, whether he had one or two Wills, and how his Will operated. In the eighth and ninth centuries, the Greek and Latin churches divided about the Holy Ghost, whether he proceeded from the Father and Son, or only from the Father. In the eleventh century, there arose a warm contest between the Greek and Latin churches about using unleavened bread in the eucharist. In the fourteenth century, it was controverted between Pope John XXII, and the divines of his time, whether fouls in their intermediate state see God, or only the human nature of Christ. Franciscans have fuffered death in multitudes about the form

form of their hood. It was disputed between the Dominicans and Franciscans. whether Christ had any property. The Pope pronounced the negative proposition to be a pestilential and blasphemous doctrine, subversive of Catholic faith, councils were held at Constantinople, to determine what fort of light it was that the disciples saw on Mount Tabor: it was folemnly pronounced, to be the cternal light with which God is encircled; and which may be termed his energy or operation, but is diffinct from his nature and effence. A heap of propositions in the creed of St Athanasius, as far as intelligible, are merely speculative, such as may be adopted or rejected, without the least danger to religion, or to morality; and yet we are commanded to believe every one of them, under the pain of eternal damnation. An endless number of such propositions, adopted by the Romish church, clearly evince, that Christianity was in that church held to confift entirely in belief, without any regard to good works *. Whether the Alcoran be eternal.

or

[•] The great weight that was laid upon orthodoxy, appears from a triumphal arch erected over the tomb

or whether it were created, is a dispute that has occasioned much effusion of Mahometan blood. The Calif Mamoun, with many doctors, held it to have been created; but the greater number infifted, that being the word of God, it must like him be eternal. This opinion is embraced by the prefent Mahometans, who hold all who deny it to be infidels. One great maxim of the Brahmines contained in their ancient books, is, that it is better to fit than to walk, better to lie than to fit, better to fleep than to wake, better to die than to live. This is directly subversive of industry, and consequently of morality. There is among men great uniformity of opinion in matters of importance. Religious differences are generally about trifles. where liberty ought to be indulged without referve (a); and yet upon these trifles are founded the bitterest enmities. ought therefore to be a fundamental law in every church, to abitain from loading

tomb of Charlemagne, upon which was the following infeription: "Here lies the body of Charles, a great and orthodox emperor." And yet that orthodox Emperor could not write his name.

⁽a) Elements of Criticism, vol. 2. p. 493. edit. 5.

its creed with articles that are not essential: for fuch articles tend to eradicate brotherly love, and to convert into bitter enemies, men who are fundamentally of the fame faith. This leads me naturally to fay a few words on religion as a branch of education, of all the most important branch. Avoiding all the points disputed among the different fects of Christians, and leaving mysteries to the future fagacity of your children if they shall be inclined to pry into them, let them know that there is a God over all who loves the good, and is an enemy to evil-doers; that this great Being, tho' invisible to us, is witness to all our words and actions, and that even our fecret thoughts are not hid from him. Take every opportunity to inculcate this great truth, till it make so deep an impresfion as to be the great regulator of their conduct. With respect to every intended action, train them up into the habit of enquiring first how it will appear in the fight of their Maker at the great day of judgement. This is true religion, the main support of virtue. It is all that is requifite in point of education; leaving to those who have penetration and leifure to form a more complete fystem.

VOL. IV.

In the next place shall be mentioned, certain articles of faith that tend to fap the very foundation of one or other moral duty. What, for example, can more effectually promote cruelty, than the creed of the Idaans, a people in the island of Borneo, That every person they put to death must attend them as a slave in the other world? This belief makes them prone to war, and occasions affassinations without end. According to the creed of the favages in Canada, the killing and burning enemies are what chiefly entitle them to be happy in another world; and that he who destroys the greatest number, will be the most happy. At the fame time, they have no notion of greater happiness there, than plenty of game, great abundance of all things without labour, and full gratification of every fenfual appetite. The Scandinavians had no notion of greater blifs in another world, than to drink beer out of the skull of an enemy, in the hall of Woden their tutelar deity: can hatred and revenge indulged in this world be more honourably rewarded? The doctrine of tutclar deities is equally productive of hatred tred and revenge: relying on a superior power who espouses all my quarrels, I put no bounds to my refentment, and every moral duty in opposition is trampled un-The following creed of the der foot. inhabitants of the Marian or Ladrone islands, is a great encouragement to cowardice. Heaven, according to that creed, is a region under the earth, filled with cocoa-trees, fugar-canes, and variety of other delicious fruits. Hell is a vast furnace, constantly red hot. Their condition in the other world depends not on good or bad actions, but on the manner of Those who die a natural their death. death, go straight to heaven: they may fin freely, if they can but fecure their perfons against violence. But war and bloodthed are their avertion, because those who fuffer a violent death go straight to In many ancient nations, a goddess was worshipped, whose province it was to promote animal love without regard to matrimony. That goddess was in Greece termed Aphrodité, in Rome Venus, and in Babylon Mylitta. To her was facrificed, in some countries, the virginity of young women; which, it was believed, did se-3 A 2 cure

cure their chastity for ever after. Justin mentions a custom in the island of Cyprus, of fending young women at stated times to the fea-shore; where they prostituted themselves as a tribute to Venus, that they might be chaste the rest of their lives. His words are, "Pro reliqua pu-" dicitiæ libamenta Veneri foluturas (a)." In other nations, a finall number only were profituted, in order to fecure to the remainder, a chafte and regular life. This explains a custom among the Babylonians, which, far from being thought a religious act, is held as a proof of abandoned debauchery. The custom was, That every woman once in her life should prostitute herfelf in the temple of the goddess Mylitta. Herodotus reports, that thereby they became proof against all temptation. And Ælian observes the same of the Lydian ladies. Credat Judeus Apella. Margaret Poretta, who in the fourteenth century made a figure among the Beguines, preached a doctrine not a little favourable to incontinence. She undertook to demonstrate, "That the foul, when absorb-" ed in the love of God, is free from the

⁽a) Lib. 18. cap. 5.

[&]quot; restraint

" restraint of law, and may freely grati-" fy every natural appetite, without con-" tracking guilt;" a cordial doctrine for a lady of pleafure. That crazy person, instead of being laugh'd at, was burnt alive at Paris. In the fifteenth century, a fect termed brethren and fifters of the free spirit, held, That modesty is a mark of inhering corruption; and that those only are perfect, who can behold nakedness without emotion. These fanatics appeared at public worship, without the least covering. Many tenets professed by the Jesuits, open a door to every immorality. "Persons "truly wicked and void of the love of "God, may expect eternal life in hea-"ven; provided only they be impressed "with fear of divine anger, and avoid "heinous crimes through the dread of "future punishment." Again, "Persons "may transgress with fafety, who have "any plaufible argument for transgress-"ing. A judge, for example, may de-"cide for the least probable side of a " question, and even against his own o-" pinion, provided he be supported by " any tolerable authority." Again, "Ac-" tions intrinfically evil and contrary to " divine

" divine law, may however be innocently " performed, by those who can join, even " ideally, a good end to the performance. " For example, an ecclefiastic may fafely " commit fimony by purchasing a bene-" fice, if to the unlawful act, he join the " innocent purpose of procuring to him-" felf a subsistence. A man who runs an-" other through the body for a flight af-" front, renders the action lawful, if his " motive be honour, not revenge." A famous Jesuit taught, that a young man may with the death of his father, and even rejoice at his death, provided the wish proceed, not from hatred, but from fondness of his father's estate. And another Jesuit has had the effrontery to maintain, that a monk may lawfully affaffinate a calumniator, who threatens to charge his order with fcandalous practices. Among the negroes of Sanguin on the river Seltro in Guinea, it is an article of faith that dextrous robbery is no less lawful than beneficial.

The Quakers, a fect generated during the civil wars in the reign of Charles I. contracted such an aversion to war as to declare it unlawful even in self-defence; a doctrine that foars high above morality and is contradictory to human nature. But by what magic has a tenet fo unnatural subsisted so long? The Quakers exclude pride, admitting no difference of rank but confidering all men as their brethren. And they exclude vanity by fimplicity and uniformity of drefs. Thus by humility and temperance they have preferved their institutions alive. But these passions cannot always be kept in subjection: vanity is creeping in, especially among the females, who indulge in filks. fine linen, bone-lace, &c. Vanity and pride will reach the males; and the edifice will totter and fall.

A doctrine that strikes at the root of every moral duty, as well as of religion itself, is, That God will accept a composition for sin; a doctrine that prevailed universally during the days of ignorance. Compositions for crimes were countenanced by law in every country (a); and men, prone to indulge their passions, slatter'd themselves, that they might compound with God for sinning against him, as with their neighbours for injuring them: those

(a) Historical Law tracts, tract 1.

who have no notion of any motive but interest, naturally think it to be equally powerful with the Deity. An opinion prevailed univerfally in the Christian church, from the eighth century down to the Reformation, that liberal donations to God, to a faint, to the church, would procure pardon even for the groffest sins. During that period, the building churches and monasteries was in high vogue. absurd or rather impious doctrine, proved a plentiful harvest of wealth to the clergy: for the great and opulent, who are commonly the boldest finners, have the greatest ability to compound for their fins. There needs nothing but fuch an opinion. to annihilate every duty, whether moral or religious; for what wicked man will think either of restitution or of reformation, who can purchase a pardon from Heaven with fo little trouble? Louis XI. of France was remarkably superstitious, even in a fuperstitious age. To ingratiate himself with the Virgin Marv, he furrendered to her the county of Boulogne with great folemnity. Voltaire remarks. that godliness consists, not in making the Virgin a Countefs, but in abstaining from

fin. Composition for fins is a doctrine of the church of Rome, boldly professed without difguise. A book of rates, published by authority of the Pope, conrains stated prices for absolutions, not excepting the most heinous sins. So true is the observation of Æneas Silvius, afterward Pope Paul II. "Nihil est quod abs-" que argento Romana curia det: ipsa " manuum impolitio, et Spiritus Sancti " dona, venduntur; nec peccatorum ve-" nia nisi nummatis impenditur *." all the immoral atonements for fin, human facrifices are the most brutal; deviating no less from the purity of religion. than from the fundamental principles of morality. They wore out of use as kindly affections prevailed; and will never again be restored, unless we fall back to the favage manners of our forefathers. Composition for crimes, once universal, is now banished from every enlightened nation. Composition for fins, was once

^{• &}quot; There is nothing to be obtained from the court of Rome but by the force of money: even

[&]quot;the ceremony of confecration, and the gifts of the

[&]quot; Holy Ghost, are fold; and the remission of sins

[&]quot; is bestowed only on those who can pay for it."

equally universal; and I wish it could be said, that there are now no remains of that poisonous opinion among Christians: the practice of the church of Rome will not permit it to be said. Were men deeply convinced, as they ought to be, that sincere repentance and reformation of manners are the only means for obtaining pardon, they would never dream of making bargains with the Almighty, and of compounding with him for their sins.

In the practice of religion, the laying too great weight on forms, ceremonies, and other external arbitrary acts, tends to the corruption of morals. That error has infected every religion. The Sadder, the Bible of the Gaures, prohibits calumny and detraction, lying, stealing, adultery, and fornication. It however enervates morality and religion, by placing many trifling acts on a level with the most important duties. It enjoins the destruction of five kinds of reptiles, frogs, mice, ants, serpents, and flies that sting. teaches, that to walk barefoot profanes the ground. Great regard for water is enjoin'd: it must not be used during night; and when fet upon the fire, a third part of the pot must be empty, to prevent boiling over. The Bramins have wofully degenerated from their original inflitutions, thinking that religion confilts in forms and ceremonies. As foon as an infant is born, the word Oum must be pronounced over it: otherwise it will be eternally miferable: its tongue must be rubbed with confecrated meal: the third day of the moon, it must be carried into open air, with its head to the north. The inhabitants of Formosa believe in hell; but it is only for punishing those who fail to go naked in certain feafons, or who wear cotton instead of filk. In the time of Ghenhizean, it was held in Tartary a mortal fin, to put a knife into the fire, to whip a horse with his bridle, or to break one bone with another; and yet these pious Tartars held treachery, robbery, murder to be no fins. A faction in Rgina, a Greek commonwealth, treacheroully affaffinated feven hundred of their fellow-citizens. They cut off the hands of a miserable fugitive, who had laid hold of the altar for protection, in order to murder him without the precincts of the temple. Their treacherous assassinations 3 B 2 made made no impression: but the' they refrained from murder in the temple, yet by profaning it with blood, fays Herodotus, they offended the gods, and contracted inexpiable guilt. Would one believe, that a tribunal was established by Charlemagne more horrible than the inquisition itself? It was established in Westphalia, to punish with death every Saxon who eat meat in lent. It was established in Flanders and in French-county, the beginning of the seventeenth century. Smollet in his travels into Italy observes, that it is held more infamous to transgress the slightest ceremonial institution of the church of Rome, than to transgress any moral duty; that a murderer or adulterer will be eafily absolved by the church, and even maintain his character in fociety; but that a man who eats a pigeon on a Saturday, is abhorred as a monster of reprobation. During the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, long curled hair, of which men of fashion in England were extremely vain, fuffered a violent persecution. Anselm, Archbishop of Canterbury, pronounced the fentence of excommunication against those who indulged in that dress; and was celebrated

brated by his brethren of the clergy, tho' at that time excommunication was a dreadful punishment. William of Malmsbury relates in lively colours an incident that shows the gross superstition of that age. " A certain knight, who was very proud of " his long luxuriant hair, dreamed that a " person suffocated him with its curls. As "foon as he awoke from his fleep, he cut " his hair to a decent length. The report " of this fpread over all England; and al-" most all the knights reduced their hair to "the proper standard. But this reforma-"tion was not of long continuance. For " in less than a year all who wished to ap-" pear fashionable, returned to their for-" mer wickedness, and contended with the "ladies in length of hair. Those to " whom nature had denied that ornament, "fupplied the defect by art." What can be more grossly superstitious than the form used in Roman-Catholic countries of baptizing a church-bell? The priest, assisted by fome of his brethren, mumbles over fome prayers, and sprinkles the outside with holy water, while they wash the inside with the same precious liquor. The priest next draws feven crosses on the outside, and four on the infide, with confecrated oil. Then ? a censer full of frankincense is put under the bell to smoke it. And the whole concludes with prayer.

Listen to a celebrated writer upon this fubiect. "It is certain, that in every re-" ligion, however fublime, many of the " votaries, perhaps the greatest number, " will still seek the divine favour, not by " virtue and good morals, which alone " can be acceptable to a perfect being, " but either by frivolous observances, by " intemperate zeal, by rapturous ecstasies, " or by the belief of mysterious and ab-" furd opinions. When the old Romans " were attacked with a peftilence, they " never atcribed their fufferings to their " vices, or dreamed of repentance and a-" mendment. They never thought that " they were the general robbers of the "world, whole ambition and avarice " made desolate the earth, and reduced " opulent nations to want and begg:ry. " They only created a dictator in order " to drive a nail into a door; and by that " means they thought that they had fuffi-" ciently appealed their incenfed deity (a)."

⁽a) Natural History of Religion, by David Hume, Esta;
Thus,

Thus, gradually, the effentials of religion wear out of mind, by the attention given to forms and ceremonies: these intercent and exhaust the whole stock of devotion. which ought to be referved for the higher exercises of religion. The neglect or transgression of mere punctilios, are punished as heinous sins: while sins really heinous are fuffered to pass with impunity. The lews exalted the keeping their fabbath holy, above every other duty; and it was the general belief, that the strict observance of that day was alone fufficient to atone for every fin. The command of resting that day, was taken fo literally, that they would not on that day defend themselves even against an assassin. Ptolomy, fon of Lagus, entered Ierusalem on the lewish sabbath, in a hostile manner without resistance. did experience open the eyes of that foolish people. Xiphilin, relating the fiege of Jerusalem by Pompey, says, that if the Jews had not rested on the sabbath, Pompey would not have been successful. Every Saturday he renewed his batteries; and having on that day made a breach, he marched into the town without opposition. One cannot help smiling at an Amsterdam Jew, who had no check of conscience for breaking open a house and carrying off money; and yet being stopped in his slight by the sabbath, he most piously rested, till he was apprehended, and led to the gallows. Nor are the Jews to this day cured of that frenzy. In some late accounts from Constantinople, a fire broke out in a Jew's house on Saturday: rather than profane the sabbath, he suffered the slames to spread, which occasioned the destruction of sive hundred houses. We laugh at the Jews, and we

• "And there was a woman which had a spirit of infirmity eighteen years, and was bowed together. And Jesus laid his hands on her, and immediately she was made straight, and gloristed God. And the ruler of the synagogue with indignation said unto the people, There are six days in which men ought to work: in them therefore come and be healed, and not on the sabbath-day. The Lord then said, Thou hypocrite, doth not each one of you on the sabbath loose his ox or his as from the stall, and lead him away to watering? and ought not this woman, whom Satan hath bound, be loosed from this bond on the sabbath-day?" Luke, xiii. 11.

have reason; and yet there are many wellmeaning Protestants, who lay the whole of religion upon punctual attendance at public worthip. Are the Roman Catholics less superstitious with respect to the place of worship, than the Jews are with respect to the day of worship? In the year 1670. fome Arabians, watching an opportunity, got into the town of Dieu when the gates were opened in the morning. They might easily have been expelled by the cannon of the citadel; but the Portuguese governor was obliged to look on without firing a gun, being threatened with excommunication, if the least mischief should be done to any of the churches. The only doctrines inculcated from the Romith pulpit down to the Reformation, were the authority of holy mother-church; the merit of the faints, and their credit in the court of heaven; the dignity and glory of the bleffed Virgin; the efficacy of relics; the intolerable fire of purgatory; and the vaft importance of indulgences. Relying on fuch pious acts for obtaining remission of fin, all orders of men rushed headlong in-Vol. IV.

to vice*; nor was there a fingle attempt to stem the current of immorality; for the traffic of indulgences could not but flourish in proportion to the growth of sin. And thus was religion fet in direct opposition to morality. St Eloy, bishop of Noyon in the feventh century, and canonized by the church of Rome, delivers the following doctrine. "He is a good Chri-" itian who goes frequently to church; " who prefents his oblations upon the al-" tar; who tastes not the fruit of his own " industry till part be consecrated to God; " who, when the holy festivals approach, " lives chastely even with his own wife " for feveral days; and who can repeat " the creed and the Lord's prayer. " deem then your fouls from destruction, " while you have the means in your " power: offer prefents and tithes to " churchmen: come, more frequently to

^{*} An ingenious writer pleafantly observes, "That a croifade was the South-Sea project of former times: by the latter, men hoped to gain riches without industry: by the former, they hoped to gain heaven without repentance, amendment of the, or fanctity of manners" Sir David Dalingie, a Judge in the Court of Session.

[&]quot; church:

" church: humbly implore the patronage " of faints. If you oberve these things, " vou may, in the day judgement, go " with confidence to the tribunal of the " eternal Judge, and fav, Give to us, O "Lord, for we have given unto thee." A modern author jubioins a proper observation. "We fee here a very ample de-" feription of a good Christian, in which " there is not the least mention of the love " of God, refignation to his will, obedi-" ence to his laws, nor of justice, bene-" volence, or charity." Groß ignorance and wretched superstition prevailed so much even in the fourteenth century, that people reckoned themselves secure of salvation, if at the day of judgement they could show any connection with monks. Many at the point of death, made it their last request, to be admitted into the mendicant order, or to be interred in their burial-place. Religion need not affociate with morality, if fuch filly practices be fufficient for obtaining the favour of God. Is this less about than the Hindottan belief. That the water of the Ganges hath a fanctifying virtue; and that those who die on its banks, are not only exempted tioni 3 C 2

from future punishment, but are wasted straight to paradise?

Forms and ceremonies are visible acts, which make a deep impression on the vulgar. Hence their influence in reasoning and in morality, as we have seen in the two sketches immediately foregoing; and hence also their influence in religion. Forms and ceremonies are useful at public worship: but they ought not to take place of essentials. People however, governed by what they see and hear, are more addicted to external acts of devotion, than to heart worship, which is not known but by reslection.

It will be no excuse for relying so much on forms and ceremonies, that they are innocent. In themselves they may be innocent; but not so in their consequences. For they have by such reliance a vigorous tendency to relax the obligations of morality. "La pure morale," says M. Rousseau, "est sir chargée de devoirs séveres "que si on la surcharge encore de formes "indifférentes, c'est presque toujours aux dépends de l'essentiel. On dit que c'est "le cas de la plupart des moines, qui, "soumis à mille regles inutiles, ne savent

" ce que c'est qu'honneur et vertu." ligious rites that contradict not any pasfion, are keenly embraced, and punctually performed; and men, flattering themselves that they have thus been punctual in their duty to God, give vent to their passions against men. "They pay tithes " of mint, and anise, and cummin; but " omit the weightier matters of the law, " judgement, mercy, and faith (a)." Upon fuch a man religion fits extremely light. As he feldom exercifes any act of genuine devotion, he thinks of the Deity with eafe and familiarity: how otherwife is it accountable, that the plays, termed Mysteries. could be relifihed, where mean and perhaps diffolute perfons are brought on the stage, acting Jesus Christ, the Virgin Mary, and even God himself? These objects of worship were certainly no more regarded than the Grecian gods, who frequently made part of the Dramatis personæ in Greek plays. Many other facts might be urged, to prove the low ebb of religion in those days: I select one or two, which probably will afford some amusement to

⁽a) Matthew, xxiii. 23.

the reader. Bartolus, a famous lawyer, in order to shew the form of proceeding in a court of justice, imagines a process between the devil and mankind. devil cites mankind to appear at the tribunal of Jesus Christ, claiming them as belonging to him by Adam's fall. He iwells in rage, demanding whether any one dare appear in their behalf. Against the Virgin Mary offering herfelf as their advocate, the devil makes two objections; first, That being the mother of the Judge, her influence would be too great; fecond, That a woman is debarred from being an advocate: and these objections are supported by numberless quotations from the Corpus Juris. The Virgin, on her part. quotes texts permitting women to appear for widows, orphans, and for perfons in diffrefs. She is allowed to plead for mankind, as coming under the last article. The devil urges prefcription, as having been in possession of mankind ever since the fall. The Virgin answers, That a mala-fide possessor cannot acquire by prefcription. Prescription being repelled, the parties go to the merits of the case, which are learnedly discussed with texts from the Pandeds.

Pandects. The memoirs of the French academy of Belles Lettres (a) has the following story: A monk returning from a house which he durst not visit in day-light, had a river to cross. The boat was overturned by Satan, and the monk was drowned when he was beginning to invocate the Virgin Mary. Two devils having laid hold of his foul, were stopped by two angels. " My Lords, faid the devils, " true it is and not a fable, that God died " for his friends: but this monk was an " enemy to God, and we are carrying him " to hell." After much altercation, it was proposed by the angels, to refer the dispute to the Virgin Mary. The devils were willing to accept of God for judge. because he would judge according to law. " But from the Virgin Mary," faid they, " we expect no justice: she would break " to atoms every gate of hell, rather than " fuffer one to remain there a moment " who pays any worship to her image. " She may fay, that black is white, and " that puddled water is pure-God never " contradicts her. The day on which God

⁽a) Vol. 18.

[&]quot; made

" made his mother, was a fatal day to us."

People who profess the same religion, and differ only in forms and ceremonies, may justly be compared to neighbouring states, who are commonly bitter enemies to each other, if they have any difference. At the same time, dissocial passions never rage so furiously, as under the mask of religion; for in that case they are held to be meritorious, as exerted in the cause of This observation is but too well verified in the disputes among Christians. However low religion was in the dark ages, vet men fought for forms and ceremonies as pro aris et focis. In the Armenian form of baptism, the priest says at the first immersion, In name of the Father; at the second. In name of the Son; at the third. In name of the Holy Ghoft. This form is bitterly condemned by the Romish church. which appoints the three perions of the Trinity to be joined in the same expresfion, in token of their union. Strahlenberg gives an account of a Christian sect in Russia, which differs from the established Greek church in the following particulars: First, In public worship they repeat

peat Halleluia but twice; and it is a mortal fin to repeat it thrice. Second, In celebrating mass, not five but seven loaves ought to be used. Third, The cross stamped upon a mass-loaf ought to have eight corners. Fourth, In figning with the crofs at prayers, the end of the ring-finger must be joined to the end of the thumb, and the two intermediate fingers be held out at full length. How trifling are these differences! and yet for these, all who diffent from them are held unclean, and no better than Pagans: they will not eat nor drink with any of the established church; and, if a person of that church happen to fit down in a house of theirs, they wash and purify the seat *. There are few fects founded upon more trivial differences than the Turkish and Persian Mahometans. The epithets given to the

• Christians, occupied too much with external forms, have corrupted several of the fine arts. They have injured architecture, by erecting magnificent churches in the ugly form of a cross. And they have injured painting, by withdrawing the best hands from proper subjects, and employing them on the legendary martyrdom of pretended saints, and other such disagreeable subjects.

Vol. IV. 3 D Persiana

Persians by the Turks are, " Forsaken of "God, Abominable, Blasphemers of the " Holy Prophet;" and so bitter is their enmity to the Persians. That the schools of the feraglio are open to young men of all nations, those of Persia alone excepted. The Persians are held to be such apostates from the true faith, as to be utterly past recovery: they receive no quarter in war, being accounted unworthy of life or flavery: nor do the Persians yield to the Turks in hatred. Whether coffee be or be not prohibited in the Alcoran, has produced much controversy in the Mahometan church, and confequently much perfecuting zeal. A mufti, not fond of coffee. declared it to have an inebriating quality. and therefore to be virtually prohibited by Mahomet. Another musti, fond of coffee for its exhilarating virtue, declared it lawful; "because," said he, "all things " are lawful that are not expressly prohi-" bited in the Alcoran." The coffeehouses in Constantinople were for a long period alternately opened and shut, according to the taste of the reigning musti; till coffce at last, surmounting all obstacles, came to be an established Maho-

metan liquor. Religion thus runs wild, whenever it loses fight of its true ends. worshipping God, and enforcing justice The Hindows hate the Mahometans for eating the flesh of cows: the Mahometans hate the Hindows for eating the fleth of fwine. The aversion that men of the same religion have at each other for the most trivial differences, converts them frequently into brutal favages. Suppose, for example, that a man, reduced to the extremity of hunger, makes a greedy meal of a dead horfe, a cafe to deplorable would wring every heart. And yet, let this be done in Lent, or on a meagre day-Behold! every zealot is inflantly metamorphofed into a devil incarnate. In the records of St Claude, a small district of Burgundy, is engroffed a fentence against a poor gentleman named Claude Guillon. The words are: " Having confidered " the process, and taken advice of the " doctors of law, we declare the faid " Claude Guillon duly convicted for ha-" ving carried away and boiled a piece of " a dead horse, and of having eat the " fame on the 31st March, being Satur-" day." And he was beheaded accordingly 28th July 1629; notwithstanding a defence above all exception, That he committed that irregularity to preserve his life. How was it possible for the monsters to persuade themselves, that this sentence was agreeable to God, who is goodness itself!

No less prejudicial to morality than the relying too much on forms and ceremonies, is the treating fome fins with great feverity; neglecting others equally heinous, or perhaps more fo. In a book of rates for absolution, mentioned above, no just distinction is made among sins; some venial fins being taxed at a higher rate than many of the deepest dye. For example, the killing father, mother, brother, fifter, or wife, is taxed at five gross; and the fame for incest with a mother or fifter. The lying with a woman in the church is taxed at fix gross; and, at the same time, absolution for usury is taxed at seven gross, and for fimony at no less than fixteen gross *.

A maxim adopted by many pious perfons, has a fmiling appearance, but in its confequences is hurtful both to religion

A gross is the third part of a ducat.

and morality; which is, That to testify our veneration for the Deity, and zeal for his fervice, the performing public and private worthip, and the fulfilling moral duties, are not alone fufficient; that over and above we are bound to fast, to do penance, to honour the priesthood, and to punish the enemies of God, i. e. those who differ from us in principle or practice. This maxim, which may be termed the doctrine of supererogation, is finely illustrated by an author mentioned above. "The " duties which a man performs as a friend " or parent, feem merely owing to his " benefactor or children; nor can he be " wanting to these duties without break-" ing through all the ties of nature and " morality. A strong inclination may " prompt him to the performance: a fen-" timent of order and moral beauty joins " its force to these natural ties: and the " whole man is drawn to his duty with-" out any effort or endeavour. " with regard to the virtues which are " more austere, and more founded on re-" flection, fuch as public spirit, filial du-"ty, temperance, or integrity: the mo-" ral

" ral obligation, in our apprehension, re-" moves all pretence to religious merit: " and the virtuous conduct is esteemed " no more than what we owe to fociety, " and to ourselves. In all this, a super-" stitious man finds nothing which he " has properly performed for the fake of " his Deity, or which can peculiarly re-" commend him to the divine favour and " protection. He considers not, that the " most genuine method of ferving the "Divinity is, by promoting the happi-" ness of his creatures. He still looks " out for some more immediate service of " the fupreme Being: and any practice " recommended to him, which either " ferves to no purpose in life, or offers the " strongest violence to his natural incli-" nations; that practice he will the more " readily embrace, on account of those " very circumstances, which should make " him absolutely reject it. It seems the " more purely religious, that it proceeds " from no mixture of any other motive " or consideration. And if for its sake " he facrifices much of his ease and quiet, " his claim of merit appears still to rife " upon him, in proportion to the zeal " and

" and devotion which he discovers. In " restoring a loan, or paying a debt, his " divinity is no wife beholden to him: " because these acts of justice are what he " was bound to perform, and what many " would have performed, were there no "God in the universe. But if he fast " a day, or give himself a sound whip-" ping, this has a direct reference, in his " opinion, to the service of God. No o-" ther motive could engage him to fuch " aufterities. By these diffinguished marks " of devotion, he has now acquired the " divine favour; and may expect in re-" compense, protection and fafety in this "world, and eternal happiness in the " next (a)." My yoke is easy, faith our Saviour, and my burden is light. they really are. Every effential of religion is founded on our nature, and to a pure heart is pleasant in the performance: what can be more pleasant, than gratitude to our Maker, and obedience to his will in comforting our fellow-creatures? But enthusiasts are not easily persuaded, that to make ourselves happy in the exercifes

(a) Natural History of Religion.

cifes of piety and benevolence, is the most acceptable service to God that we can perform. In loading religion with unnecesfary articles of faith and practice, they contradict our Saviour, by making his voke fevere, and his burden .. heavy *. Law, who writes on Christian perfection, enjoins such unnatural austerity of manners, as to be subversive both of religion and morality: loofe education is not more fo. Our passions, when denied proper exercile, are apt to break their fetters, and to plunge us into every extravagance: like the body, which squeezed in one part. fwells the more in another. In the same way of thinking, the pious Jeremy Taylor, treating of mortification, prescribes it as the indispensable duty of a Christian, to give no indulgence even to the most innocent emotions; because, says he, the most indifferent action becomes sinful, when there is no other motive for the performance but barely its being pleafant.

[•] An old woman walking with others to a facrament, was observed to pick out the worst bits of the road: "I never can do enough," said she, " for " sweet Jesus."

Could a malevolent deity contrive any thing more severe against his votaries?

In the same spirit of supercrogation, holidays have been multiplied without end, depriving the working poor of time, that would be more usefully employed in providing bread for themselves and families. Such a number of holidays, beside contradicting Providence which framed us more for action than contemplation, have feveral poisonous effects with respect to morality. The moral fense has great influence on the industrious, who have no time for indulging their irregular appetites: the idle, on the contrary, lie open to every temptation. Men likewise are apt to assume great merit from a rigid obfervance of holidays and other ceremonies; and having thus acquired, in their opinion, the favour of God, they rely on his indulgence in other matters which they think too sweet for sinners.

Monastic institutions are an improvement upon holidays: the whole life of a monk is intended to be a holiday, dedicated entirely to the service of God. The idleness of the monastic state among Christians, opens a wide door to immorality.

Vol. IV. 3 E In

In the third fection, penances are handled as a mode of worship, for obtaining . pardon of fin. But they are fometimes fubmitted to by the innocent, in order to procure from the Almighty still more fayour than innocence alone is entitled to; in which view, they are evidently a work of supercrogation. They feem to have no bad effect with respect to religion as distinguished from morality: the body is indeed tortured unnecessarily; but if enthusiasts voluntarily submit to bodily distreffes, they have themselves only to blame. With respect to morality, their bad tendency is not flight. Those who perform extraordinary acts of devotion. conceive themselves peculiarly entitled to the favour of God. Proud of his favour. they attach themselves to him alone, and turn indifferent about every other duty. The favourite of a terrestrial potentate, affumes authority; and takes liberties that private persons dare not venture upon: shall a favourite of Heaven be less indulged? The Faquirs in Hindostan submit to dreadful penances; and, holding themfelves fecure of God's favour, they are altogether indifferent about the duty they owc

above common decency, as to go about naked, not even concealing what modelty hides. The penances enjoined in the Romiffichurch, such as fasting and flagellation, have evidently the same bad tendency. With respect to fasting in particular, to what good purpose it can serve, except to gluttons, is not readily conceived. Temperance in cating and drinking is essential to health: too much or too little are equally noxious, though their effects are different †. Fasting therefore ought never to be enjoined to the temperate as a religious duty, because it cannot

• A fest of Christians, flyled Flogellantes, held, that fligellation is of equal virtue with baptism and the other facraments; that it will procure forgiveness of fin; that the old law of Christ is to be abolished; and a new law substituted, enjoining the baptism of blood to be administered by whipping.

† The Baron de Manstein observes, that the frequent lents enjoined by the Greek church, contribute greatly to promote diseases in the Russian armies. They are forbidden to touch field three-sourths of the year. The synod, it is true, grants a dispensation to soldiers during war; but such is the superstition of the people, that sew take the benefit of the dispensation.

be acceptable to a benevolent Deity. Listen to a great prophet on that subject: " Be-"hold, we fast for strife and debate, and " to fmite with the fift of wickedness; ve. " shall not fast as ye do this day, to make" " your voice to be heard on high. Is it " fuch a fast that I have chosen? a day " for a man to afflict his foul? " bow down his head as a bulrush, and " to spread fackcloth and ashes under " him? Wilt thou call this a fast, and " an acceptable day to the Lord? Is not " this the fast that I have chosen, to loose " the bands of wickedness, to undo the " heavy burdens, and to let the oppressed " go free, and that ye break every yoke? " Is it not to deal thy bread to the hun-" gry; and that thou bring the poor that " are cast out to thy house? when thou " feest the naked, that thou cover him, " and that thou hide not thyself from " thine own flesh (a)?"

The most extraordinary penance of all is celibacy confidered as a religious duty. Many fathers of the church declare against matrimony. St Jerom in particular fays, That the end of matrimony is eternal death:

⁽a) Ifaiah, lviii. 4. &c.

death; that the earth, indeed, is filled by it. but heaven by virginity. The intemperate zeal of many primitive Christians led them to abstain from matrimony, and even from conjugal careffes, if they had the misfortune to be married; believing that the carnal appetite is inconfistent with pure religion. Edward the Confessor was fainted, for no better reason than the abstaining from matrimonial duties. Iovinian, in the fourth century, taught, that all who observe the laws of piety and virtue laid down in the gospel, have an equal title to happiness in another life: consequently, that those who pass their days in celibacy and mortification, are in no respect more acceptable to God than those who live virtuously in marriage without mortification. He published his opinions in a book, against which Jerom wrote a bitter and abusive treatise. still extant. These opinions were condemned by the church, and by St Ambrose, in a council at Milan; and Jovinian was banished by the Emperor Honorius. Such ridiculous felf-denial was not confined to Christians. Strabo mentions a fect among the Thracians, who made a vow of perpetual virginity;

ginity; and were much respected on that account. Garcilasso mentions virgins in Peru confecrated to the fun: a vestal guilty of frailty was buried alive, her lover hanged, and the inhabitants of the town where the lived put to the word. samong all the absurd acts of mortification, celibacy is the strongest instance of superstition triumphing over common sense; for what can be more inconfiftent with common fense, not to talk of religion, than an endeavour to put an end to the human species ? Barbeyrac, De la Moriae des Peres, gives examples of fathers of the church who withed to extinguish by celibacy the human species, and to hasten the day of judgment. Some glimpses of reason have abated the zeal of enthusiasts for celibacy: but have not totally extirpated it; for celibacy of the clergy remains to this day a law in the Romish church. It cannot. however, feriously be thought the will of our benevolent God, that his priefts thould be denied the exercise of natural powers, bestowed on all for a most valuable purpofe. This impious restraint, which contradicts the great law of Increase and multiply, has opened the door to gross debauchery

bauchery in the pastors of the Romish church, though ecclesiastics ought, of all men, to be the most circumspect in their conduct. Men restrained from what is necessary and proper, are more prone than others to break out into gross irregularities. Marriage is warmly recommended in the laws of Zoroaster. Children are said to be a bridge that conducts men to heaven; and a man who has no children, is held to be under the power of Ahriman. The prayer of a priest who has no children, is held disagreeable to Ormusd.

The celibacy of the clergy was countenanced by the Pope; and enforced from a political confideration, That it unitied the whole clergy into one compact body, under

An ingenious writer, mentioned above, makes the following observation: "The celibacy of ecclesiastics was originally introduced by some superstitious resistances in the law of God and nature. Could men have been kept alive without eating or drinking as well as without marriage, the same resinements would have prohibited ecclesiastics from eating and drinking, and thereby have elevated them for much nearer to the state of angels. In process of time, this sanatical interdiction became an instrument of worldly wisdom: and thus, as frequently haper pens, what weak men began, politicians completed." Sir David Daleymple.

der his spiritual Majesty. How shortfighted is man! It was justly esteemed at the time to be the corner-stone of Papal power; and yet became the chief cause of its downfal. Celibacy precipitated the Romish clergy into adultary, formication. cunning, dissimulation, and every secret vice. Will men of fuch manners be liftened to, when they preach purity to o-. thers? There was no medium, but either to reform their own manners, or to give every indulgence to the laity. But ignorance and superstition in the latter, made the former think themselves secure. The restoration of learning broke the charm. Men beginning to think for themselves. were provoked at the dissolute lives of their pastors; and raised a loud cry against them. Reformers were burnt as heretics; and clergymen were held to be emissaries from Satan, to establish his throne upon earth. Knox, that violent reformer, believed 'feriously that Cardinal Beaton was a conjured enemy to Christ Jefus. Providence brings good out of ill. Had not the clergy been dissolute, poor Christians might have laboured under ignorance and Our reformers, beginning with their paflots, extended intentibly their hatred to the doctrines taught by their pastors. Every article of faith was fifted: the chaff was a parated from the corn: and a reformation was established upon the scriptures, rejecting every innovation of the Romish church.

There is not mentioned in history a more impudent difregard of moral principles, than a privilege assumed by the Bishop of Rome to disengage men from their oaths and promifes: it is not a greater stretch to disengage them from every duty, whether of morality or of religion. The barons of Valentia, dreading a persecution against the industrious Moors. their tenants, obtained the following clause to be inferted in their king's coronationoath: " That he should not expel the " the Moriscos, nor force them to be bap-" tized; that he should never desire to be " relieved from the oath by a dispensation " frow the Pope, nor accept a dispensation " if offered." The Emperor Charles V. took this oath folemnly in presence of his nobles; and yet accepted a dispensation VOL. IV. 3 F from

from the Pope, absolving him from the oath, and from the guilt of perjury in breaking it. Augustus King of Poland, in the treaty of Altramstadt, renounced the kingdom of Poland to his competitor Stanillaus. The defeat of the King of Ewe y den at Poltowa was an inviting opportunity to renew his pretentions. A folemn treaty flood in his way; but the Pope removed that obstacle, by annulling the treaty, and fetting him at liberty. The Pope has been known to bestow that wonderful privilege upon others. Pope Pafcal II. having, with a folemn oath, renounced the right of investitures, empowered the cardinals to declare his oath null. Bishops alfo, imitating their fuperior, have affumed the privilege of dispensing with moral du-Instances are not rare, of curates being authorized by their bishop to entertain concubines, paying for each a regular tax of a crown yearly. Nay, in some provincial fynods, they are enjoined to keep concubines, in order to prevent scandal. Common profitutes, licensed in the city of Leghorn, have a church peculiar to themfelves, and must not enter into any other. They follow their trade with the utmost * freedom;

freedom; except in passion-week, during which they must forbear sinning, under pain of banishment (a).

The power of bestowing kingdons, afthered by the Bishop of Rome, was an enespachment on the rules of justice, no less bold. Christian princes, not many ages ago, esteemed the Pope's gift to be their best title of property. In the 1346, the Venetians requested the Pope's permission to carry on commerce in Asia, and to purchase there pepper and cinnamon. The Pope

(a) Sir David Dalrymple, in his Annals of Scotland, vol. II. page 16th, has the following paragraph: " Thus did Edward chastise the Scots for their breach " of faith. It is remarkable, that in the preceding " year he himself procured a papal bull, absolving him " from the oath which he had taken for maintaining " the privileges of his people. But the Scots, without " papal authority, violated their oaths, and were pu-" nished as perjured men. It is a truth not to be dis-" guifed, that in those times the common notions of " right and wrong were, in some fort, obliterated. " Conscience, intoxicated with indulgencies, or supified of by frequent absolution, was no longer a faithful mo-" nitor amidst the temptations of interest, ambition, " and national animolities." This author, a few pages after, very ingeniously observes, that, in those days, an outh or promise on the honour of knighthood, was the only thing relied on; because the Pope did not pretend to interpole in a point of honour.

Poperator only granted their request, but pronounced anathemas upon any who should have to interfere in that commerce. Ferdinand and Isabella of Spain applied to Fore Alexander VI. to vest in them the property of America, discovered under their auspices by Columbus. The Pope having formerly granted to the kings of Portugal their discoveries in the East-Indies, both grants were held facred; and it came to be strenuously disputed, under which of the grants the Molucca islands were comprehended. Both grants proceed upon a narrative, of the power bestowed by Almighty God on the Pope, as successor to St Peter and vicar of Christ. To imagine that the Almighty would bestow such powers on the Bishop of Rome, or on any human being, shews gross ignorance of the common rights of mankind, and of the government of Providence,

The groffest of all deviations, not only from sound morality, but from pure religion, and the most extensive in its baneful effects, is a doctrine embraced by established churches, not many excepted, That, because heretics are odious in the fight of God, it is the duty of the orthodox

Su III. iii, 2. Theology.

dox to extirpate them, root and branch. Observe the consequence: people who differ from the established church are held to be obstinate sinners, deserving punishment here as well as hereaster. The religion of every country is changeable; and the religion at present dominant may soon be under depression; which of course subjects all mankind to the rigour of persecution. An invention more effectual for extirpating the human race, is not within the reach of imagination: the horror of human facrifices is as nothing in comparison.

Perfecution for differences in religion can never take place but where the minifers of religion are formed into a class, totally distinct from the rest of the people. They made not a distinct class among the old Romans; who, far from having any notion of persecution, adopted the gods of every nation they conquered. A learned writer (a) observes, that, as the number of their gods increased with their conquests, it is possible that they might have worshipped all the gods in the world.

(a) Morinus,

Their belief in tutelar denies produced that affect. Titus Livius mentions a sect of Backhanals spread through Italy. They performed their ceremonies during night; and women mixing in the dark, after intemperate eating and drinking. Neger did wicked wretches deserve more exemplary punishment; yet liften to the following decree of the Roman senate, breathing-the true spirit of toleration. " Ne " qua Bacchanalia Romae, neve in Italia " essent. Si quis tale sacrum, solenne, et " necessarium duceret, nec sine religione et piaculo se id omittere posse; apud 44 Praetorem urbanum profiteretur; Prae-" tor senatum consuleret. Si ei permis-"sum effet, quum in fenatu centum non minus effent; ita id facrum faceret, " dum ne plus quinque facrificio interes-46 fent; neu qua pecunia communis, neu " quis magister sacrorum, aut sacerdos es-" fet *." The lews were prone to perfecution.

^{*&}quot; Let there be no Bacchanalian ceremonies performed in the city, nor within Italy. If there be
any person who reckons it a matter of conscience to
persorm these rites, and that he ought not to omit
them,

secution, because their priests formes a distinct body. It is true, they believed in tutelar deities : their hatred, however, of neighbouring nations prevailed to make them hold in abhorrence the worthings every other god. Even among themfelves they were abundantly disposed to war; and nothing kept within bounds the Pharisees, the Saduccees, and the Essenes, their three sects, but terror of the Roman power. The Christian religion implies toleration in its very nature and principles; and yet became prone to perfecution above all others. Christian sects were enflamed against each other to a degree of brutality; the most opposite to peace and brotherly love, inculcated in the gospel. It was propagated by the orthodox, that Arius expired in a common jakes, and that his entrails burst out. The same is related of Huneric King of the Vandals.

them, let him state his opinion to the city Practor,
who shall thereupon consult the senate. If liberty
be granted him by the senate when no sewer than a
hundred senators are present, let him perform the
facrisce, but privately, in presence of no greater
number than sive persons. Let there be no public
fund for them, nor any who shall preside as prics
are master of the rises."

Arian; with the following addition, that being possessed with the devil, whom he had glutted with the blood of many martyrs, he tore his flesh with his seems, and ended his wretched life in the most excrutiating, though justly deserged torments. The falsehoods every where fpread, during the fourteenth century, against the lews, such as their poisoning the public fountains, killing Christian infants, and drinking their blood, with many other falsehoods of the same stamp, were invented, and greedily swallowed, through the influence of religious hatred. Through the same influence a law was once made in England, that a Christian marrying a Jew should be burnt alive. The greater part of perfecutions have been occasioned in the same manner: for men are not so desperately wicked, as to approve of perfecution, unless when blinded by intemperate zeal. The same religious hatred produced the affaffination of the Duke of Guise, and of two Henries, Kings of France; produced the gunpowder plot; and produced the most horrid deed that ever was perpetrated among

among men, the massacre of St Balanolomew *.

There is no occasion to be particular on the maillacre of St Bartholomew, the cirounitances of which are university known. I shall mention another, which happened in Lifbon, 6th April 1506, the effect entirely of bigotry. The day mentioned being Sunday, certain persons in the church of St Dominic, observing that a crucifix in one of the chapels was more than ordinary luminous, the priest cried out, a miracle! a miracle: A new convert, who had been a Jew, faying flightly that it was but the fun finning on the crucifix, he was dragged infantly out of the church, and burnt. The friars, with vehement speeches, encouraged the rabble affemblcd about the fire to more mischief; while

Vol. IV.

Monsieur de Tavannes, afterwird. Mareschal of Urance, was a great partisen of the Queen-mother; and so active in the mailacre, as with his own hand to murder no sewer than seventeen Hugenots. Having on death-bed made a full vonscillon of his mas, "What," said the print, "not a word of St Bares tholomew? Of St Bartholomew?" answered the penitent; "the service I did that memorable day to "God and the church, is alone a sufficient atonement for all m, transgressions."

other friars ran about the streets bawling out, iterefy, herefy, with crucifixes in their hands. Above 500 men gathered together, and slew every new convert they could find, and burnt them to ashes. Next morning they murdered above 1000 men, women, and children; dragging them from the altars, to which they had fled as a fanctuary. The same fury continued the third day, on which above 400 persons more were massacred.

No false principle in religion has shed more innocent or rather virtuous blood. than that of perfecuting heretics; i. e. those who differ in any article from the religion established by law. The doctrine of burning heretics, is in effect the profeifing to burn men eminently virtuous; for they must be so, when they submit to be burnt alive, rather than be guilty even of diffimulation. The Mahometan practice of converting people by the fword, if not more rational, is at least more manly. Louis IX. of France, one of its best princes, would have been a greater bleffing to his people had he been less pious: he had an implacable aversion to heretics; against whom he thought it more proper to employ

ploy racks and gibbets, than argument. Torquemada, that infernal inquisiror of Spain, brought into the inquifition, in the fpace of fourteen years, no fewer than 80,000 persons; of whom 6000 were condemned to the flames, and burnt alive with the greatest pomp and exultation. Of that vast number, there was perhaps not a fingle person, who was not more pure in religion, as well as in morals, than their outrageous perfecutor. Hunter, a young man about nineteen years of age. was one of the unhappy victims to the zeal of Queen Mary of England for Popery. Having been inadvertently betrayed by a priest to deny transubstantiation, he absconded, to keep out of harm's way. Bonner, that arch-hangman of Poperva threatened ruin to the father, if he did not deliver up the young man. Hunter, hearing of his father's danger, made his appearance, and was burnt alive, instead of being rewarded for his filial piety. woman of Guernsey was brought to the stake, without regard to her big belly; which burfling by the torture, she was delivered in the midst of the flames. One of the guards inatched the infant from

the fire: but the magistrate who attended the execution ordered it to be thrown back; being refolved, he faid, that nothing should furvive which sprung from a parent fo obstinately heretical. Paul (a) computes that, in the Netherlands alone, from the time that the edict of Charles V. was promulgated against the reformers, fifty thouland persons were hanged, beheaded, buried alive, or burnt, on account of religion. Some Faquirs, crazed with opium and fancticulm, have been known, with poisoned daggers, to fall upon uncircuincited Europeans, and to put every one to death whom they could mafter. In the last century, a Faquir at Surate murdered, within the space of a minute, feventeen Dutch failors with feventeen flabs of a dagger. We think with horror of human facrifices among the ancient Pagans; and yet we behold them every day among Christians, rendered still more horrid by the mest atrocious torments that religious hatred can devise.

The

⁽a) Council of Trent, Book 5.

The great motive to fuch cruelties, is the superstitious and absurd notion, that heretics are God's enemies; which makes it thought an acceptable fervice to God, not only to perfecute them by fire and fword in this world, but to deliver them over to Satan in the world to come. other circumstance enflames religious hatred; which is, that neighbours are either intimate friends or buter enemies. holds with a flight variation in fects of the fame religion: however minute their differences are, they cannot be intimate friends; and therefore are bitter enemies: the nearer they approach to unifon, if not entirely fo, the greater in proportion is their mutual natred. Such hatred, jubduing the meek spirit of Christianity, is an additional cause for persecution. Blind zeal for what is believed to be the only true religion, never discovers error nor innocence in those who differ, but perverseness and criminal obstinacy. Two religions totally different, like two countries in opposite parts of the globe, produce no mutual enmity. At the fiege of Constantinople by the Turks, anno 1453, the Emperor, in order to procure affishance from the princes of the Latin church, ordered mass to be celebrated in one of his churches according to the form used in Rome. The people with great indignation protested, that they would rather see the Turks in their churches, than the hat of a cardinal.

The history of the Waldenses, though well known, cannot be too often repeated. In the twelfth century, a merchant of Lyons, named Peter Valdo, disfatisfied with the pomp and ceremonies of the Romish church, ill fuited in his opinion to the humility of a Christian, retired to a desert in the high country of Provence, with feveral poor people his disciples. There he became their spiritual guide, instructing them in certain doctrines, the fame that were afterwards adopted by the Protestants. Their inceffant labour fubdued the barren foil, and prepared it for grain as well as for pasture. The rent which in time they were enabled to pay for land that afforded none originally, endeared them to their landlords. In 250 years, they multiplied to the number of 18,000, occupying thirty villages, beside hamlets, the work of their own hands. Priests they had none, nor any disputes about religion: neither had they

they occasion for a court of justice, as brotherly love did not fuffer them to go to law: they worshipped God in their own plain way, and their innocence was fecured by incessant labour. They had long enjoyed the sweets of peace and mutual asfection, when the reformers of Germany and Geneva fent ministers among them; which unhappily laid them open to religious hatred, the most unrelenting of all furies. In the year 1540, the parliament of Provence condemned nineteen of them to be burnt for herefy, their trees to be rooted up, and their houses to be razed to the ground. The Waldenses, terrified at this fentence, applied in a body to Cardinal Sadolet, bishop of Carpentras; who received them kindly, and obtained from Francis I, of France, a pardon for the perfons under sentence of death, on condition of abjuring herefy. The matter lay over five years; when the parliament, irritated at their perseverance, prevailed on the King to withdraw his pardon. The fentence was executed with great rigour; and the parliament, laying hold of that opportunity, broke through every restraint of law, and commenced a violent perfecution against

against the whole tribe. The foldiers began with massacring old men, women, and children, all having fled who were able to fly; and proceeded to burn their houses, barns, and corn. There remained in the town of Cabriere fixty men and thirty women; who having furrendered upon promife of life, were butchered all of them without mercy. Some women who had taken refuge in a church, were dragged out, and burnt alive. Twenty-two villages were reduced to ashes; and that populous and flourishing district became once more a defart.

To conceive this horrid scene in all its deformity, the people perfecuted ought to be compared with the clergy their perfecutors; for the civil magistrate was the hand only that executed their vengeance: on the one fide, an industrious honest people, pure in their morals, and no lefs pure in their religion: on the other, proud pampered pricits, abandoned without thame to every wickedness, impure in their morals, and still more impure in their religion-the world never furnished such another contrast. Had the scene been reversed, to make these wretches suffer perfecution

fecution from the Waldenses-but that people were too upright and too religious for being perfecutors. The manners of the Christian clergy in general, before the Reformation, enlivens the contrast. The doctrine promulgated during the dark times of Christianity. That God is a mercenary being, and that every person however wicked may obtain pardon of his fins by money, made riches flow into the hands of the clergy in a plentiful stream. And riches had the same effect upon the Christian clergy that they have upon all men, which is, to produce pride, fenfuality, and profligacy: these again produced diffipation of money, which prompted avarice, and every invention for recruiting exhausted treasures *. Even as early as the eighth century, the Christian clergy, tempted by opulence, abandoned themfelves to pleasure, without moderation; and far exceeded the laity in luxury, glut-Vor. IV. 2 H tony.

[•] In the eleventh and twelfth centuries, many of the elergy became merchants; and, being free of taxes, engroffed all. In the Netherlands particularly, there was a great cry, that monafteries were converted into shops and warehouses, and the mansions of secular priess into tap-houses and inns.

tony, and luft. When fuch were the paftors, what must have been the flock! Rejoice, O Scotland, over the poverty and temperance of thy pastors. During that period, the clergy could read, and, like ' parrots, they could mumble prayers in Latin: in every other respect, they rivalled the laity in ignorance. They were indeed more cunning than the laity; and understood their interest better, if to covet riches at the expence of probity, deserve that name. Three articles were established that made religion an easy service. First, That faith is the essence of religion, without regard to good works; and hence the necessity of being strictly orthodox, which the church only could determine. Second, Religious worship was reduced to a number of external ceremonies and forms, which, being declared fufficient for falvation, absolved Christians from every moral duty. Remark, that a priest is always the chief person in ceremonial worship. third article, That God is a mercenary being, is mentioned above, with its necesfary confequences. These articles brought about a total neglect, both in clergy and laity, not only of morality, but of every offential.

effential religious duty. In fine, there never was a religion that deviated more from just principles, than that professed by Christians during the dark ages. Persecution reached none but the fincerely pious and virtuous. What a glorious tolerating fentiment doth Arnobius (a) throw out, and what profusion of blood would have been prevented, had it been adopted by all Christians! " Da veniam, Rex summe, " tuos persequentibus famulos: et quod " tuae benignitatis est proprium, fugienti-" bus ignosce tui nominis et religionis " cultum. Non est mirum, si ignoraris: 66 majoris est admirationis, si sciaris ." The following parable against perfecution was communicated to me by Dr Franklin of Philadelphia, a man who makes a figure in the learned world. " And it came to " pass after these things, that Abraham " fat in the door of his tent, about the " going down of the fun. And behold a " man

(a) Lib. 1. Adverfus Gentes.

^{• &}quot;Forgive, Almighty power, the perfecutors of "thy fervants; and, in the peculiar benevolence of "thy nature, pardon those men whose unhappiness it is to be strangers to thy name and worship. Ig." norant as they are of thee, we cannot wouder at the "impiety of their actions."

" man bent with age, coming from the " way of the wilderness leaning on a staff. " And Abraham arose, and met him, and " faid unto him, Turn in, I pray thee. " and wash thy feet, and tarry all night; " and thou shalt arise early in the morn-" ing, and go on thy way. And the man " faid. Nav: for I will abide under this tree. 44 But Abraham pressed him greatly: so " he turned, and they went into the tent : " and Abraham baked unleavened bread. " and they did eat. And when Abraham " faw that the man bleffed not God, he " faid unto him, Wherefore dost thou not " worship the most high God, creator of " heaven and earth? And the man an-46 fwered and faid, I do not worship thy " God, neither do I call upon his name; " for I have made to myself a god, which " abideth always in mine house, and pro-" videth me with all things. And Abra-" ham's zeal was kindled against the man, " and he arose, and fell upon him, and " drove him forth with blows into the " wilderness. And God called unto Aor braham, faying, Abraham, where is the " stranger? And Abraham answered and " faid, Lord, he would not worship thee, " neither

" neither would he call upon thy name: " therefore have I driven him out from " before my face into the wilderness. " And God faid, Have I borne with him " these hundred ninety and eight years. " and nourished him, and clothed him, " notwithstanding his rebellion against " me; and couldst not thou, who art thy-" felf a finner, bear with him one night?" The historical style of the Old Testament is here finely imitated; and the moral must strike every one who is not funk in stupidity and superstition. Were it really a chapter of Genesis, one is apt to think, that perfecution could never have shown a bare face among Jews or Christians. But alas! that is a vain thought. Such a paffage in the old Testament, would avail as little against the rancorous passions of men. as the following passages in the New Testament, though perfecution cannot be condemned in terms more explicit. " Him " that is weak in the faith, receive you, " but not to doubtful disputations. For " one believeth that he may eat all things; " another, who is weak, eateth herbs. 46 Let not him that eateth, despise him " that eateth not; and let not him which " eatetl:

" eateth not, judge him that eateth. Who " art thou that judgest another man's fer-" vant? to his own master he standeth or " falleth. One man esteemeth one day " above another; another esteemeth every " day alike. Let every man be fully per-" fuaded in his own mind. But why " dost thou judge thy brother? or why " dost thou fet at nought the brother? " for we shall all stand before the judge-" ment-feat of Christ, every one to give " an account of himself to God. I know. " that there is nothing unclean of itself: " but to him that esteemeth any thing " unclean, to him it is unclean. The " kingdom of God is not meat and drink, " but righteousness and peace, and joy in " the Holy Ghost. Let us therefore fol-" low after the things which make for " peace, and things wherewith one may " edify another (a)." Our Saviour himfelf declared against persecution in the most express terms. The Jews and Samaritans were of the fame religion; but some trivial differences in the ceremonial part of worship, rendered them odious to each other.

⁽a) Epistle of Paul to the Romans, chap. 14.

other. Our Saviour being refused lodging in a village of Samaria, because he was travelling to Jerusalem, his disciples James and John said, "Lord, wilt thou that we "command fire to come down from hea-"ven, and consume them, even as Elias "did?" But he rebuked them, and said, "The Son of Man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them (a)." *

It gives me real concern, that even the hot fire of perfecution did not altogether purify our Reformed clergy from that fatanical fpirit. No fooner were the Diffenters fettled in New England, where they fled to avoid perfecution, than they fet on foot a perfecution against the Quakers, no less furious than what they themfelves

^{*} Toleration in religion, though obvious to common understanding, was not however the production of reason, but of commerce. The advantage of toleration for promoting commerce, was early discovered by the Portuguese. They were too zealous Catholics to think of so bold a measure in Portugal; but it was permitted in Goa, and the inquisition in that town was confined to Roman Catholics. There is a singular example of toleration in the Knights of Malta. That fraternity was instituted to make perpetual war against the Turks; and yet of late years they have creded a mosque for their Turkish prisoners.

⁽a) Luke ix. 54.

felves had fuffered at home. Nor did the Reformed clergy in Scotland lose fight of the same magisterial authority that had been affumed by their predeceffors of the Romish church, on the ridiculous pretext of being ambassadors to men from Jesus Christ. Upon a representation, anno 1646, from the commission of the kirk of Scotland, James Bell and Colin Campbell, bailies of Glasgow, were committed to prison by the parliament, merely for having faid, that kirkmen meddled too much in Could a despotic prince civil matters. have exerted a more arbitrary act? but the church was all-powerful in those days *.

I

* The Christian religion is eminent for a spirit of meekness, toleration, and brotherly love; and yet perfecution never raged to furiously in any other religion. Such opposition between practice and principle, is a fingular phenomenou in the history of man. Let us try to account for it. In the Pagan religion I difcover few traces of perfecution. Tutelar deities were universal; and, tai from imposing these deities on others, every nation valued itself on being the only favourite of its own deity. Priests by profession have ever been ambitious of imposing on the laity peculiar forms of worship and peculiar religious teness; but the Greeks and Romans had none fuch. The Jews had priests by profession; and they were beside a gloomy people naturally inclined to perfecution: they hated

I would do justice to every church, not excepting that of Rome; and it is doing that

hated their neighbours and were hated by them. The Mahometan religion was fown in a fertile foil. The Arabians were warlike; but ignorant and early deluded by a warm imagination. The Koran is finely contrived to impose upon such a people. The ambition of Mahomet corresponded to the warlike genus of his countrymen; who were taught to convert all men to his religion, by the simple but effectual argument of fire and swoid. This spuit of perfecution accompanied that of conquest. The latter is now extinguished by luxury and sensingly; and there scarce remains any vestige of the former.

Among an illiterate and creditions people, directed by the light of nature to worthip the Deity, but without any enablished form, every innovation is peacably and cordially admitted. When Christianity was introduced into Britain, the Druids, as appears from Odlan, had loft all authority. The people were prepared for the new religion; and there could be no perfecution where there was none to oppose. Upon that plain people, the Christian religion had its genuine ened: it foftened their manners, and produced a spirit of meeknefs and brotherly love. Never was profite more concordant with principle. The feene is very different where a new religion is introduced in opposition to one long established. Zeal for a new religion inflames its converts; and as violent pallions are infections, those who adhere to the elablished worship are by degrees equally inflamed. Mutual hatred and perfecution are the never failing confequences. This was the cafe in the

that church no more but justice to acknowledge, that the spirit of persecution was not

the countries where the Christian religion was first promulgated.

When that religion began to make a figure, the Roman empire was finely prepared for its reception. The fables of Paganism, which pais current as important truths in days of ignorance, were now exploded as childish and ridiculous. The despotism of the Roman government, and fuccessive irruptions of barbarians, had funk the Roman people, had filled them with fuperstitious terrors, and disposed them to embrace any religion that promised happiness either here or in another world. Luckily, the new religion was that of Jefus Christ. The meek spirit of the gospel would in time have prevailed over a religion that was großly idolatrous: but, unhappily, the zeal of the new converts, and their abhorrence of idolatry, was not confined to argument, but was vented with all the violence of religious hatred. Here, the Man got the better of the Christian. Those of the established religion became eq violent, through the infection of paffion; and mercual perfecution knew no bounds.

This appears to be a fair account of the mutual perfecution between Christians and Pagans. But perfecution did not stop there: it raged among different seeds of Christians no less than formerly against the common enemy. This requires to be accounted for. Acuteness and subtility formed the character of the Greeks. Every man eminent for learning had his followers: in philosophy many seeds were formed, and much disputation and wrangling ensued. The Christian religion was early introduced into Greece; and its votaries were insected

not more eminent in it, than zeal for making converts. The former is retiring out of

infeded with the spirit of the nation : the flightest differences occasioned disputes; and fests were formed upon the flightest differences. In the gospel, eternal happiness is promised to those who believe in Jesus Christ. The true sense was perverted by the bulk of Christians; and salvation was annexed to the mere act of belief, without regard to good works. Men are prone to fuch a dostrine: they conceive belief to be an easy matter, as it puts no restraint upon their passions: they are extremely willing to believe, provided they be left free to act as they pleafe. Thus as the whole of religion was understood to rest upon belief, the most minute differences in belief, became of the highest importance. That Christ was a divine perton fent by God to correct and reform mankind, is the belief of This is not believing in Christ, fay the orthodox. "You must believe, that he is the Son of " God, and equal to the Father." This was a capital diffoute. But the spirit of disputation there: every triffe was made a subject and hence perfecution without end. ballions were thus encouraged among Christians even the most unmanly vices were meritorious to promote the interest of one fest against another. It became a maxim, that ill may be done in order to bring about good; and accordingly every deceit was put in practice by clergymen, not excepting forgery, in support of their own feet. Such practices were common as early as the third century. The perfecting spirit continues in vigour among the Roman Catholics, against those who It is deny the infallibility of their fovereign pontisf. ligh

of the world; and I wish it most profound rest, never again to awake. People begin to be ashamed of it, as of a garment long out of fashion. Let the other continue for amusement: it is innocent; and if it do no good, it is not productive of so much harm.

The

high treason to diffegard his authority; and rebels are perfected with fire and sword in this world, and with eternal damnation in the next. No sooner had Protestants renounced the Papal authority, than they gave vent to perfection against one another. America was the refuge of many differents from the church of England, to avoid perfection at home. But scarce were they established there, when they raised a violent perfection against Quakers, the most innocuous of all sects.

Zeal for a new religion is immoderate. It cools gradually and at last vanishes where that religion has been to thed, and is peaceably submitted to. Then a falutary truth is discovered, that people the control of the religions, may even of different seets, may live peaceably together. In England and Holland, men are permitted to worship God their own way, provided they give no difference to fociety. Holland has given to mankin a glorious example, not only of universal toleration, but of permitting men, without regard to difference or religion, to enjoy all the privileges of a citizen. Even the Jews in Surinam are admitted to bear a part in the government. And that laudable example is copied by Britain with respect to the Roman Catholics in the island Grenade.

The defire of making converts proceeds from two different causes. In superstitious zealots, it proceeds from an opinion. that all who differ from them are in the road to damnation: for which reason, there is a rage of making converts among Roman Catholics; who, without ceremony, deliver over to the flames of hell, every person who is not of their communion. The other cause is more natural: every man thinks himfelf in the right, especially in matters of confequence; and, for that reason, he is happy to find others of his opinion (a). With respect to the first cause, I beg attention to the following confiderations; not with any hope of converting zealots, but to prevent, if poslible, others from becoming fuch. In none of the works of God is variety me happily blended with uniformity, therin the formation of man. Uniformity prevails in · the human face with respect to eyes, nose, mouth, and other capital parts: variety prevails in the expressions of these parts, ferving to diffinguish one person from another, without hazard of error. In like manner, the minds of men are uniform with

⁽a) Elements of Criticism, vol. 2. p. 493. edit. 5.

with respect to their passions and principles; but the various tones and expressions of these, form different characters without end. A face destitute of a nose or of a mouth, is monstrous: a mind destitute of the moral fense, or of a sense of religion. is no less so. But variety of expression in different faces is agreeable, because we relish variety; and a similar variety in the expressions or tones of passion, ought to be equally agreeable. Endless differences in temper, in taste, and in mental faculties. that of reason in particular, produce necesfarily variety in fentiment and in opinion. Can God be displeased with such variety, when it is his own work? He requires no uniformity, except with respect to an upright mind and clear conscience, which are indispensable. Here at the same time is discovered an illustrious final cause. ferent countenances in the human race. not only diffinguish one person from another, but promote fociety, by aiding us to chuse a friend, an associate, a partner for life. Differences in opinion and fentiment have still more beneficial effects: they rouse the attention, give exercise to the understanding, and sharpen the reasoning facul-

ty. With respect to religion in particular. perfect uniformity, which furnisheth no subject for thinking nor for reasoning, would produce langour in divine worthip, and make us fink into cold indifference. How foolish then is the rage of making profelytes? Let every man enjoy his native liberty, of thinking as well as of acting; free to act as he pleafes, provided only he obey the rules of morality; equally free to think as he pleafes, provided only he acknowledge the Great God as his maker and mafter, and perceive the necelfary connection of religion with morality. Strict uniformity in other matters, may be compared to a spring-day, calm and serene; neither so hop as to make us drop a garment, nor fo cold as to require an addition; no wind to ruffle, agr rain to make shelter necessary. We enjoy the fweet scene for a moment : we walk, we lit, we muse-but soon fall asleep. Agitation is the element of man, and the life of fociety. Let us not attempt to correct the works of God: the attempt will betray us into abfurd errors. This doctrine cannot be better illustrated than by a conversation.

versation, reported by the Jesuit Tachard. between the King of Siam, and a French ambassador, who, in his master's name, urged that king to embrace the Christian religion. "I am furprifed," faid his Majesty of Siam, " that the King of France, " my good friend, should interest himself " fo warmly in what concerns God only. " He hath given to his creatures different " minds and different inclinations, which " naturally lead them to differ in opinion. "We admire variety in the material " world: why not equally admire it in " matters of religion? Have we not then " reason to believe, that God takes plea-" fure in all the different forms of wor-" ship? Had it been the intention of God " to produce uniformity in religion, he " would have formed all men with the " fame mind." Bernier introduces fome Gentiles of Hindostan defending their religion much in the fame manner: " That " they did not pretend their law to be " universal; that they did not hold ours " to be false, as, for ought they knew, " it might be a good law for us; and

"that God probably made many roads to heaven."

With refoect to the other cause above mentioned, the defire of putting people in the right road: To reason others into our religious principles, is natural; but it is not always prudent. I wish my neighbour to be of my opinion, because I think my opinion right: but is there no danger of undermining his religious principles, without establishing better in their stead? Ought I not to ichrain my defire of making converts, when the attempt may posfibly reduce them to abandon religion altogether, as a matter of utter uncertainty? If a man of clear understanding has, by fome unhappy means, been led into error, that man may be fet right by fair reasoning: but beware of endeavouring to convert people of low parts, who are indebted for their creed to parents, to education, or to example: it is fafer to let them rest as they are.

At any rate, let us never attempt to gain profelytes by rewards, or by terror: what other effect can such motives produce, but dissimulation and lying, parents of every secret crime. The Empress of Vol. IV. 3 K Russia

Russia uses a method for converting her Pagan subjects of Kamskatka, no less agreeable than effectual; which is, to exempt from taxes for ten years, such of them as profess the Christian religion. This practice may be political; but it tends not to advance religion, and is destructive of morality. Terror, on the other hand, may be equally effectual, but is not altogether fo agreeable. The people of Rum, one of the Hebrides, were Papifts till the beginning of the present century, when in one day they were all proselvted to the Protestant faith. Maclean of Coll, their chieftain, went to the island with a protestant minister, and ordered all the inhabitants to appear on Sunday at public worship. They came, but refused to hear a Protestant minister. The chieftain reasoned with them: but finding that his reasonings made no impression, he laid hold of the most forward; and having made a deep impression on him with his cane, pushed him into the church. The rest followed like meek lambs: and from that day have continued firm Protestants. The Protestantism of Rum is ftyled

styled by their Popish neighbours the faith of the rellow flick.

To apply any means for making profelytes, other than fair reasoning, appears to me a strange perversion. Can God be pleased with using rewards or punishments, or can any rational man justify them? What then should move any one to put them in practice? I should be utterly at a lois to answer the question, but for a fact mentioned more than once above, that the rude and illiterate judge by fight only, not by reflection. They lay weight on the external visible act, without thinking of intention, which is not visible. In truth, the bulk of mankind rest upon the external profession of religion? they never think of the heart, nor consider how that stands affectal: What else is it but the external act merely that moves the Romish missionaries to baptize the infants of favages even at the moment of expiring? which they profecute with much pious ardour. Their zeal merits applause, but not their judgment. Can any rational person seriously believe, that the dipping a favage or an infant in water will make either of them a Chri-Rian. stian, or that the want of this ceremony will precipitate them into hell? The Lithuanians, before their conversion to Christianity, worshipped serpents, every family entertaining one as a household god. Sigismundus, in his commentaries of Muscovy, reports the following incident. converted Christian having persuaded a neighbour to follow his example, and, in token of his conversion, to kill his serpent, was furprifed, at his next vifit, to find his convert in the deepest melancholy, bitterly lamenting that he had murdered his god, and that the most dreadful calamities would befal him. Was this person a Christian more than nominally? At the end of the last century, when Kempfer was in Japan, there remained but about fifty Japan Christians, who were locked up in prison for life. These poor people knew no more of the Christian religion, but the names of our Saviour and of the Virgin Mary; and yet so zealous Christians were they, as rather to die miserably in jail, than to renounce the name of Christ, and The inhabitants of the be fet at liberty. island Annaboa in the gulf of Guinea have been converted by the Portuguese to Christianity.

stianity. No more is required of them, as Bosman observes, but to repeat a Pater Nosser, and Ave Maria, confess to the priest, and bring offerings to him.

I cannot with satisfaction conclude this sketch, without congratulating my present countrymen of Britain upon their knowledge of the intimate connection that true religion has with morality. May the importance of that connection, always at heart, excite us to govern every action of our lives by the united principles of morality and religion:—what a happy people would we be!

APPENDIX.

Sketches concerning Scotland.

SKETCH I.

Scotch Entails considered in Moral and Po-

A N is by nature a hoarding animal; and to fecure what is acquired by honest industry, the sense of property is made a branch of human nature (a). During the infancy of nations, when artificial wants are unknown, the hoarding appetite makes no figure. The use of money produced a great alteration in the human heart. Money having at command the goods of fortune, introduced inequality of rank, luxury, and artificial wants without end. No bounds are

(a) Book z. Sketch z.

fet to hoarding, where an appetite for artificial wants is indulged: love of money becomes the ruling passion: it is coveted by many, in order to be hoarded; and means are absurdly converted into an end.

The fense of property, weak among savages, ripens gradually till it arrives at maturity in polished nations. In every stage of the progress, some new power is added to property; and now, for centuries, men have enjoyed every power over their own goods, that a rational mind can defire (a): they have the free disposal during life, and even after death, by naming an heir. These powers are sufficient for accomplishing every rational purpose: they are sufficient for commerce, and they are fufficient for benevolence. But the artificial wants of men are boundless: not content with the full enjoyment of their property during life, nor with the prospect of its being enjoyed by a favourite heir, they are anxiously bent to preserve it to themfelves for ever. A man who has amassed a great estate in land, is miserable at the

⁽c) Historical Law-tracts, Tract. 3.

prospect of being obliged to quit his hold: to footh his difeafed fancy, he makes a deed fecuring it for ever to certain heirs: who must without end bear his name, and preserve his estate entire. Death, it is true, must at last separate him from his idol: it is fome confolation, however, that his will governs and gives law to every subsequent proprietor. How repugnant to the frail state of man are such fwollen conceptions! Upon these, however, are founded entails, which have prevailed in many parts of the world, and unhappily at this day infest Scotland. Did entails produce no other mitchief but the gratification of a distempered appetite. they might be endured, though far from deferving approbation: but, like other transgressions of nature and reason, they are productive of much mischief, not only to commerce, but to the very heirs for whose fake alone it is pretended that they are made.

Confidering that the law of nature has bestowed on man every power of property that is necessary either for commerce or for benevolence, how blind was it in the English legislature to add a most irrational Vol. II.

3 L power,

power, that of making an entail! But men will always be mending; and, when a lawgiver ventures to tamper with the laws of nature, he hazards much mischies. We have a pregnant instance above, of an attempt to mend the laws of God in many absurd regulations for the poor; and that the law authorising entails is another instance of the same kind, will be evident from what follows.

The mischievous essects of English entails were foon discovered: they occasioned fuch injustice and oppression, that even the judges ventured to relieve the nation from them by an artificial form, termed fine and recovery. And yet, though no moderate man would desire more power over his estate than he has by common law, the legislature of Scotland enabled every land-proprietor to fetter his estate for ever ; to tyrannize over his heirs; and to reduce their property to a shadow, by prohibiting them to alien, and by prohibiting them to contract debt, were it even to redeem them from death or flavery. Thus, many a man, fonder of his estate than of his wife and children, grudges the use of It to his natural heirs, reducing them to

the state of mere liferenters. Behold the confequences. A number of noblemen and gentlemen among us lie in wait for every parcel of land that comes to market. Intent upon aggrandizing their family, or rather their estate, which is the favourite object, they secure every purchase by an entail; and the same course will be followed, till no land be left to be purchased. Thus every entailed cflate in Scotland becomes in effect a mortmain, admitting additions without end, but absolutely barring alienation; and if the legislature interpose not, the period is not distant, when all the land in Scotland will be locked up by entails, and withdrawn from commerce.

The purpose of the present essay, is to set before our legislature, coolly and impartially, the destructive essects of a Scotch entail. I am not so fanguine as to hope, that men, who convert means into an end, and avariciously covet land for its own sake, will be prevailed upon to regard, either the interest of their country, or of their posterity: but I would gladly hope, that the legislature may be roused to give attention

tention to a national object of no slight importance.

I begin with effects of a private or domestic nature. To the possessor, an entail is a conftant fource of discontent, by subverting that liberty and independence, which all men covet with respect to their goods as well as their persons. What can be more vexatious to a proprietor of a great land-estate, than to be barred from the most laudable acts, suitable provisions, for example, to a wife or children? not to mention numberless acts of benevolence, that endear individuals to each other, and fweeten fociety. A great proportion of the land in Scotland is in such a state. that, by laying out a thousand pounds or fo, an intelligent proprietor may add a hundred pounds yearly to his rent-roll. But an entail effectually bars that improvement: it affords the proprietor no credit; and fuppoling him to have the command of money independent of the estate, he will be ill-fated if he have not means to employ it more profitably for his own interest. An entail, at the same time, is no better than a trap for an improvident poffessor: to avoid altogether the contracting debr.

debt, is impracticable; and if a young man be guided more by pleasure than by prudence, which commonly is the case of young men, a vigilant and rapacious substitute, taking advantage of a forfeiting clause, turns him out of possession, and delivers him over to want and mifery.

I beg indulgence for introducing a cafe, which, though particular, may frequently happen. A gentleman, who has a familyfeat finely fituated, but in the state of nature, is tempted to lay out great fums upon improvements and embellishments, having a numerous iffue to benefit by his operations. They all fail; and a stranger, perhaps his enemy, becomes the heir of entail. Fond, however, of his darling feat, he is willing to preferve all entire, upon procuring to his heirs a reasonable sum for his improvements; which is refused. Averse to lay waste the work of his own hands, he restricts his demand to the real value of the growing timber-All in vain. Provoked at the obstinacy of the heir of entail, he cuts down every tree, dismantles the place; and with a fad heart abandons. his beloved habitation. In a bare country

like Scotland, is it not cruel to deter proprietors by an entail, from improving their land, and embellishing their family-feats? Is it not still more cruel, to force a proprietor, who has no heir of his own blood, to lay all waste, instead of leaving behind him a monument of his taste and industry?

But an entail is productive of confequences still more dismal, even with respect to heirs. A young man upon whom the family-estate is entailed without any power reserved to the father, is not commonly obsequious to advice, nor patiently submissive to the fatigues of education: he abandons himself to pleasure, and indulges his passions without control. In one word, there is no situation more subversive of morals, than that of a young man, bred up from infancy in the certainty of inheriting an opulent fortune.

The condition of the other children, daughters especially, is commonly deplorable. The proprietor of a large entailed estate leaves at his death children who have acquired a taste for sumptuous living. The sons drop off one by one, and a number of daughters remain, with a scanty

fcanty provision, or perhaps with none at all. A collateral male heir succeeds, who, after a painful search, is discovered in some remote corner, qualified to procure bread by the spade or the plough, but entirely unqualified for behaving as master of an opulent fortune. By such a metamorphosis, the poor man makes a ludicrous sigure; while the daughters, reduced to indigence, are in a situation much more lamentable than are the brats of beggars.

Our entails produce another domestic evil, for which no proper remedy is provided. The sums permitted in most entails to younger children, however adequate when the entail is made, become in time too scanty, by a fall in the value of money, and by increase of luxury; which is peculiarly hard upon daughters of great families: the provisions destined for them will not assort them bread; and they cannot hope to be suitably matched, without a decent fortune. If we adhere to entails, nunneries ought to be provided.

But the domestic evils of an entail make no figure, compared with those that respect the public. These in their full extent tent would fill a volume: they are well known; and it may be sufficient to keep them in view by some slight hints.

As observed above, few tenants in tail can command money for improvements, however profitable. Such discouragement to agriculture, hurtful to proprietors of entailed estates, is still more so to the public. It is now an established maxim. That a state is powerful in proportion to the product of its land: a nation that feeds its neighbours, can starve them. The quantity of land that is locked up in Scotland by entails, has damped the growing spirit of agriculture. There is not produced fufficiency of corn at home for our own confumpt: and our condition will become worse and worse by new entails, till agriculture and industry be anni-Were the great entailed estates in Scotland split into small properties of fifty or a hundred pounds yearly rent, we should soon be enabled, not only to supply our own markets, but to spare for our neighbours.

In the next place, our entails are no less subversive of commerce than of agriculture. There are numberless land estates

states in Scotland of one, two, or three hundred pounds yearly rent. Such an estate cannot assord bare necessaries to the proprietor, if he pretend to live like a gentleman. But he has an excellent resource: let him apply to any branch of trade, his effate will afford him credit for what money he wants. The profit he makes, pays the interest of the money borrowed, with a furplus; and this furplus, added to the rent of his estate, enables him to live comfortably. A number of land-proprietors in such circumstances, would advance commerce to a great height. But alas! there are not many who have that refource: fuch is the itch in Scotland for entailing, as even to descend lower than one hundred pounds yearly. Can one behold with patience, the countenance that is given to felfish wrongheaded people, acting in direct opposition to the prosperity of their country? Commerce is no less hurt in another respect: when our land is withdrawn from commerce by entails, every prosperous trader will defert a country where he can find no land to purchase; for to raise a family, by acquiring an estate in land, is the Vol. IV. 3 M ultimate

ultimate aim of every merchant, and of every man who accumulates money.

Thirdly, An entail is a bitter enemy to population. Population depends greatly on the number of land-proprietors. A very fmall portion of land, managed with skill and industry, affords bread to a numerous family; and the great aim of the frugal proprietor, is to provide a fund for educating his children, and for establishing them in business. A numerous issue, at the same time, is commonly the lot of the temperate and frugal; because luxury and voluptuousness enervate the body, and dry up the fources of procreation. This is no chimera or fond imagination: traverse Europe; compare great capitals with distant provinces; and it will be found to hold univerfally, that children abound much more among the industrious poor, than among the luxurious rich. But if division of land into fmall properties, tend to population; depopulation must be the necessary confequence of an entail, the avowed intent of which is to unite many small properties in one great estate; and consequently, to reduce

reduce land-proprietors to a small number.

Let us, in the fourth place, take under confideration the children of landholders with respect to education and industry: for, unless men be usefully employed, population is of no real advantage to a state. In that respect, great and small estates admit no comparison. Children of great families, accustomed to affluence and luxury, are too proud for business; and, were they even willing, are incapable to drudge at a laborious employment. the fame time, the father's hands being tied up by his entail from affording them fuitable provisions, they become a burden on the family, and on the flate, and can do no fervice to either, but by dying. Yet there are men fo blind, or fo callous, as to be fond of entails. Let us try whether a more pleasing scene will have any effect upon them. Children of small landholders are from infancy educated in a frugal manner; and they must be industrious, as they depend on industry for bread. Among that class of men, education has its most powerful influence: and upon that class a nation chiefly relies, for

its skilful artists and manufacturers, for its lawyers, physicians, divines, and even for its generals and statesmen.

And this leads to consider, in the fifth place, the influence that great and small estates have on manners. Gentlemen of a moderate fortune, connected with their fuperiors and inferiors, improve fociety, by fpreading kindly affection through the whole members of the state. In fuch only refides the genuine spirit of liberty, abhorrent equally of fervility to fuperiors, and of tyranny to inferiors. The nature of the British government creates a mutual dependence of the great and fmall on each other. The great have favours to bestow: the finall have many more, by their privilege of electing parliament-men; which obliges men of high rank to affect popularity, however little feeling they may have for the good of their fellow creatures. This connection produces good manners at least, between different ranks, and perhaps fome degree of cordiality. Accumulation of land into great estates, produces opposite manners: when all the land in Scotland is swallowed up by a number of grandees, and few gentlemen of the middle rank

rank are left; even the appearance of popularity will vanish, leaving pride and infolence on the one hand, and abject servility on the other. In a word, the distribution of land into many shares, accords charmingly with the free spirit of the British constitution; but nothing is more repugnant to that spirit, than overgrown estates in land.

In the fixth place, Arts and sciences can never flourish in a country, where all the land is engroffed by a few. Science will never be cultivated by the dispirited tenant, who can fearce procure bread: and still less, if possible, by the insolent landlord, who is too felf-fufficient for inftruction. There will be no encouragement for arts: great and opulent proprietors. fostering ambitious views, will cling to the feat of government, which is far removed from Scotland; and if vanity make them fometimes display their grandcur at their country-feats, they will be too delicate for any articles of luxury but what are foreign. The arts and fciences being thus banished, Scotland will be deserted by every man of spirit who can find bread elfewhere.

In the feventh place, Such overgrown estates will produce an irregular and dangerous influence with respect to the House of Commons. The parliament-boroughs will be subdued by weight of money; and, with respect to county-elections, it is a chance if there be left in a county as many qualified landholders as to afford a free choice. In such circumstances, will our constitution be in no danger from the ambitious views of men elevated above others by their vast possessions? Is it unlikely, that fuch men, taking advantage of public discord, will become an united body of ambitious oppressors, overawing their fovereign as well as their fellow-fubiects? Such was the miserable condition of Britain, while the feudal oligarchy fubfifted: fuch at present is the miserable condition of Poland; and fuch will be the miserable condition of Scotland, if the legislature do not stretch out a saving hand.

If the public interest only were to be regarded, entails ought to be destroyed root and branch. But a numberless body of substitutes are interested, many of whom would be disinherited, if the tenants in tail had power. To reconcile as much

much as possible these opposite interests, it is proposed that the following articles be authorised by a statute. First, That the act of parliament 1685 be repealed with respect to all future operations. gond. That entails already made and completed, shall continue effectual to such substitutes as exist at the date of the act proposed; but shall not benesit any substitute born after it. Third, That power be referved to every proprietor, after the act 1685 is at an end, to settle his estate upon what heirs he thinks proper, and to bar these heirs from altering the order of succession; these powers being inherent in property at common law.

At the fame time, the prohibiting entails will avail little, if trust-deeds be permitted in their utmost extent, as in England. And therefore, in order to re-establish the law of nature with respect to land-property, a limitation of trust-deeds is necessary. My proposal is, That no trust-deed, directing or limiting the succession of heirs to a landestate, shall be essectual beyond the life of the heirs in existence at the time.

fied to be provost, bailie, or alderman, but an indwelling burgefs. 2dly, "That no " inhabitant purchase lordship out of " burgh, to the terror of his combur-" gesses. And, 3dly, That all provosts, " bailies, and aldermen of boroughs, bring " yearly to the chequer, at a day certain, " the compt-books of their common-good, to be seen and considered by the Lords " Auditors, giff the same be spended for " the common well of the burgh, or not, " under the penalty of losing their freedom. " And that the faids provofts, bailies, and " aldermen, warn yearly, fifteen days be-" fore their coming to the chequer, all " those who are willing to come for exa-" mining the faid accounts, that they may " impugn the same, in order that all mur-" mur may cease in that behalf." And to enforce these regulations, a brieve was iffued from the chancery, commanding the magistrates to present their accounts to the exchequer, and fummoning the burgeffes to appear and object to the same.

A defect in this statute made it less effectual than it was intended to be. Magistrates, to avoid the penalty, brought the count-books of their common-good

to the exchequer; but they brought no rental of the common-good to found a charge against them. This defect was remedied by act 28. parl. 1603, containing the following preamble. " That the royal " boroughs, by the mal-administration of " their magistrates, have fallen under great " debts and burdens, to the diminution of " their dignity, and the difabling of them " to ferve the crown and government as " they ought; and that the care, over-" fight, and control, of the common-good " of boroughs, belong to their Majesties " by virtue of their prerogative-royal: " therefore, for preventing the like abuses " and misapplications in all time thereaf-" ter, their Majesties statute and ordain, " That every burgh-royal shall, betwixt " and the first of November next, bring " to the Lords of Treasury and Exchequer " an exact account of charge and dif-" charge, subscribed by the magistrates " and town-clerk, of their whole public-" good and revenues, and of the whole 44 debts and incumbrances that affect the " fame." This completed the remedy, by putting means into the hands of the Barons of Exchequer to control the accounts

counts enjoined by the former statute to be yearly given in.

The foregoing regulations are kept in Every year a precept issues from the exchequer, figned by one of the Barons, addressed to the director of the chancery, requiring him to make out a brieve for every royal borough. brieve is accordingly made out, returned to the exchequer, and fent to the feveral sherisfs, to be served in all the royal boroughs within their bounds, as directed by the statute. These brieves are accordingly so served by the sheriss; and particularly it is a constant form in most of the royal boroughs, to iffue a proclamation, fifteen days before the day named for appearance in exchequer, warning the inhabitants to repair there, in order to object to the public accounts of the town: and further, in order to give them opportunity to frame objections, the book and counts are laid open for these fifteen days, to be inspected by all the inhabitants.

We learn from the records of exchequer, that, from the year 1660 to the year 1683, accounts were regularly given in to exchequer, chequer, in obedience to the statute. The town of Edinburgh only having failed for some short time, Captain Thomas Hamilton merchant there, by an action in exchequer, compelled the magistrates to produce upon oath their treasurer's accounts, which were accordingly audited. And we also learn, that, from the Restoration down to the Union, a clerk to the borough-roll was appointed by the crown, whose proper business it was to examine and audite the accounts of the boroughs.

Notwithstanding the foregoing falutary regulations, and the form conflantly practifed to make them effectual, the boroughs of late years have forborn to present their accounts in exchequer; hoping that they would be overlooked by the English court of exchequer, established in Scotland after the Union; which accordingly happened. This neglect in the court of exchequer is greatly to be regretted, because it reduces the royal boroughs, by the mal-administration of their magistrates. to the same miserable condition that is so loudly complained of in the statutes above mentioned. It is undoubtedly in the power of the Barons to restore good government to the boroughs, by compelling the magistrates to account yearly in the court of exchequer, according to the foregoing regulations: no more is necesfary, but to fignify publicly that they are resolved to put these regulations in execution.

How beneficial that step would be to this country in general, and to the royal boroughs in particular, will appear from considering, first, the unhappy consequences that result from suffering magistrates to dispose of the town's revenues, without any check or control; and next, the good effects that must result from a regular and careful management, under the inspection of the King's judges.

The unhappy consequences of leaving magistrates without any check or control, are too visible to be disguised. The revenues of a royal borough are seldom laid out for the good of the town, but in making friends to the party who are in possession of the magistracy; and in rioting and drunkenness, for which every pretext is laid hold of, particularly that of hospitality to strangers. Such mismanagement tends to idleness, and corruption of man-

ners; which accordingly are remarkable in most royal boroughs. Nor is the contagion confined within the town: it commonly spreads all around.

Another consequence no less fatal, of leaving magistrates to act without control, is a strong desire in every licentious burges, of stepping into the magistracy, for his own sake, and for that of his friends. Hence the factions and animosities that prevail in almost all the royal boroughs; which are violently and indecently pursued, without the least regard to the good of the community.

The greatest evil of all, respects the choice of their representatives in parliament. A habit of riot and intemperance, makes them fit subjects to be corrupted by every adventurer who is willing to lay out money for purchasing a seat in parliament. Hence the infamous practice of bribery at elections, which tends not only to corrupt the whole mass of the people, but, which is still more dreadful, tends to fill the House of Commons with men of dissolute manners, void of probity and honour.

But, turning from scenes so dismal, let

us view the beautiful effects that refult from an administration regularly carried on, as directed by the statutes above men-The revenues of the royal boroughs are supposed to be above L. 40,000 yearly. And were this fum, or the half of it, prudently expended, for promoting arts and industry among the numerous inhabitants of royal boroughs; the benefit, in a country fo narrow and poor as Scotland, would be immenfe: it would tend to population, it would greatly increase industry, manufactures, and commerce, befide augmenting the public revenue. In the next place, as there would be no temptation for defigning men to convert the burden of magistracy into a benefit, faction and discord would vanish: and there would be no less solicitude to shun the burden, than at present is seen to obtain None would submit to the burden but the truly patriotic, men who would chearfully bestow their time, and perhaps their money, upon the public; and whose ambition it would be to acquire a character, by promoting industry, temperance, and honesty, among their fellow-citizens.

And when the government of the royal boroughs comes to be in so good hands, bribery, which corrupts the very vitals of our constitution, will be banished of course. And considering the proper and constitutional dependence of the royal boroughs upon the king's judges, we may have reasonable assurance, that sew representatives will be chosen, but who are friends to their country and to their sovereign.

Vol. IV. 3 O

SKETCH

SKETCH III.

Plan for improving and preserving in order the Highways in Scotland.

PREFACE.

HIghways have in Scotland become a capital object of police, by the increase of inland commerce, upon which bad roads are a heavy tax. Happily for our country, no person is ignorant of this truth; and we fee with pleasure the fruits of their conviction in various attempts, public and private, to establish this valuable branch of police upon the best footing. As this is no easy task, it may reasonably be hoped, that men interested will seriously apply to it, and will freely produce such hints as occur to them. In the latter view, the following plan is offered to the public: and if, from the various proposals that have been or shall be published, an effective plan can be framed, fuch as completely to answer its purpose, it

may safely be pronounced, that it will produce more benefit to this country, than has been produced by any other single improvement since the union of the two kingdoms.

- of supply, the sheriff or stewart depute, and the first magistrate of royal boroughs, shall be commissioners for making and repairing highways, bridges, and ferries, in the several shires and stewartries. All the powers given by law to the justices of peace, and commissioners of supply, with respect to highways, bridges, and ferries, shall be transferred to them; and any two shall be a quorum, except where a greater number is required by this act.
- 2. The sheriff or stewart depute shall appoint the first day of meeting of the said commissioners, as soon as may conveniently be after the date of the act, by an intimation at each parish-church upon a Sunday, at the close of the forenoon service. And the last Tuesday of March shall yearly thereafter be a day of meeting at the head borough of the shire or stewartry, in place of the first or third Tuesday of May appointed

appointed by former acts. The commiffioners shall appoint a preses, convener, and clerk: and they shall be impowered to adjourn themselves from time to time.

3. The commissioners, at their first meeting, shall divide the shire or stewartry into two or more districts, as they see convenient. And if they cannot overtake this work at that meeting, they shall appoint proper persons to form a plan of the intended divisions, which plan shall be reported to the commissioners at their next meeting, in order to be approved or altered by them. This being fettled, the commissioners shall appoint the heritors in these several districts, or any three of them. to meet on a certain day and place, to make lists of the whole public roads within their respective districts, and to settle the order of reparation, beginning with those that are the most frequented. The proceedings of these district meetings must be reported to the commissioners at their next meeting; who are empowered to settle the order of reparation, in case of variance among the heritors; and also to add any road that may have been omitted. And they shall record a scheme or plan of the whole whole roads in the shire, thus enlisted, with their resolutions thereupon, to be seen in the clerk's hands gratis. But upon any just cause appearing in the course of administration, the commissioners shall be empowered to alter or vary this plan, provided it be at a meeting previously appointed for that purpose, and where three sistes at least of the commissioners are present.

4. If the sheriff or stewart neglect to appoint the first meeting of the commissioners, he shall incur a penalty of L. 100. upon a fummary complaint to the court of fession by any one heritor of the shire, with costs of suit; the one half of the penalty to the plaintiff, and the other half to be applied by the commissioners for the purposes of this act. If the commissioners fail to meet at the day appointed by the sheriff or stewart, or fail to divide the shire or sewartry into districts, within six months of their first meeting, the sheriff or stewart depute, under the foresaid penalty, shall be bound to do that work himself; and also to appoint the heritors in the several districts, or any three of them, to make lists of the public roads as above above mentioned, and to report their refolutions to him; and he is empowered to fettle the order of reparation, in case of variance among the heritors. If the heritors fail to meet, and to make a list of the roads as aforesaid, this work shall be performed by the sheriff or stewart depute himself. And he shall be indemnisted of whatever expences he is at in prosecuting the said work, out of the sums that are to be levied by authority of this act, in manner after mentioned, with an additional sum for his own trouble, to be named by the circuit judges.

- 5. No person shall act as a commissioner upon this statute, but who has an estate within the county of L. 200 Scots valuation, or is heir-presumptive to such an estate, or is named a commissioner virtute officii, under the penalty of L. 20 Sterling toties quoties, to be prosecuted before any competent court, by a popular action, with costs of suit; the one half to the plaintiss, the other half to the purposes of this act.
- 6. Whereas the sum of 10 d. directed by the act 1669 to be imposed upon each L. 100 of valued rent, is insufficient for the purposes

purpofes therein expressed; and whereas the fix days statute-work for repairing the highways is in many respects inconvenient; therefore, instead of the 10 d. and instead of the statute-work, the commisfioners, together with the heritors possessed of L. 200 Scots of valued rent, five, whether commissioners or heritors, making a quorum, shall annually, upon the said last Tuesday of March, assess each heritor in a fum not exceeding upon each L. 100 valued rent: the affessment imposed on the heritors to be levied by the collector of fupply, along with the cess, and by the same legal remedies. The heritors are entitled to relieve themselves of the one half of the faid affeffment, by laying the same upon their tenants, in proportion to the rent they pay; an heritor being always confidered as a tenant of the land he has in his natural possession.

7. With respect to boroughs of royalty, regality, and barony, and large trading villages, the commissioners are empowered to levy from each householder, a sum not exceeding 2 s. yearly, more or less in proportion to the assessment of the shire, to be paid within forty days after notice given.

given, under the penalty of double, befides expence of process. Provided, that any of these householders who have country-farms, by which they contribute to relieve their landlords as above mentioned, shall be exempted from this part of the assessment.

8. If the commissioners and heritors neglect to affels their shire, or name so small a sum as to be an elusory affessment, infufficient to answer the purposes of this act, the court of justiciary, or the circuitjudges, are in that case empowered and required to lay on the highest affessment that is made lawful by this act. In case of a total omission, the commissioners and heritors who, by neglecting to convene without a good cause of absence, have occasioned the said omission, shall be subjected each of them to a penalty of L. 20 Sterling. And to make these penalties effectual, the trustees for fisheries and manufactures are appointed to fue for the fame before the court of fession, and to apply the fame, when recovered, to any useful purpose within the shire, especially to the purposes of this act. And to preferve the faid fines entire for the public fervice.

fervice, the trustees shall be entitled to costs of suit.

- 9. The sums levied as aforesaid shall be laid out annually upon the highways, bridges, and ferries, for making, repairing, or improving the same; proceeding regularly with the reparation according to the scheme or plan ordered as above to be settled in each shire and stewartry.
- to. With respect to roads that are not the first in order, and for which there is no interim provision by this act during reparation of the more frequented roads, the commissioners are empowered to exact from cottars and day-labourers their statute-work, according to the acts presently in force, to be applied to these secondary roads. The statute-work is not to be demanded unless for this purpose; and is to cease totally after the highways have, by means of the present act, been once totally repaired.
 - 11. The commissioners and heritors, at all their meetings, shall bear their own charges.
 - powering heritors, at the fight of the sheriff, to cast about highways for their con-Vol. IV. 3 P venience,

venience, shall be repealed; and it shall be declared unlawful, in time coming, to turn about or change any highway, unless for the benefit of the public, as by shortening it, carrying it through firmer ground, or making it more level; and to that purpose the commissioners shall be empowered to turn about highways, as also to widen the same, not exceeding thirty feet, free of ditches. But the commissioners shall have no power to carry a road through any house, garden, orchard, or pleasure-ground.

- 13. The commissioners shall have power to take from the adjacent lands, stones, sand, gravel, or other materials for making the highways, paying always for the damage done.
- 14. With respect to highways that bound the properties of neighbouring heritors, which it may be found necessary to alter or widen, the commissioners shall be empowered to adjudge to one heritor any small bits of ground cut off from the other by the road so altered; and if land cannot be given for land, to make a compensation in money, valuing the land at the current price of the market.

- 15. In order to prevent water stagnating on the highways, the commissioners shall be empowered to make ditches or drains through neighbouring grounds; and such ditches or drains shall be preserved entire by the proprietors of the land, or at their charges.
 - 16. As the foresaid assessment, after repairing the highways, may not be sufficient for building bridges or making ferries, where rivers are large; any five of the commissioners may, for building bridges or making ferries, establish a pontage or toll; so much for horses, so much for horned cattle, and so much for sheep, and the double for each beast in a wheel-carriage. Upon the credit of the toll, the said commissioners may borrow money, to be employed wholly upon the bridge or ferry where the toll is gathered.

But before borrowing, an estimate must be made of the expence of the work. After the work is sinished, the sum bestowed on it must be ascertained: an accurate account must be kept of the gradual payment of this sum by the toll; and when it is completely paid, the commissioners must declare the bridge or ferry to be free.

17. The

- 17. The determinations of the commiffioners shall be final, unless complained of in manner following.
- 18. If any heritor apprehend that undue preference is given to a certain highway, or conceive himself aggrieved by any order or sentence of the commissioners, it shall be lawful for him, within forty days of the act complained of, to enter a complaint in the court of session; and the judgement upon such complaint shall be sinal. But such complaint shall only be essection of the work. At the same time, no complaint shall be admitted till security be given to pay full costs, in case the plaintist be found in the wrong.
- 19. Former laws concerning highways, bridges, or ferries, to continue in force, unless as far as altered by this act.
- 20. An annual state of what is done by virtue of this act, made by the commiffioners, or their clerk, shall, before the last Tuesday of March, be laid before the trustees for sisheries and manusactures, in order to be made a part of their annual report to the King; and these trustees shall direct proper persons to inspect what

work is done upon the high-roads, and in what manner. Upon any misapplication or embezzlement of the money levied, any neglect in levying, or any wrong done to the public contrary to the intention of this act, the trustees are required to set on foot and prosecute what redress is competent in law or equity, provided the prosecution be commenced within a year after the offence.

Query, Ought not broad wheels to be required?

Considerations

- 17. The determinations of the commiffioners shall be final, unless complained of in manner following.
- 18. If any heritor apprehend that undue preference is given to a certain highway, or conceive himself aggrieved by any order or sentence of the commissioners, it shall be lawful for him, within forty days of the act complained of, to enter a complaint in the court of session; and the judgement upon such complaint shall be sinal. But such complaint shall only be essection of the work. At the same time, no complaint shall be admitted till security be given to pay full costs, in case the plaintist be found in the wrong.
- 19. Former laws concerning highways, bridges, or ferries, to continue in force, unless as far as altered by this act.
- 20. An annual state of what is done by virtue of this act, made by the commiffioners, or their clerk, shall, before the last Tuesday of March, be laid before the trustees for sisheries and manusactures, in order to be made a part of their annual report to the King; and these trustees shall direct proper persons to inspect what

work is done upon the high-roads, and in what manner. Upon any misapplication or embezzlement of the money levied, any neglect in levying, or any wrong done to the public contrary to the intention of this act, the trustees are required to set on foot and prosecute what redress is competent in law or equity, provided the prosecution be commenced within a year after the offence.

Query, Ought not broad wheels to be required?

Confiderations

Considerations that support the preceding Plan.

THE laws in Scotland relating to this branch of public police, are numerous; fome enacted while Scotland was a separate kingdom, some after its union with England. It is not the purpose of this essay to enter into a detail of the various regulations established by these laws: they are generally known; and in the late abridgement of our statute-law, they are all recapitulated with brevity and precision. It shall suffice cursorily to observe, that the acts made during the reign of Charles II. form the ground-work of our regulations concerning highways: the later acts are little more than explanatory of the former.

It feems to have been the plan of the legislature, that highways should be repaired by those who are employed in husbandry; and accordingly, the six days annual

annual labour is, in the ftatutes of Charles II. imposed upon them only.

This was a measure not ill suited to the state of Scotland at that period. During the last century, we had little inland commerce to require good roads, except that of corn carried to market; and for that reason, it was natural to impose upon husbandmen the burden of repairing highways. These persons, at the same time, passing the whole summer in idleness, unless when called to persorm personal services to capricious and unfeeling landlords, could not think it a hardship to have some part of their time employed in serving themselves instead of their landlords.

That annual labour upon highways, limited to a few days, should be required from men in that condition, appears not unjust. And why may we not suppose the legislature at that time capable of such enlarged views, as to prefer this method for repairing highways, in order to bring on gradually a habit of labour and industry? But the condition of Scotland at present differs widely from what it was in the reign of Charles II.; and the regulations for repairing highways which were

then

then proper, have, by alteration of circumstances, become both unjust and inexpedient.

Unjust they have become in a high degree. Inland commerce, which begins to flourish in Scotland, is greatly promoted by good roads; and every dealer, and indeed every traveller, profits by them. But no men are less interested in good roads than day-labourers, or those who are commonly called cottars; and yet these chiefly are burdened with the reparation. Such men, at the fame time having commonly many children, find it difficult to support their families, even with their utmost in-Nothing can be more unjust, dustry. than to impose upon such men an annual tax of fix days labour for repairing roads. the goodness of which contributes little or nothing to their convenience.

Our pretent laws are inexpedient, as well as unjust. In the first place, a tax of this nature discourages the propagation of children, in which the strength of a state consists: the poor labourer ought to be encouraged with a reward, instead of being discouraged with a tax. In the next place.

place, cottara called out to perform the statute-work, obey with reluctance, and trifle away time without doing any thing effectual. To enforce the law, and to compel such men to labour, is grievous to the gentlemen who are empowered to execute the law: they cannot punish with rigour or firmness men who have so good reason to decline the service: they are foon disgusted with being taskmasters, and the generality defift altogether,

Laws concerning private property are always kept in observance; and they execute themselves, as is commonly expresfed, because there are always a multitude of individuals strongly interested to have them executed. But, in making public laws, the great difficulty has ever been, to lay down effectual measures for putting them in execution: by what means to make such laws execute themselves, is one of the most intricate problems in politics. Our laws concerning highways, are eminently defective in that respect: and accordingly, though most of them have existed near a century, they never have at any period been executed to any extent. Take the following specimen, among ma-Vol. IV. 3 Q ny

ny that may be urged, of this defect. Overseers are forced into the service under a penalty, in order to compel the peasants to perform faithfully their six days labour. To hope any good from a reluctant overseer set over a set of reluctant labourers, is a fond conceit: it is much if his resentment tempt him not to encourage their idleness. In vain would we expect, that any overseer, without a suitable reward, will exert himself in promoting the work.

To remedy the hardship of laying the burden of reparation upon those who are least able and least benefited, and at the fame time to make this remedy effectual, is the purpose of the foregoing plan. And upon confidering the matter in its different views, the only method that promifes fuccess, appears to be a county-tax laid upon land according to the valuation, and a capitation-tax on the inhabitants of boroughs. These taxes relieve the labouring poor, and lay the burden where it ought to be laid: and the law will execute itself, if that effect can be hoped from any public law: effectual measures are laid down for levying the tax; and, if

good.

once levied, there is no danger of its being allowed to lie unemployed in the hands of the collector, for every heritor will be anxious to have some part employed for his benefit. The danger will rather be of factious disputes about the distribution. This danger also is attempted to be prevented; and, it is hoped, with success.

Some narrow-minded persons may possibly grudge a tax, that loads the present generation for the advantage of those who come after: but is it rational to grudge, that others should benefit by measures evidently calculated for advancing our own interest? Let us suppose, that the heritors of a shire were to concert measures in common, for improving their lands: to make good roads would be one effectual measure; for, supposing their reparation to cost L. 5000, their estates would be betred double that sum.

hat any regularies it is not to be expected for concerning stions concerning high-ways, be fo framed as tony branch of police, can Wife men are practicelle every individual. expression of Lord Baeble men, to use an concessions, in order to pa, and will make fromote a general

good, if without fuch conceitions if the conceitions is the conceition of the conceition in the conceition in the conceition, in our opinion, defects in fome articles, than to have no law at all; or, which is worse, a law emittently defective, unjust, and inexpedient.

 $F=I=N=\mathbb{N}\times S_{\mathfrak{M}_{i}}$